This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

+ *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.

+ *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.

+ *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.

+ *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at `http://books.google.com/`

A 832,564

THE MYTHS OF THE NEW WORLD

BY

DANIEL G. BRINTON



THE GIFT OF
GRACE L. AND ABBY L. SARGENT

THE

MYTHS OF THE NEW WORLD

A TREATISE

ON THE

SYMBOLISM AND MYTHOLOGY

OF THE

RED RACE OF AMERICA

BY

DANIEL G. BRINTON, A.M., M.D., LL.D., D.Sc.

PROFESSOR OF AMERICAN ARCHÆOLOGY AND LINGUISTICS IN THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

THIRD EDITION REVISED

PHILADELPHIA

DAVID McKAY, PUBLISHER

1896

E
59
.R38
B87
1896
Cop. 2

Copyrighted, 1896, by DANIEL G. BRINTON

SHERMAN & CO., PRINTERS
PHILADELPHIA

Library of W. B. Evans
3-25-31

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

I HAVE written this work more for the thoughtful general reader than the antiquary. It is a study of an obscure portion of the intellectual history of our species as exemplified in one of its varieties.

What are man's earliest ideas of a soul and a God, and of his own origin and destiny? Why do we find certain myths, such as of a creation, a flood, an after-world; certain symbols, as the bird, the serpent, the cross; certain numbers, as the three, the four, the seven—intimately associated with these ideas by every race? What are the laws of growth of natural religions? How do they acquire such an influence, and is this influence for good or evil? Such are some of the universally interesting questions which I attempt to solve by an analysis of the simple faiths of a savage race.

If in so doing I succeed in investing with a more general interest the fruitful theme of American ethnology, my objects will have been accomplished.

PHILADELPHIA, 1868.

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

THE present edition has been subjected to a thorough revision, much of the text having been rewritten and about fifty pages of new matter added.

The most important contributions to native American mythology which have been published since the appearance of the second edition have been consulted and will be found mentioned in the notes.

While this study of the latest writers was necessary, in order that the work should represent the present condition of the science, the earliest authorities on the myths and customs of tribes have been constantly preferred, as in later days there has been a certain though often unconscious infiltration of European ideas and influences into the native mind.

Many of the opinions concerning the red race and its religions advanced as novelties in the former editions have now been accepted by most students of these subjects; others are still held as doubtful. It is hoped that the additional evidence in their favor presented in the present edition will win for them also a favorable consideration from careful writers.

PHILADELPHIA, 1896.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS OF THE RED RACE.

PAGE

Natural religions the unaided attempts of man to find out God, modified by peculiarities of race and nation.—The peculiarities of the red race: 1. Its languages unfriendly to abstract ideas. Native modes of writing by means of pictures, symbols, objects and phonetic signs. These various methods compared in their influence on the intellectual faculties. 2. Its isolation, unique in the history of the world. 3. Beyond all others, a hunting race.—Principal linguistic subdivisions: 1. The Eskimos. 2. The Athapascas. 3. The Algonkins and Iroquois. 4. The Apalachian tribes. 5. The Dakotas. 6. The Aztecs. 7. The Mayas. 8. The Muyscas. 9. The Quichuas. 10. The Caribs and Tupis. 11. The Araucanians.—General course of migrations.—Age of man in America.—Unity of type in the red race.—Mythological parallels.—Bibliographical note 13

CHAPTER II.

THE IDEA OF GOD.

An intuition common to the species.—Words expressing it in American languages derived either from ideas of above in space, or of life manifested by breath.—Examples.—No conscious monotheism, and but little idea of immateriality discoverable.—Still less any moral dualism of deities, the Great Good Spirit and the Great Bad Spirit being alike terms and notions of foreign importation 60

CHAPTER III.

THE SACRED NUMBER, ITS ORIGIN AND APPLICATIONS.

PAGE

The number FOUR sacred in all American religions, and the key to their symbolism.—Derived from the CARDINAL POINTS.—Appears constantly in government, arts, rites, and myths.—The Cardinal Points identified with the Four Winds, who in myths are the four ancestors of the human race, and the four celestial rivers watering the terrestrial Paradise.—Associations grouped around each Cardinal Point.—From the number four were derived the symbolic value of the number *Forty*, the Sign of the Cross, the Sacred Tree, the ceremonial circuit, and other symbols . . . 83

CHAPTER IV.

THE SYMBOLS OF THE BIRD AND THE SERPENT.

Relations of man to the lower animals.—Two of these, the BIRD and the SERPENT, chosen as symbols beyond all others.—The Bird throughout America the symbol of the Clouds and Winds.—Meaning of certain species.—The symbolic meaning of the Serpent derived from its mode of locomotion, its poisonous bite, and its power of charming.—Usually the symbol of the Lightning and the Waters.—The Rattlesnake the symbolic species in America.—The war charm.—The god of riches.—Both symbols devoid of moral significance 120

CHAPTER V.

THE MYTHS OF WATER, FIRE, AND THE THUNDER-STORM.

Water the oldest element.—Its use in purification.—Holy water.—The Rite of Baptism.—The Water of Life.—Its symbols.—The Vase.—The Moon.—The latter the goddess of love and agriculture, but also of sickness, night, and pain.—Often represented by a dog.—Fire worship under the form of Sun worship.—The perpetual fire.—The new fire.—Burning the dead.—The worship of the passions, and of the reciprocal principle of Nature.—Dualism of Deities.—American goddesses.—Phallic worship in America.—Synthesis of the worship of Fire, Water, and the Winds in the

PAGE

THUNDER-STORM, personified as Haokah, Tupa, Catequil, Contici, Heno, Tlaloc, Mixcoatl, and other deities, many of them triune 144

CHAPTER VI.

THE SUPREME GODS OF THE RED RACE.

Analysis of American culture myths.—The Manibozho or Michabo of the Algonkins shown to be an impersonation of LIGHT, a hero of the Dawn, and their highest deity.—The myths of Ioskeha of the Iroquois, Viracocha of the Peruvians, and Quetzalcoatl of the Toltecs essentially the same as that of Michabo.—Other examples.—Ante-Columbian prophecies of the advent of a white race from the east as conquerors.—Rise of later culture myths under similar forms 191

CHAPTER VII.

THE MYTHS OF THE CREATION, THE DELUGE, THE EPOCHS OF NATURE, AND THE LAST DAY.

Cosmogonies usually portray the action of the SPIRIT on the WATERS.—Those of the Muscogees, Athapascas, Quichés, Mixtecs, Iroquois, Algonkins, and others.—The Flood-Myth an unconscious attempt to reconcile a creation in time with the eternity of matter.—Proof of this from American mythology —Characteristics of American Flood-Myths.—The person saved usually the first man.—The number seven.—Their Ararats.—The rôle of birds.—The confusion of tongues.—The Aztec, Quiché, Algonkin, Tupi, and earliest Sanscrit flood-myths.—The belief in Epochs of Nature a further result of this attempt at reconciliation.—Its forms among Peruvians, Mayas, and Aztecs.—The expectation of the End of the World a corollary of this belief.—Views of various nations 226

CHAPTER VIII.

THE ORIGIN OF MAN.

Usually man is the EARTH-BORN, both in language and myths.—The Earth-Mother.—Illustrations from the legends of the Caribs, Apalachians, Iroquois, Quichuas, Aztecs, and others.

PAGE

—The under-world.—Man the product of one of the primal creative powers, the Spirit, or the Water, in the myths of the Athapascas, Eskimos, Moxos, and others.—Not literally derived from an inferior species 257

CHAPTER IX.

THE SOUL AND ITS DESTINY.

Universality of the belief in a soul and a future state shown by the aboriginal tongues, by expressed opinions, and by sepulchral rites.—The Seat of the Soul.—The "name soul."—The future world never a place of rewards and punishments.—The house of the Sun the heaven of the red man.—The terrestrial paradise and the under-world.—Çupay.—Xibalba.—Mictlan.—Metempsychosis.—Preservation of Bones.—Mummies.—Belief in a resurrection of the dead almost universal 271

CHAPTER X.

THE NATIVE PRIESTHOOD.

Their titles.—Practitioners of the healing art by supernatural means.—Their power derived from natural magic and the exercise of the clairvoyant and mesmeric faculties.—Examples.—Epidemic hysteria.—Their social position.—Their duties as religious functionaries.—Terms of admission to the Priesthood.—Inner organization in various nations.—Their esoteric language and secret societies 304

CHAPTER XI.

THE INFLUENCE OF THE NATIVE RELIGIONS ON THE MORAL AND SOCIAL LIFE OF THE RACE.

Natural religions hitherto considered of Evil rather than of Good.—Distinctions to be drawn.—Morality not derived from religion.—The positive side of natural religions in incarnations of divinity.—Examples.—Prayers as indices of religious progress.—Religion and social advancement.—Conclusion 329

I. INDEX OF AUTHORITIES 347
II. INDEX OF SUBJECTS 351

THE MYTHS OF THE NEW WORLD.

CHAPTER I.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS ON THE RED RACE.

Natural religions the unaided attempts of man to find out God, modified by peculiarities of race and nation.—The peculiarities of the red race: 1. Its languages unfriendly to abstract ideas. Native modes of writing by means of pictures, symbols, objects, and phonetic signs. These various methods compared in their influence on the intellectual faculties. 2. Its isolation, unique in the history of the world. 3. Beyond all others, a hunting race.—Principal linguistic subdivisions: 1. The Eskimos. 2. The Athapascas. 3. The Algonkins and Iroquois. 4. The Apalachian tribes. 5. The Dakotas. 6. The Aztecs. 7. The Mayas. 8. The Muyscas. 9. The Quichuas. 10. The Caribs and Tupis. 11. The Araucanians.—General course of migrations.—Age of man in America.—Unity of type in the red race.—Mythological parallels.—Bibliographical note.

WHEN Paul, at the request of the philosophers of Athens, explained to them his views on divine things, he asserted, among other startling novelties, that "God has made of one blood all nations of the earth, that they should seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him and find him, though he is not far from every one of us."

Here was an orator advocating the unity of the human species, affirming that the chief end of man is

to develop an innate idea of God, and that all religions, except the one he preached, were examples of more or less unsuccessful attempts to do so. No wonder the Athenians, who acknowledged no kinship to barbarians, who looked dubiously at the doctrine of innate ideas, and were divided in opinion as to whether their mythology was a shrewd device of legislators to keep the populace in subjection, a veiled natural philosophy, or the celestial reflex of their own history, mocked at such a babbler and went their ways. The generations of philosophers that followed them partook of their doubts and approved their opinions, quite down to our own times.

But now, after weighing the question maturely, we are compelled to admit that the Apostle was not so wide of the mark after all—that, in fact, the latest and best authorities, with no bias in his favor, support his position and may almost be said to paraphrase his words. For according to a late writer whose work is still a standard in the science of ethnology, the severest and most patient investigations show that "not only do acknowledged facts permit the assumption of the unity of the human species, but this opinion is attended with fewer discrepancies, and has greater inner consistency than the opposite one of specific diversity."[1] And as to the religions of heathendom, the view of St. Paul is but expressed with a more poetic turn by a distinguished philosopher when he calls them, "not fables, but truths, though clothed in a garb woven by fancy, wherein the web is the notion

[1] Waitz, *Anthropologie der Naturvoelker*, i. p. 256. The theory of "monogenism," or the specific unity of Man, is now adopted by most anthropologists.

of God, the ideal of reason in the soul of man, the thought of the Infinite."[1]

Inspiration and science unite therefore to bid us dismiss as effete the prejudice that natural religions either arise as the ancient philosophies taught, or that they are, as the Dark Ages imagined, subtle nets of the devil spread to catch human souls. They are rather the unaided attempts of man to find out God; they are the efforts of the reason struggling to define the infinite; they are the expressions of that "yearning after the gods" which the earliest of poets discerned in the hearts of all men.

Studied in this sense they are rich in teachings. Would we estimate the intellectual and æsthetic culture of a people, would we generalize the laws of progress, would we appreciate the sublimity of Christianity, and read the seals of its authenticity: the natural conceptions of divinity reveal them. No mythologies are so crude, therefore, none so barbarous, but deserve the attention of the philosophic mind, for they are never the empty fictions of an idle fancy, but rather the utterances, however inarticulate, of an intuition of reason.

These considerations embolden me to approach with some confidence even the aboriginal religions of America, so often stigmatized as incoherent fetichisms, so barren, it has been said, in grand or beautiful conceptions. The task bristles with difficulties. Carelessness, prepossessions, and ignorance have disfigured them with false colors and foreign additions without number. The first maxim, therefore, must be to sift

[1] Carriere, *Die Kunst im Zusammenhang der Culturentwickelung*, i. p. 66.

and scrutinize authorities, and to reject whatever betrays the plastic hand of the European. For the religions developed by the Red Race, not those mixed creeds learned from foreign invaders, not the old myths as colored and shot with the hues of Aryan and Semitic imagery, are to be the subjects of our study.

Then will remain the formidable undertaking of reducing the authentic materials thus obtained to system and order, and this not by any preconceived theory of what they ought to conform to, but learning from them the very laws of religious growth they illustrate.

The historian traces the birth of arts, science, and government to man's dependence on nature and his fellows for the means of self-preservation. Not that man receives these endowments from without, but that the stern step-mother, Nature, forces him by threats and stripes to develop his own inherent faculties. So with religion. The idea of God does not, and cannot, proceed from the external world, but, nevertheless, it finds its *historical* origin also in the desperate struggle for life, in the satisfaction of the animal wants and passions, in those vulgar aims and motives which possessed the mind of the primitive man to the exclusion of everything else.

There is an ever present embarrassment in such inquiries. In dealing with these matters beyond the cognizance of the senses, the mind is forced to express its meaning in terms transferred from sensuous perceptions, or under symbols borrowed from the material world. These transfers must be understood, these symbols explained, before the real meaning of a myth can be reached. He who fails to guess the riddle of the sphinx, need not hope to gain admittance to the shrine.

With delicate ear the faint whispers of thought must be apprehended which prompt the intellect when it names the immaterial from the material; when it has to seek amid its concrete conceptions for those suited to convey its abstract intuitions; when it chooses from the infinity of visible forms those meet to shadow forth divinity.

Two lights will guide us on this venturesome path. Mindful of the watchword of inductive science, to proceed from the known to the unknown, the inquiry will first be put whether the aboriginal languages of America employ the same tropes to express such ideas as deity, spirit, and soul, as our own and kindred tongues. If the answer prove affirmative, then not only have we gained a firm foothold whence to survey the whole edifice of their mythology; but from an unexpected quarter arises evidence of the unity of our species, far weightier than any mere anatomy can furnish, evidence from the living soul, not from the dead body. True that the science of American linguistics is still almost in its infancy, and that an exhaustive handling of the materials it even now offers involves a more critical acquaintance with its innumerable dialects than I possess; but though the gleaning be sparse, it is enough that I break the ground.

Secondly, religious rites are unconscious commentaries on religious beliefs. At first they are rude representations of the supposed doings of the gods. The Indian rain-maker mounts to the roof of his hut, and rattling vigorously a dry gourd containing pebbles, to represent the thunder, scatters water through a reed on the ground beneath, as he imagines up above in the clouds do the spirits of the storm. Every spring in ancient Delphi was repeated in scenic ceremony the combat of

Apollo and the Dragon, the victory of the lord of bright summer over the demon of chilling winter. Thus do forms and ceremonies reveal the meaning of mythology, and the origin of its fables.

Let it not be objected that this proposed method of analysis assumes that religions begin and develop under the operation of inflexible laws. The soul is shackled by no such fatalism. Formative influences there are, deep seated, far reaching, escaped by few; but like those which of yore astrologers imputed to the stars, they potently incline, they do not coerce. Language, pursuits, habits, geographical position, and those subtle mental traits which make up the characteristics of races and nations, all tend to deflect from a given standard the religious life of the individual and the mass. It is essential to give these due weight, and a necessary preface therefore to an analysis of the myths of the red race is an enumeration of its peculiarities, and of its chief families as they were located when first known to the historian.

Of all such modifying circumstances none has greater importance than the means of expressing and transmitting intellectual action. The spoken and the written language of a nation reveals to us its prevailing, and to a certain degree its unavoidable mode of thought. Here the red race offers a notable phenomenon. Scarcely any other trait, physical or mental, binds together its scattered clans so unmistakably as this of language. From the Frozen Ocean to the Land of Fire, with few exceptions the native dialects, though varying endlessly in words, are alike in certain peculiarities of construction, certain morphological features, rarely found elsewhere on the globe, and nowhere else with such persistence.

So foreign are these traits to the grammar of the Aryan tongues that it is not easy to explain them in a few sentences. They depend on a peculiarly complex method of presenting the relations of the idea in the word. This construction has been called by some philologists *polysynthesis;* but it is better to retain for its chief characteristic the term originally applied to it by Wilhelm von Humboldt, *incorporation* (Einverleibung).

What it is will best appear by comparison. Every grammatical sentence conveys one leading idea with its modifications and relations. Now a Chinese would express these latter by unconnected syllables, the precise bearing of which could only be guessed by their position; a Greek or a German would use independent words, indicating their relations by terminations meaningless in themselves; a Finn would add syllable after syllable to the end of the principal word, each modifying the main idea; an Englishman gains the same end chiefly by the use of particles and by position.

Very different from all these is the spirit of an incorporative language. It seeks to unite in the most intimate manner all relations and modifications with the leading idea, to merge one in the other by altering the forms of the words themselves and welding them together, to express the whole in one word, and to banish any conception except as it arises in relation to others.[1] Thus in many American tongues there is, in

[1] The term *polysynthesis* refers properly to the external form of the expression, *incorporation* to the linguistic process itself. Incorporation was fully defined and illustrated by Wilhelm von Humboldt in his celebrated essay prefixed to his work on the Kawi language, § 17. It has since been explained with abundant clearness by Steinthal in his *Charakteristik der Typen des Sprachbaues.* The assertion repeatedly advanced by writers superficially ac-

fact, no word for father, mother, brother, but only for my, your, his father, etc. This has advantages and defects. It offers marvelous facilities for defining the perceptions of the senses with accuracy; but regarding everything in the concrete, it is unfriendly to the nobler labors of the mind, to abstraction and generalization.

In the numberless changes of these languages, their bewildering flexibility, their variable forms, and their rapid alteration, they seem to betray a lack of individuality, and to resemble the vague and tumultuous history of the tribes who employ them. They exhibit at times a strange laxity. It is nothing uncommon for the two sexes to use different names for the same object, and for nobles and vulgar, priests and people, the old and the young, nay, even the married and single, to observe what seems to the European ear quite different modes of expression. Their phonetics are fluctuating, the consonantal sounds often alternating between several which in our tongue are clearly defined.

Families and whole villages suddenly drop words and manufacture others in their places out of mere caprice or superstition, and a few years' separation suffices to produce a marked dialectic difference; though it is everywhere true that the basic radicals of each stock and the main outlines of its grammatical forms reveal

quainted with the process that it is the same as agglutination, or a form of it, proves that they are not familiar with the subject. Incorporation may exist without polysynthesis, as is the case in the Otomi and various other American tongues. Those who would pursue the question further may consult my *Essays of an Americanist*, pp. 328, *sqq;* and Heinrich Winkler, *Zur Sprachgeschichte, passim.* Another term for incorporation, employed by M. Cuoq, is *introsusception.* (*Jugement erroné sur les Langues Sauvages.* 2d Ed., p. 31.)

a surprising tenacity in the midst of these surface changes. Vocabularies collected by the earliest navigators are easily recognized from existing tongues, and the widest wanderings of vagrant bands can be traced by the continued relationship of their dialects to the parent stem.

In their copious forms and facility of reproduction they remind one of those anomalous animals, in whom, when a limb is lopped, it rapidly grows again, or even if cut in pieces each part will enter on a separate life quite unconcerned about his fellows. But as the naturalist is far from regarding this superabundant vitality as a characteristic of a higher type, so the philologist justly assigns these tongues a low position in the linguistic scale. Fidelity to form, here as everywhere, is the test of excellence.

At the outset, we divine there can be nothing very subtle in the mythologies of nations with such languages. Much there must be that will be obscure, much that is vague, an exhausting variety in repetition, and a strong tendency to lose the idea in the symbol.

What definiteness of outline might be preserved must depend on the care with which the old stories of the gods were passed from one person and one generation to another. The fundamental myths of a race have a surprising tenacity of life. How many centuries had elapsed between the period the Germanic hordes separated from the Argans of Central Asia, and when Tacitus listened to their wild songs on the banks of the Rhine? Yet we know that through those unnumbered ages of barbarism and aimless roving, these songs, "their only sort of history or annals," says the historian, had preserved intact the story of Mannus, the

Sanscrit Manu, and his three sons, and of the great god Tuisco, the Indian Dyu.[1]

So much the more do all means invented by the red race to record and transmit thought merit our careful attention. Few and feeble they seem to us, mainly shifts to aid the memory. Of some such, perhaps, not a single tribe was destitute. The tattoo marks on the warrior's breast, his string of grisly scalps, the bear's claws around his neck, were not only trophies of his prowess, but records of his exploits, and to the contemplative mind contain the rudiments of the beneficent art of letters. Did he draw in rude outline on his skin tent figures of men transfixed with arrows as many as he had slain enemies, his education was rapidly advancing. He had mastered the elements of *picture writing*, beyond which hardly the wisest of his race progressed. Figures of the natural objects connected by symbols having fixed meanings make up the whole of this art. The relative frequency of the latter marks its advancement from a merely figurative to an ideographic notation.

On what principle of mental association a given sign was adopted to express a certain idea, why, for instance, on the Chipeway scrolls a circle means *spirits*, and a horned snake *life*, it is often hard to guess. The difficulty grows when we find that to the initiated the same sign calls up quite different ideas, as the subject of the writer varies from war to love, or from the chase to religion. The connection is generally beyond the power of divination, and the key to ideographic writing once lost can never be recovered.

The number of such arbitrary characters in the

[1] Grimm, *Geschichte der Deutschen Sprache*, p. 571.

Chipeway notation is said to be over two hundred; but if the distinction between a figure and a symbol were rigidly applied, it would be much reduced. This kind of writing, if it deserves the name, was common throughout the continent, and many specimens of it, scratched on the plane surfaces of stones, have been preserved to the present day. Such is the once celebrated inscription on Dighton Rock, Massachusetts, long supposed to be a record of the Northmen of Vinland; such are those that mark the faces of the cliffs which overhang the waters of the Orinoco, and those that in Oregon, Peru and La Plata have been the subject of much curious speculation. They are alike the mute epitaphs of vanished generations.[1]

I would it could be said that in favorable contrast to our ignorance of these inscriptions is our comprehension of the highly wrought pictography of the Nahuas or Aztecs.[2] No nation ever reduced it more to a system. It was in constant use in the daily transactions of life. They manufactured for writing purposes a thick, coarse paper from the leaves of the agave plant by a process of maceration and pressure.

An Aztec book closely resembles one of our quarto volumes. It is made of a single sheet, twelve to fifteen inches wide, and often sixty or seventy feet long, and is not rolled, but folded either in squares or zigzags in such a manner that on opening it there are two pages exposed to view. Thin wooden boards are fastened to each of the outer leaves, so that the whole presents as neat an appearance, remarks Peter Martyr as if it had

[1] The classical work on the subject is Garrick Mallery, *Picture Writing of the American Indians* (Washington, 1893).

[2] The Aztecs and many other tribes of Mexico spoke the Nahuatl language, and hence are called collectively *Nahuas*.

come from the shop of a skilful bookbinder. They also covered buildings, tapestries and scrolls of parchment with these devices, and for trifling transactions were familiar with the use of *slates* of soft stone from which the figures could readily be erased with water.[1] What is still more astonishing, there is reason to believe, in some instances, their figures were not painted, but actually *printed* with movable blocks of wood on which the symbols were carved in relief, though this was probably confined to those intended for ornament only.

In these records we discern something higher than a mere symbolic notation. They contain the germ of a phonetic alphabet, and represent sounds of spoken language. The symbol is often not connected with the *idea* but with the *word*. The mode in which this is done corresponds precisely to that of the rebus. It is a simple method, readily suggesting itself. In the middle ages it was much in vogue in Europe for the same purpose for which it was chiefly employed in Mexico at the same time—the writing of proper names. For example, the English family Bolton was known in heraldry by a *tun* transfixed by a *bolt*. Precisely so the Mexican emperor Ixcoatl is mentioned in the Aztec manuscripts under the figure of a serpent *coatl*, pierced by obsidian knives *ixtli;* and Moquauhzoma by a mouse-trap *montli*, an eagle *quauhtli*, a lancet *zo*, and a hand *maitl*.

As a syllable could be expressed by any object whose name commenced with it, as few words can be given the form of a rebus without some change, as the figures sometimes represent their full phonetic value, some-

[1] Peter Martyr, *De Insulis nuper Repertis*, p. 354: Colon, 1574.

times only that of their initial sound, and as universally the attention of the artist was directed less to the sound than to the idea, the didactic painting of the Mexicans, whatever it might have been to them, is a sealed book to us, and must remain so in great part. Moreover, in many instances it is undetermined whether it should be read from the first to the last page, or *vice versa*, whether from right to left or from left to right, from bottom to top or from top to bottom, around the edges of the page toward the centre, or each line in the opposite direction from the preceding one. There are good authorities for all these methods, and they may all be correct, for there is no evidence that any fixed rule had been laid down in this respect.[1]

Immense masses of such documents were stored in the archives of ancient Mexico. The historian Torquemada asserts that five cities alone yielded to the Spanish governor on one requisition no less than sixteen thousand volumes or scrolls! Every leaf was destroyed. Indeed, so thorough and wholesale was the destruction of these memorials now so precious in our eyes that very few remain to whet the wits of antiquaries.

What there are, however, have been diligently collected and published by the interest of learned societies and the generosity of individuals, so that the student has a reasonable apparatus at hand for his attention.

Beyond all others the Mayas, resident on the peninsula of Yucatan and in the adjacent parts of Central America, seem to have approached nearest to a definite graphic system. Several of their books, written before

[1] The principal recent authorities on the Mexican picture writing are Dr. E. Seler, and Dr. Antonio Peñafiel. For the earlier views see Waitz, *Anthropologie der Naturvoelker*, Bd. IV, p. 173.

the Europeans invaded their country, have been preserved, and innumerable inscriptions on the stone facades of walls, on their pottery, and on wooden beams, remain to attest the uniformity of their method throughout nearly the whole area occupied by their many affiliated tribes. This native literature has been searchingly analyzed by Förstemann, Seler, Schellhas, Cyrus Thomas and other scholars, and the results though far from exhaustive are so complete that the general tenor and purpose of most of such writings can be ascertained. We do not find a developed phonetic system and yet one more than pictographic. The figures are combinations of symbols, ideograms and phonetic equivalents, the last mentioned being in sufficiently large proportion to render some knowledge of the Maya language necessary to an interpretation of the records.[1]

In South America, also, there is said to have been a nation who cultivated the art of picture writing, the Panos, on the river Ucayale. A missionary, Narcisso Gilbar by name, once penetrated, with great toil, to one of their villages. As he approached he beheld a venerable man seated under the shade of a palm tree, with a great book open before him from which he was reading to an attentive circle of auditors the wars and wanderings of their forefathers. With difficulty the priest got a sight of the precious volume, and found it covered with figures and signs in marvelous symmetry and

[1] An idea of the zeal with which the study of the Maya writing has been prosecuted may be gained from an examination of Dr. K. Haebler's bibliography of it published in the number for December, 1895, of the *Centralblatt für Bibliothekwesen*. It mentions 436 titles! For a summary of the subject I may refer to my *Primer of Mayan Hieroglyphics*. (Boston, 1895.)

order.[1] No wonder such a romantic scene left a deep impression on his mind.

The Peruvians adopted a totally different and unique system of records, that by means of the *quipu*. This was a base cord, the thickness of the finger, of any required length, to which were attached numerous small strings of different colors, lengths, and textures, variously knotted and twisted one with another. Each of these peculiarities represented a certain number, a quality, quantity, or other idea, but *what*, not the most fluent *quipu* reader could tell unless he was acquainted with the general topic treated of. Therefore, whenever news was sent in this manner a person accompanied the bearer to serve as verbal commentator, and to prevent confusion the *quipus* relating to the various departments of knowledge were placed in separate storehouses. one for war, another for taxes, a third for history, and so forth.

On what principle of mnemotechnics the ideas were connected with the knots and colors we are very much in the dark; it has even been doubted whether they had any application beyond the art of numeration.[2] Each combination had, however, a fixed ideographic value in a certain branch of knowledge, and thus the *quipu* differed essentially from the Catholic rosary, the Jewish phylactery, or the knotted strings of the natives of North America and Siberia, to all of which it has at times been compared.

[1] Humboldt, *Vues des Cordillères*, p. 72.

[2] Desjardins, *Le Pérou avant la Conquête Espagnole*, p. 122. Modern quipu reading is explained by Max Uhle in the *Ethnologisches Notizblatt*, Heft. 2, 1895. An early author on Peru states that the most recondite theories of the native religious philosophy were recorded by *quipus*. (*Relacion Anonima* in *Tres Relaciones Peruanas*, Madrid, 1879.)

The *wampum* used by the tribes of the north Atlantic coast was, in many respects, analogous to the quipu. In early times it was composed chiefly of bits of wood or shell of equal size, but different colors. These were hung on strings which were woven into belts and bands, the hues, shapes, sizes, and combinations of the strings hinting their general significance. Thus the lighter shades were invariably harbingers of peaceful or pleasant tidings, while the darker portended war and danger. The general substitution of beads in place of wood, and the custom of embroidering figures in the belts were, probably, introduced by European influence.

Besides these, various simpler mnemonic aids were employed, such as parcels of reeds of different lengths, notched sticks, knots in cords, strings of pebbles or fruit-stones, circular pieces of wood, small wheels or slabs pierced with different figures which the English liken to "cony holes," and at a victory, a treaty, or the founding of a village, sometimes a pillar or heap of stones was erected equalling in number the persons present at the occasion, or the count of the fallen.

This exhausts the list. All other methods of writing, the hieroglyphs of the Micmacs of Acadia, the syllabic alphabet of the Cherokees, the pretended traces of Greek, Hebrew, and Celtiberic letters which have from time to time been brought to the notice of the public, have been without exception the products of foreign civilization or simply frauds. Not a single coin, inscription, or memorial of any kind whatever, has been found on the American continent showing the employment, either generally or locally, of any other means of writing than those specified.

Poor as these substitutes for a developed phonetic system seem to us, they were of great value to the

uncultivated man. In his legends their introduction is usually ascribed to some heaven-sent benefactor, the antique characters were jealously adhered to, and the pictured scroll of bark, the quipu ball, the belt of wampum, were treasured with provident care, and their import minutely expounded to the most intelligent of the rising generation. In all communities beyond the stage of barbarism a class of persons was set apart for this duty and no other. Thus, for example, in ancient Peru, one college of priests styled *amauta*, learned, had exclusive charge over the quipus containing the mythological and historical traditions; a second, the *haravecs*, singers, devoted themselves to those referring to the national ballads and dramas; while a third occupied their time solely with those pertaining to civil affairs.

Such custodians preserved and prepared the archives, learned by heart with their aid what their fathers knew, and in some countries, as, for instance, among the Panos mentioned above, and the Quiches of Guatemala,[1] repeated portions of them at times to the assembled populace. It has even been averred by one of their converted chiefs, long a missionary to his fellows, that the Chipeways of Lake Superior have a college composed of ten "of the wisest and most venerable of their nation," who have in charge the pictured records containing the ancient history of their tribe. These are kept in an underground chamber, and are disinterred every fifteen years by the assembled guardians, that they may be repaired, and their contents explained to new members of the society.[2]

[1] An instance is given by Ximenes, *Origen de los Indios de Guatemala*, p. 186.

[2] George Copway, *Traditional History of the Ojibway Nation*, p.

In spite of these precautions, the end seems to have been very imperfectly attained. The most distinguished characters, the weightiest events in national history faded into oblivion after a few generations. The time and circumstances of the formation of the league of the Five Nations, the dispersion of the mound builders of the Ohio valley in the fifteenth century, the chronicles of Peru or Mexico beyond a century or two anterior to the conquest, the genealogies of their ruling families, are preserved in such a vague and contradictory manner that they have slight value as history.

Their mythology fared somewhat better, for not only was it kept fresh in the memory by frequent repetition; but being itself founded in nature, it was constantly nourished by the truths which gave it birth. Nevertheless, we may profit by the warning to remember that their myths are myths only, and not the reflections of history or heroes.

Rising from these details to a general comparison of the symbolic and phonetic systems in their reactions on the mind, the most obvious are their contrasted effects on the faculty of memory. Letters represent elementary sounds, which are few in any language, while symbols stand for ideas, and they are numerically infinite. The transmission of knowledge by means of the latter is consequently attended with most disproportionate labor. It is almost as if we could quote nothing from an author unless we could recollect his exact words. We have a right to look for excellent memories where such a mode is in vogue, and in the

130 (London, 1850). Mr. Horatio Hale tells me that the Iroquois still preserve a similar institution to keep up the interpretation of their wampum belts.

present instance we are not disappointed. "These savages," exclaims La Hontan, "have the happiest memories in the world!" It was etiquette at their councils for each speaker to repeat verbatim all his predecessors had said, and the whites were often astonished and confused at the verbal fidelity with which the natives recalled the transactions of long past treaties.

Their songs were inexhaustible. An instance is on record where an Indian sang two hundred on various subjects.[1] Such a fact reminds us of a beautiful expression of the elder Humboldt: "Man," he says, "regarded as an animal, belongs to one of the singing species; but his notes are always associated with ideas." The youth who were educated at the public schools of ancient Mexico—for that realm, so far from neglecting the cause of popular education, established houses for gratuitous instruction, and to a certain extent made the attendance upon them obligatory—learned by rote long orations, poems and prayers with a facility astonishing to the conquerors, and surpassing anything they were accustomed to see in the universities of Old Spain.

A phonetic system actually weakens the retentive powers of the mind by offering a more facile plan for preserving thought. "*Ce que je mets sur papier, je remets de ma mémoire*" is an expression of old Montaigne which he could never have used had he employed ideographic characters.

Memory, however, is of far less importance than a free activity of thought, untrammelled by forms or

[1] Morse, *Report on the Indian Tribes*, App. p. 352. Similar instances have been reported by Dr. Washington Matthews, Mr. Frank H. Cushing and other close observers of the modern Indian.

precedents, and ever alert to novel combinations of ideas. Give a race this, and it will guide it to civilization as surely as the needle directs the ship to its haven. It is here that ideographic writing reveals its fatal inferiority. It is forever specifying, materializing, dealing in minutiæ. In the Egyptian symbolic alphabet there is a figure for a virgin, another for a married woman, for a widow without offspring, for a widow with one child, two children, and I know not in how many other circumstances, but for *woman* there is no sign. It must be so in the nature of things, for the symbol represents the object as it appears or is fancied to appear, and not as it is *thought*. Furthermore, the constant learning by heart infallibly leads to heedless repetition and mental servility.

A symbol when understood is independent of sound, and is as universally current as an Arabic numeral. But this divorce of spoken and written language is of questionable advantage. It at once destroys all permanent improvement in a tongue through elegance of style, sonorous periods, or delicacy of expression, and the life of the language itself is weakened when its forms are left to fluctuate uncontrolled. Written poetry, grammar, rhetoric, all are impossible to the student who draws his knowledge from such a source.

Finally, it has been justly observed by the younger Humboldt that the painful fidelity to the antique figures transmitted from barbarous to polished generations is injurious to the æsthetic sense, and dulls the mind to the beautiful in art and nature.

The transmission of thought by figures and symbols would, on the whole, therefore, foster those narrow and material tendencies which the genius of incorporative

languages would seem calculated to produce. Its one redeeming trait of strengthening the memory will serve to explain the strange tenacity with which certain myths have been preserved through widely dispersed families, as we shall hereafter see.

Besides this of language there are two traits in the history of the red man without parallel in that of any other variety of our species which has achieved any notable progress in civilization.

The one is his *isolation.* Cut off time out of mind from the rest of the world, he never underwent those crossings of blood and culture which so modified and on the whole promoted the growth of the old world nationalities. In his own way he worked out his own destiny, and what he won was his with a more than ordinary right of ownership. For all those old dreams of the advent of the Ten Lost Tribes, of Buddhist priests, of Welsh princes, or of Phenician merchants on American soil, and there exerting a permanent influence, have been consigned to the dust-bin by every unbiased student, and when we see learned men essaying to resuscitate them, we regretfully look upon it in the light of a scientific anachronism.[1] The most competent observers are agreed that American art bears the indisputable stamp of its indigenous growth. Those analogies and identities which have been brought forward to prove its Asiatic or European or Polynesian origin, whether in myth, folk-lore or technical details,

[1] These words, written thirty years ago, have not been in the least invalidated by subsequent research. There are still a few writers who, misconstruing the meaning of analogies of culture, continue to produce them as evidence of the foreign origin of native American civilization; but their number is yearly diminishing.

belong wholly and only to the uniform development of human culture under similar conditions. This is their true anthropological interpretation, and we need no other.

The second trait is the entire absence of the herdsman's life with its softening associations. Throughout the continent there is not a single authentic instance of a pastoral tribe, not one of an animal raised for its milk, nor for the transportation of persons, and very few for their flesh.[1] It was essentially a hunting race. The most civilized nations looked to the chase for their chief supply of meat, and the courts of Cuzco and Mexico enacted stringent game and forest laws, and at certain periods the whole population turned out for a general crusade against the denizens of the forest. In the most densely settled districts the conquerors found vast stretches of primitive woods.

If we consider the life of a hunter, pitting his skill and strength against the marvelous instincts and quick perceptions of the brute, training his senses to preternatural acuteness, but blunting his more tender feelings, his sole aim to shed blood and take life, dependent on luck for his food, exposed to deprivations, storms and long wanderings, his chief diet flesh, we may more readily comprehend that conspicuous disregard of human suffering, those sanguinary rites, that vindictive spirit, that inappeasable restlessness, which we so often find in the chronicles of ancient America. The old

[1] The lamas in Peru were domesticated in considerable numbers, chiefly for the fleece. Some similar animal may have been tamed by the ancient inhabitants of the Rio Salado, and Gomara asserts that a tribe near Cape Hatteras kept flocks of deer (*Hist. de las Indias*, cap. 43). Dogs were occasionally trained to draw loads, but not as pack animals.

English law with reason objected to accepting a butcher as a juror on a trial for life; here is a whole race of butchers

The one mollifying element was agriculture. On the altar of Mixcoatl, god of hunting, the Aztec priest tore the heart from the human victim and smeared with the spouting blood the snake that coiled its length around the idol; flowers and fruits, yellow ears of maize and clusters of rich bananas decked the shrine of Centeotl, beneficent patroness of agriculture, and bloodless offerings alone were her appropriate dues.

This shows how clear, even to the native mind, was the contrast between these two modes of subsistence. By substituting a sedentary for a wandering life, by supplying a fixed dependence for an uncertain contingency, and by admonishing man that in preservation, not in destruction, lies his most remunerative sphere of activity, we can hardly estimate too highly the wide distribution of the *zea mays*. This was the only general cereal, and it was found in cultivation from the southern extremity of Chili to the fiftieth parallel of of north latitude, beyond which limits the low temperature renders it an uncertain crop. In their legends it is represented as the gift of the Great Spirit (Chipeways), brought from the terrestrial Paradise by the sacred animals (Quiches), and symbolically the mother of the race (Nahuas), and the material from which was moulded the first of men (Quiches).[1]

As the races, so the great families of man who speak dialects of the same tongue are, in a sense, individuals, bearing each its own physiognomy. When the whites

[1] Dr. J. W. Harshberger, in his *Maize: a Botanical and Economic Study* (1893), enters at considerable length into the historical question of its origin and early distribution in America.

first heard the uncouth gutturals of the Indians, they frequently proclaimed that hundreds of radically diverse languages, invented, it was piously suggested, by the devil for the annoyance of missionaries, prevailed over the continent. Earnest students of such matters—Gallatin, Turner, Buschmann, Adam—have, however, demonstrated that three-fourths of the area of America, at its discovery, was controlled by tribes using dialects traceable to ten or a dozen primitive stems. The names of these, their geographical position in the sixteenth century, and, so far as it is safe to do so, their individual character, I shall briefly mention.

Fringing the shores of the Northern Ocean from Mount St. Elias on the West to the Gulf of St. Lawrence on the east, rarely seen a hundred miles from the coast, were the Eskimos.[1] They occupy the intermediate geographical position between the races of the Old and New Worlds, and in physical appearance and mental traits have been in parts influenced by the former, but in language betray their near kinship to the latter. An amphibious race, born fishermen, in their buoyant skin kayaks they brave fearlessly the tempests, make long voyages, and merit the sobriquet

[1] The name Eskimo is from the Algonkin word *Eskimantick*, eaters of raw flesh. There is reason to believe that at one time they possessed the Atlantic coast considerably to the south. The Northmen, in the year 1000, found the natives of Vinland, possibly near Cape Cod, of the same race as they were familiar with in Labrador. They call them contemptuously *Skralingar*, chips, and describe them as numerous and short of stature (Eric Rothens Saga, in Mueller, *Sagœnbibliothek*, p. 214). It is curious that the traditions of the Tuscaroras, who placed their arrival on the Virginian coast about 1300, spoke of the race they found there (called Tacci or Dogi) as eaters of raw flesh and ignorant of maize. (Lederer, *Account of North America*, in Harris, Voyages.)

bestowed upon them by Von Baer, "the Phenicians of the north." Contrary to what one might suppose, they are, amid their snows, a contented, light-hearted people, knowing no longing for a sunnier clime, given to song, music and merry tales. They are cunning handicraftsmen to a degree, but withal wholly ingulfed in a sensuous existence. The desperate struggle for life engrosses them, and their mythology is comparatively barren.

South of them, extending in a broad band across the continent from Hudson's Bay to the Pacific, and almost to the Great Lakes below, is the Athapascan stock. Its affiliated tribes rove far north to the mouth of the Mackenzie River, and wandering still more widely in an opposite direction along both declivities of the Rocky Mountains, people portions of the coast of Oregon south of the mouth of the Columbia, and spreading over the plains of New Mexico under the names of Apaches, Navajos, and Lipans, almost reached the tropics at the delta of the Rio Grande del Norte, and on the shores of the Gulf of California.

No wonder they deserted their fatherland and forgot it altogether, for it is a very *terra damnata*, whose wretched inhabitants are cut off alike from the harvest of the sea and the harvest of the soil. The profitable culture of maize does not extend beyond the fiftieth parallel of latitude, and less than seven degrees farther north the mean annual temperature everywhere east of the mountains sinks below the freezing point.[1] Agriculture is impossible, and the only chance for life lies in the uncertain fortunes of the chase and the penurious gifts of an arctic flora.

[1] Richardson, *Arctic Expedition*, p. 374.

The denizens of these wilds are abject, slovenly, hopelessly savage, "at the bottom of the scale of humanity in North America," says Dr. Richardson; and their relatives who have wandered to the more genial climes of the south are as savage as they, as perversely hostile to a sedentary life, as gross and narrow in their moral notions. This wide-spread stock, scattered over forty-five degrees of latitude, covering thousands of square leagues, reaching from the Arctic Ocean to the confines of the ancient empire of the Montezumas, presents in all its subdivisions the same mental physiognomy and linguistic peculiarities.[1]

Best known to us of all the Indians are the Algonkins and Iroquois, who, at the time of the discovery, were the sole possessors of the region now embraced by Canada and the eastern United States north of the thirty-fifth parallel. The latter, under the names of the Five Nations, Hurons, Tuscaroras, Susquehannocks, Nottoways and others, occupied much of the soil from the St. Lawrence and Lake Ontario to the Roanoke, and the Cherokees, whose homes were in the secluded vales of East Tennessee, appear to have been one of their early offshoots.[2] They were a race of warriors, courageous, cruel, unimaginative, but of rare political

[1] The late Professor W. W. Turner of Washington, and Professor Buschmann of Berlin, are the two scholars who have traced the boundaries of this widely dispersed family. The name is drawn from Lake Athapasca in British America. There is some affinity between the Otomi of Mexico and the Athapascan dialects. They are also known as the Déné or Tinné.

[2] The Cherokee tongue has a limited number of words in common with the Iroquois, and its structural similarity is close. Their name is properly Atsálagi, and is that by which they call a person of their own people.

sagacity. They are more like ancient Romans than Indians, and are leading figures in the colonial wars.

The Algonkins surrounded them on every side, occupying the rest of the region mentioned and running westward to the base of the Rocky Mountains, where one of their famous bands, the Blackfeet, still hunts over the valley of the Saskatchewan. They were more genial than the Iroquois, of milder manners and more vivid fancy, and were regarded by these with a curious mixture of respect and contempt. Some writer has connected this difference with their preference for the open prairie country in contrast to the endless and sombre forests where were the homes of the Iroquois.[1]

Their history abounds in great men, whose ambitious plans were foiled by the levity of their allies and their want of persistence. They it was who under King Philip fought the Puritan fathers; who at the instigation of Pontiac doomed to death every white trespasser on their soil; who led by Tecumseh and Black Hawk gathered the clans of the forest and mountain for the last pitched battle of the races in the Mississippi valley. To them belonged the mild mannered Lenni Lenape, who little foreboded the hand of iron that grasped their own so softly under the elm tree of Shackamaxon, to them the restless Shawnee, the gypsy of the wilderness, the Chipeways of Lake Superior, and also to them the Indian girl Pocahontas, who in the legend averted from the head of the white man the blow which, rebounding, swept away her father and all his tribe.

[1] The term Algonkin may be a corruption of *agomeegwin*, people of the other shore. Algic, often used synonymously, is an adjective manufactured by Mr. Schoolcraft "from the words Alleghany and Atlantic" (*Algic Researches*, ii. p. 12). There is no occasion to accept it, as there is no objection to employing Algonkin both as

Between their southernmost outposts and the Gulf Coast were a number of clans speaking dialects of the Chahta-Muskoki tongue, including the Choctaws, Chickasaws, Upper and Lower Creeks and the Seminoles. Their common legend stated that long ago they entered this district from the west, and destroyed or allied themselves with its earlier occupants. Among these were the Uchees and the Timucuas, the latter possessing the greater part of the peninsula of Florida when it was first explored by the Spanish and French colonists in the sixteenth century.[1] The Chahta-Muskoki dialects stretched from the Savannah and Tennessee Rivers to the Gulf Coast, and from the Mississippi to the Atlantic seaboard; but no trace of that tongue or of any other on the northern mainland existed on the Bahamas or the Antilles; nor, so far as is now known, did any linguistic stock of the West Indian Archipelago or South American continent locate a colony in Florida or the Gulf States.

North of the Arkansas River on the right bank of the Mississippi, quite to its source, stretching over to Lake Michigan at Green Bay, and up the valley of the Missouri west to the mountains, resided the Dakotas, an erratic folk, averse to agriculture, but daring hunters and bold warriors, tall and strong of body.[2] Their

substantive and adjective. Iroquois is a French compound of the native word *hiro*, I have said, and *koué*, an interjection of assent or applause, terms constantly heard in their councils.

[1] By a strange chance the language of the Timucuas has been preserved, though probably the last soul that could speak it died more than a century ago. Their high artistic capacity, as revealed in the collections of Clarence Moore and Frank H. Cushing, lend to them especial interest. (*Raoul de la Grasserie, Grammaire et Vocabulaire Timucua.*)

[2] Dakota, a native word, means friends or allies. By the Bureau of American Ethnology the stock is called the "Siouan."

religious notions have been carefully studied, and as they are remarkably primitive and transparent, they will often be referred to. The Sioux and the Winnebagoes are well known branches of this family.

Some distant fragments of it, such as the Tuteloes of Virginia and the Catawbas of Carolina, were found east of the Alleghanies near the sea board, and the Biloxis on the Gulf Coast in Louisiana.[1]

We have seen that Dr. Richardson assigned to a portion of the Athapascas the lowest place among North American tribes; but there are some in New Mexico who might contest the sad distinction, the Root Diggers, Comanches and others, members of the Snake or Shoshonee family, scattered extensively northwest of Mexico. It has been said of a part of these that they are "nearer the brutes than probably any other portion of the human race on the face of the globe."[2] Their habits in some respects are more brutish than those of any brute, for there is no limit to man's moral descent or ascent, and the observer might well be excused for doubting whether such a stock ever had a history in the past, or the possibility of one in the future. Yet these debased creatures speak a related dialect, and partake in some measure of the same blood as the famous Aztec race, who founded the empire of Anahuac, and raised architectural monuments rivalling the most famous structures of the ancient world.[3]

[1] On these consult the excellent monograph of James Mooney, *The Siouan Tribes of the East* (Washington, 1894).

[2] *Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs*, 1854, p. 209. Professor R. Virchow assigns to one of their skulls the very lowest position of any he had examined. *Crania Ethnica Americana*, Tafel xvi.

[3] According to Professor Buschmann Aztec is probably from *iztac*,

This great family, the "Uto-Aztecan,"[1] whose language has been traced from Nicaragua to the Columbia River, and whose bold intellects and enterprising character colored much of the civilization in this wide area, seems to have journeyed southward at some remote epoch from a centre between the Great Lakes and the Rocky Mountains. They peopled the Sierras of Sonora and controlled the land between the Pacific and the Gulf of Mexico. One of their small bands, the Toltecs, became invested in later legend with the halo of heroes and magicians, and were mythically represented as the founders of that civilization which it is probable they largely borrowed in germ from tribes in the south of Mexico. Such as it was, they readily assimilated and increased it, and their distant colonies in Nicaragua and Costa Rica carried it with them to these remote points.

Of an older and higher civilization than the Nahuas were the Mayan tribes. At the discovery, their contiguous bands occupied all the soil of Yucatan and

white, and Nahuatlacatl signifies those who speak the language *Nahuatl*, clear sounding, sonorous. The Abbé Brasseur (de Bourbourg), on the other hand, derives the latter from the Quiche *nawal*, intelligent, and adds the amazing information that this is identical with the English *know all!!* (*Hist. du Mexique*, etc., i. p. 102). The Shoshonees when first known dwelt as far north as the head waters of the Missouri, and in the country now occupied by the Black Feet. Their language, which includes that of the Comanche, Wihinasht, Utah, and kindred bands, was first shown to have many and marked affinities with that of the Aztecs by Professor Buschmann in his great work, *Ueber die Spüren der Aztekischen Sprache im nördlichen Mexico und höheren Amerikanischen Norden*, p. 648 (Berlin, 1854).

[1] Such is the general name I have proposed for it in my *American Race*, p. 118 (Philadelphia, 1891).

most of that of Guatemala, Chiapas, Tabasco and Western Honduras. An outlying colony dwelt in the valley of the Rio Panuco north of Vera Cruz. They were the builders of the famous ruins of Palenque, Copan, Uxmal and Chichen Itza, as well as of hundreds less known but not less majestic cities, now hidden in the shades of the tropical forests.

Their language is radically distinct from that of the Aztecs, but their calendar and a portion of their mythology are common property. They seem an ancient race of mild manners and considerable polish. Their own annals, preserved by means of their calendars and graphic methods, carry their history back nearly to the beginning of the Christian era.[1]

No American nation offers a more promising field for study. Their stone temples still bear testimony to their uncommon skill in the arts. A trustworthy tradition dates the close of the golden age of Yucatan a century anterior to its discovery by Europeans. Previously it had been one kingdom, under one ruler, and prolonged peace had fostered the growth of the fine arts; but when their capital Mayapan fell, internal dissensions ruined most of their cities.

Very slight connection has been shown between the civilization of North and South America, and that only near the Isthmus of Panama. In the latter continent it was confined to two totally foreign tribes, the Muyscas, whose empire, called that of the Zacs, was in the neighborhood of Bogota, and the Peruvians, who were divided into two primary divisions, the one the Quichuas, including the Incas and Aymaras, possessing

[1] *The Maya Chronicles*, Edited by D. G. Brinton (Philadelphia, 1882).

the Andean region, and the Yuncas of the coast. The former were the dominant tribe and extended their language and race along the highlands of the Cordilleras from the Equator to the thirtieth degree of south latitude. Lake Titicaca seems to have been the cradle of their civilization, offering another example how inland seas and well-watered plains favor the change from a hunting to an agricultural life.

These four nations, the Aztecs, the Mayas, the Muyscas and the Peruvians, developed spontaneously and independently under the laws of human progress what civilization was found among the red race. They owed nothing to Asiatic or European teachers. The Incas it was long supposed spoke a language of their own, and this has been thought evidence of foreign extraction; but Wilhelm von Humboldt has shown conclusively that it was but a dialect of the common tongue of their country.[1]

When Columbus first touched the island of Cuba, he was regaled with horrible stories of one-eyed monsters who dwelt on the other islands, but plundered indiscriminately on every hand. These turned out to be the notorious Caribs, whose other name *Cannibals*, has

[1] His opinion was founded on an analysis of fifteen words of the secret language of the Incas preserved in the Royal Commentaries of Garcilasso de la Vega. On examination, they all proved to be modified forms from the *lengua general* (Meyen, *Ueber die Ureinbewohner von Peru*, p. 6). The Quichuas of Peru must not be confounded with the Quiches, a Mayan tribe of Guatemala. *Quiche* is the name of a place, and means "many trees;" the derivation of Quichua is unknown. Muyscas means "men." This nation also called themselves Chibchas. The most accurate studies of the tongues of ancient Peru are those of Dr. E. W. Middendorf (Leipzig, 1890-1895). He includes the Quichua, Aymara and Yunca (or Chimu).

descended as a common noun to our language, expressive of one of their inhuman practices. These warlike robbers had extended their plundering voyages to Cuba and Haiti and permanently occupied some of the Lesser Antilles, but pointed for their home to the mainland of South America. This they possessed along the shore west of the mouth of the Orinoco nearly to the Cordilleras. Their original home was far to the South, and the most primitive dialects of their tongue are found to-day surviving in the highlands near the sources of the River Plate. They won renown as bold fighters, daring navigators and skilled craftsmen; but that they ever formed permanent settlements in any part of the northern continent is now not credited by careful students.[1]

Except the islands seized by these marauders the whole of the West Indian Archipelago at the arrival of Columbus was peopled by a branch of the Arawack stock.[2] They had at some remote time migrated from the mainland, the coast of which they then occupied between the mouths of the Orinoco and the Amazon. They have abundant affiliations in the southern continent, and there are reasons to believe that their primitive home was in the Bolivian highlands, where we still meet representatives of their family.

In the immense territory of the Amazon basin were

[1] The distribution of the Caribs has been especially studied by von den Steinen (*Unter den Naturvölkern Zentral-Brasiliens*, Berlin, 1894). He gives the meaning of "caraibe" as stranger, foreigner, "not like us." *Die Bakairi-Sprache*, Vorwort (Leipzig, 1892).

[2] The evidence for this will be found in my article, *The Arawack Language of Guiana in its Linguistic and Ethnological Relations*, in the Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, 1871.

numerous tribes not yet clearly distinguished; but the most prominent in history are the members of the Tupi stock. They dwelt on the Atlantic coast from the mouth of the Amazon to the Plate River and along the shore and tributaries of the former almost to the great Cordillera of the west. Their tongue has a comparatively rich literature and is still known as the "general language," *lingoa geral*, of Brazil. Like their neighbors, the Arawacks, they had a moderately high development, carrying on some agriculture, building permanent villages and manufacturing excellent boats and graceful pottery.[1]

The immense forest-covered tract in the northern portion of the Argentine Republic called the Grand Chaco, the Great Hunting Ground, was peopled by roving tribes of still undetermined affinities; while south of it the extensive grassy plains known as the Pampas were controlled by sparse population affined to the Araucanians of Chili, a warlike, freedom-loving race, unconquered for centuries by the white invaders. The inhospitable tracts of Patagonia and the Land of Fire were the abode of isolated groups, many of them in the lowest stages of culture and the utmost apparent wretchedness.

There are many small tribes who seem to have no linguistic affinities with others, especially on the Pacific coast. The lack of inland water communication, the difficult nature of the soil, and perhaps the greater antiquity of the population there, seems to have isolated and split up beyond recognition the indigenous families on that shore of the continent; while

[1] Their geographic extension is shown in Lucien Adam's *Grammaire Comparée des dialectes de la Famille Tupi* (Paris, 1896).

the great river systems and broad plains of the Atlantic slope facilitated migration and intercommunication, and thus preserved national distinctions over thousands of square leagues.[1]

These natural features of the continent, compared with the actual distribution of languages, offer our only guides in forming an opinion as to the migrations of these various families in ancient times. Their traditions, take even the most cultivated, are confused, contradictory, and in great part manifestly fabulous. To construct from them by means of daring combinations and forced interpretations a connected account of the race during the centuries preceding Columbus were with the aid of a vivid fancy an easy matter, but would be quite unworthy the name of history. The most that can be said with certainty is that the general course of migrations in both Americas was from the high latitudes toward the tropics, and from the great western chain of mountains toward the east.

No reasonable doubt exists but that the Athapascas, Algonkins, Iroquois, Chahta-Muskokis and Nahuas all migrated from the north or west to the regions they occupied. In South America, curiously enough, the direction is largely reversed. The Caribs, the Arawacks and the Tupis, and perhaps we should add the Aymaras and the Quichuas (though their relationship is not wholly sure), according to both linguistic and legendary testimony, wandered forth from the steppes and valleys at the head waters of the Rio de la Plata

[1] The reader who desires a closer acquaintance with the linguistic stocks and various aboriginal tribes is referred to my work, *The American Race; a Linguistic Classification and Ethnographic Description of the Native Tribes of North and South America* (pp. 392, New York, 1891).

toward the Gulf of Mexico, where they came face to face with the other wave of migration surging down from high northern latitudes. For the banks of the river Paraguay and the steppes of the Bolivian Cordilleras are unquestionably the earliest traditional homes of all these stocks.

These movements took place not in large bodies under the stimulus of a settled purpose, but step by step, family by family, as the older hunting grounds became too thickly peopled. This fact hints unmistakably at the gray antiquity of the race. It were idle even to guess how great this must be, but it is possible to set limits to it in both directions.

On the one hand, the laws of the evolution of the higher verterbrates offer no support to the idea that the species Man was developed on the American continent. Its living and fossil fauna are alike devoid of high apes, of tailless monkeys, or those with thirty-two teeth; in the absence of which links we must accept man as an immigrant, not a native in the new world. Nor can we place his advent extremely remote. The persistent examination of the glacial moraines which date back to the close of the Ice Age, of the Equus beds west of the Mississippi and the megalonyx layers in the caves of the Alleghanies, of the undisturbed, auriferous gravels of the Pacific, and the Trenton and similar ancient gravels of the Atlantic slope, have resulted in seriously weakening the numerous alleged evidences of the presence of man at the dates of their deposit. No so-called "palæolithic" art, none older than or different from that of the modern red Indian, as we know him through the descriptions of the early travelers, has been established by evidence so clear as to be beyond grave doubt; and the same may be said of

the similar supposed discoveries in other portions of the continent.[1]

The cranial forms of the American aborigines have by some been supposed to present anomalies distinguishing their race from all others, and even its chief families from one another. This, too, falls to the ground before a rigid analysis. The last word of craniology, which at one time promised to revolutionize ethnology and even history, is that no one form of the skull is peculiar to the natives of the New World; that in the same linguistic family one glides into another by imperceptible degrees; and that there is as much diversity, and the same diversity, among them in this respect as among the races of the Old Continent.[2] Peculiarities of structure, though they may pass as general truths, offer no firm foundation whereon to construct a scientific ethnography. Anatomy shows nothing unique in the Indian, nothing demanding for its de-

[1] This appears at the present time (1896) to be the result of the investigations which for several years have been carried on by Mr. Thomas Wilson, Prof. F. W. Wright, C. C. Abbott and F. W. Putnam on the one side, and W. J. McGee, W. H. Holmes and Gerard Fowke on the other; to mention only a few of those interested in them. As for the South American evidences, advanced by F. Ameghino, Burmeister, Lovisato and others, they are too undeterminate to be convincing. Any day, however, unquestionable evidence of glacial or pre glacial man in America may be exhumed. There is no reason why he should not have been on this continent that long ago.

[2] These conclusions, based at the time they were written (1867) on studies of the Morton collections of skulls in Philadelphia, confirmed by J. Aitken Meigs (*Catalogue of Human Crania*), are substantially those reached by Prof. Virchow in his *Crania Ethnica Americana* (Berlin, 1892); whose conclusions should be checked by the observations of Prof. G. Sergi, in his *Le Varieta Umane*, 1895.

velopment an antiquity beyond that of other races, still less an original diversity of species.

On the other hand, the remains of primeval art and the impress he made upon nature bespeak for man a residence in the New World coeval with the most distant events of history. By remains of art I do not so much refer to those desolate palaces which crumble forgotten in the gloom of tropical woods, nor even the enormous earthworks of the Mississippi valley covered with the mould of generations of forest trees, but rather to the humbler and less deceptive relics of his kitchens and his haunts.

On the Atlantic coast one often sees the refuse of Indian villages, where generation after generation have passed their summers in fishing, and left the bones, shells and charcoal as their only epitaph. How many such summers would it require for one or two hundred people thus gradually to accumulate a mound of offal eight or ten feet high and a hundred yards across, as is common enough? How many generations to heap up that at the mouth of the Altamaha River, examined and pronounced exclusively of this origin by Sir Charles Lyell,[1] which is about this height, and covers ten acres of ground?

Those who, like myself, have tramped over many a ploughed field in search of arrow-heads, must have sometimes been amazed at the numbers which are sown over the face of our country, betokening a most prolonged possession of the soil by their makers. For a hunting population is always sparse, and the collector finds only those arrow-heads which lie upon the surface. Even a certain degree of civilization is most

[1] *Second Visit to the United States*, i. p. 252.

ancient; for the evidences are abundant that the mines of California and Lake Superior were worked by tribes using metals at a very remote epoch.

Still more forcibly does nature herself bear witness to this antiquity of possession. Botanists declare that a very lengthy course of cultivation is required so to alter the form of a plant that it can no longer be identified with the wild species; and still more protracted must be the artificial propagation for it to lose its power of independent life, and to rely wholly on man to preserve it from extinction. Now this is precisely the condition of the maize, tobacco, cotton, quinoa and mandioca plants, and of that species of palm called by botanists the *Gulielma speciosa;* all have been cultivated from immemorial time by the aborigines of America, and, except cotton, by no other race; few of them can be positively identified with any known wild species; several are sure to perish unless fostered by human care.

What numberless ages does this suggest? How many centuries elapsed ere man thought of cultivating Indian corn? How many more ere it had spread over nearly a hundred degrees of latitude, and lost all semblance to its original form? Who has the temerity to answer these questions? The judicious thinker will perceive in them satisfactory reasons for dropping once for all the vexed inquiry, "how America was peopled," and will smile at its imaginary solutions, whether they suggest Jews, Japanese, or, as some say, Egyptians.[1]

While these and other considerations testify forcibly

[1] The ethno-botany of America was studied by von Martius in his *Beiträge zur Ethnographie und Sprachenkunde Amerikas*, Bd. II.; and has received productive attention later from J. W. Harshberger, J. W. Fewkes and others.

to that isolation I have already mentioned, they are almost equally positive for an extensive intercourse in very distant ages between the great families of the race, and for a prevalent unity of mental type, or perhaps they hint at a still visible oneness of descent. In their stage of culture, the maize, cotton and tobacco could hardly have spread so widely by commerce alone; although the activity of primitive barter must be placed very high. There must have been also wide wanderings, distant colonization by war or in peace, carrying the arts of a tribe bodily into remote realms.

We cannot overlook the unity of the physical type throughout the continent. The American race is physically more homogeneous than any other on the globe. There is no mistaking a group of American Indians, whether they come from Chili or from Canada, from the shores of Hudson Bay or the banks of the Amazon. And this superficial resemblance is a correct indication of what a close anatomical study confirms.

Then there are verbal similarities running through wide families of languages which, in the words of Professor Buschmann, are calculated "to fill us with bewildering amazement,"[1] some of which will hereafter be pointed out; and lastly, passing to the psychological constitution of the race, we may quote the words of a sharp-sighted naturalist, whose monograph on one of its tribes is unsurpassed for profound reflections: "Not only do all the primitive inhabitants of America stand on one scale of related culture, but that mental condition of all in which humanity chiefly mirrors itself, to wit, their religious and moral consciousness, this source of all other inner and outer conditions, is one with all,

[1] *Athapaskische Sprachstamm*, p. 164 (Berlin, 1856).

however diverse the natural influences under which they live."[1]

Penetrated with the truth of these views, all artificial divisions into tropical or temperate, civilized or barbarous, will in the present work, so far as possible, be avoided, and the race will be studied as a unit, its religion as the development of ideas common to all its members, and its myths as the garb thrown around these ideas by imaginations more or less fertile, but seeking everywhere to embody the same notions.

In the pursuance of this study we shall discover similarities in the mythical concepts of the red race as striking as are its peculiar physical features, and not unfrequently not less singular analogies with the tropes and tales, the rituals and symbols, in which many a nation of the old world or of the distant islands of the east, chose as the appropriate forms under which to express their notions of the gods and their doings.

The explanation of such parallels has exercised the minds of students of mythology and folk-lore. There are those who would see in them sufficient evidence of former contact and transference, while another school believes that unless there is precise proof of connection in the tale itself or from other sources, it is more likely that the true explanation lies in the oneness of the human mind, the narrow limits in which it works in primitive conditions, and the almost fatal certainty with which it will seek the same concrete forms under which to convey a given abstract idea.

We may indeed assume that a myth has been diffused from one source when it is found with marked peculiarities in nations in geographical contact; when

[1] Martius, *Von dem Rechtzustande unter den Ureinwohnern Brasiliens*, p. 77.

the proper names it contains are the same in different versions, or obviously merely translations the one from the other; where the features of one landscape and culture are retained in another and different horizon; or where a tribe preserved the memory of the importation of the tale or ritual from a foreign center.

Thus, as Dr. Boas and Father Morice have pointed out, the tribes of the northwest coast as well as the Athabascan bands far inland, drew largely from some common source of mythological conception; we know as a fact that the Eskimos and the Algonkins of Labrador "swapped stories" until the legendary lore of the one nation colored that of the other; the same has been shown by Von den Steinen and Ehrenreich of the tales of the Arawacks, Tupis and Caribs of South America; and the evidence is incontrovertible that the peculiar divinatory calendar of Mexico and Central America with its mass of associated rite and myth was in use among tribes belonging to seven different linguistic stocks.

These and similar examples testify amply to the transference of myths; but when writers would bring into prominence the mere external similarities of narratives, no matter how minute these may seem, and on these alone insist that there was an early historic connection between Yucatan and New Zealand, or between tribes of Hudson Bay and Syria, or of Mexico and ancient Egypt, or those of the shores of the Amazon and the Siberian Lena—as has repeatedly been set forth and is still advocated by some—then the student of myths who follows the precepts of a sound anthropology will prefer the interpretation which in such recognizes merely psychological parallels, proofs of the unity of the soul of man, obliged or inclined to follow the same

paths when setting forth on that quest which has for its goal the invisible world and the home of the gods.[1]

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE.

As the subject of American mythology is an unfamiliar one to most readers, and as in its discussion everything depends on a careful selection of authorities, it is well at the outset to review briefly what has already been written upon it, and to assign the relative amount of weight that in the following pages will be given to the works most frequently quoted. The conclusions I have arrived at are at times different from those who have previously touched upon the topic, so such a step seems doubly advisable.

The first who undertook a philosophical survey of American religions was Dr. Samuel Farmer Jarvis, in 1819 (*A Discourse on the Religion of the Indian Tribes of North America*, Collections of the New York Historical Society, vol. iii., New York, 1821). He confined himself to the tribes north of Mexico, a difficult portion of

[1] The discussion of this vital question has been carried on of late years by Andrew Lang, J. Jacobs, E. S. Hartland, and others with reference to the myths and tales of the Old World; and concerning those of America I would cite Franz Boas, *Indianische Sagen von der Nord-Pacifischen Küste*, and *Jour. Amer. Folk-lore*, March, 1891, and March, 1896; Emile Petîtot, *Accord des Mythologies;* Cyrus Thomas, in *American Antiquarian;* Rev. A. G. Morice, in *Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada*, 1892; C. G. Leland, *The Algonquin Legends of New England*, Introduction; Von den Steinen, *Die Naturvölker Zentral-Brasiliens;* P. Ehrenreich, *Beiträge zur Völkerkunde Brasiliens;* etc., etc. To infer from such similarities that they are the "relics of an ancient period of culture in Asia and Europe," as does Goeken in his essay on the religious life of the Bella Coola Indians (in *Proceedings* of the Berlin Museum) is quite as unfounded as is the theory that from an enumeration of the "elements" or incidents in a story we can decide its relationship. Such "elements" arise independently, often in the same connection, owing to the uniformity of the action of the human mind under similar conditions and seeking the expression of similar ideas. This is the anthropologic principle so vigorously and ably defended by Professor A. Bastian, of Berlin, in his numerous and profound works.

the field, and at that time not very well known. The notion of a state of primitive civilization prevented Dr. Jarvis from forming any correct estimate of the native religions, as it led him to look upon them as deteriorations from purer faiths instead of developments. Thus he speaks of them as having "departed less than among any other nation from the form of primeval truth," and also mentions their "wonderful uniformity" (pp. 219, 221).

The well-known American ethnologist, Mr. E. G. Squier, also published a work on the subject, of wider scope than its title indicated (*The Serpent Symbol in America*, New York, 1851). Though written in a much more liberal spirit than the preceding, it is in the interests of one school of mythology, and it the rather shallow physical one, so fashionable in Europe half a century ago. Thus, with a sweeping generalization, he says, "The religions or superstitions of the American nations, however different they may appear to the superficial glance, are rudimentally the same, and are only modifications of that primitive system which under its physical aspect has been denominated Sun or Fire worship" (p. 111). With this he combines the doctrine, that the chief topic of mythology is the adoration of the generative power; and to rescue such views from their materializing tendencies, imagines to counterbalance them a clear universal monotheism. "We claim to have shown," he says (p. 154), "that the grand conception of a Supreme Unity and the doctrine of the reciprocal principles existed in America in a well-defined and clearly recognized form;" and elsewhere that "the monotheistic idea stands out clearly in *all* the religions of America" (p. 151).

These are views which to-day probably have no defenders; certainly not among those who have made a study of the scientific analysis of primitive religions.

The important work on the Indians edited by Mr. Henry R. Schoolcraft (*History, Condition and Prospects of the Indian Tribes of the United States*, Washington, 1851–59) derives its chief or perhaps only value from the reports of original observers which it contains. The general views of aboriginal history and religion expressed by its editor are shallow and untrustworthy.

A German professor, Dr. J. G. Müller, about forty years ago, wrote quite a voluminous work on American primitive religions (*Geschichte der Amerikanischen Ur-religionen*, pp. 707 : Basel, 1855). His theory is that "at the south a worship of nature with the

adoration of the sun as its centre, at the north a fear of spirits combined with fetichism, made up the two fundamental divisions of the religion of the red race" (pp. 89, 90). This imaginary antithesis he traces out between the Algonkian and Apalachian tribes, and between the "Toltecs" of Guatemala and the Aztecs of Mexico. His quotations are nearly all at second hand, and so little does he criticize his facts as to confuse the Vaudoux worship of the Negroes with that of Votan in Chiapa. While an industrious compilation, his volume must be used with constant caution.

Very much better was the Anthropology of the late Dr. Theodore Waitz (*Anthropologie der Naturvœlker:* Leipzig, 1862–66). No more comprehensive, sound and critical work on the indigenes of America as a whole has since been written. But on their religions the author is unfortunately defective, being led astray by the hasty and groundless generalizations of others. His great anxiety, moreover, to subject all moral sciences to a realistic philosophy, was peculiarly fatal to any correct appreciation of religious growth, and here, therefore, his views are neither new nor tenable.

It is unfortunate that we cannot praise the work in this department of the indefatigable and meritorious Abbé E. C. Brasseur (de Bourbourg). His fixed idea was to explain American mythology after the example of Euhemerus, of Thessaly, as the apotheosis of history. This theory, which has been repeatedly applied to other mythologies with invariable failure, is now disowned by every distinguished student of European and Oriental antiquity; and to seek to introduce it into American religions is simply to render them still more obscure and unattractive, and to deprive them of the only general interest they now have, that of illustrating the gradual development of the religious ideas of humanity.

But while thus regretting the use he has made of them, all interested in American antiquity cannot too much thank this indefatigable explorer for the priceless materials he unearthed in the neglected libraries of Spain and Central America, and laid before the public. For the present purpose the most significant of these is the sacred national book of the Quiches, a tribe of Guatemala. This contains their legends, written in the original tongue, and transcribed by Father Francisco Ximenes about 1725. The manuscripts of this missionary were used early in the present century, by Don Felix Cabrera, but were supposed to be entirely

lost even by the Abbé Brasseur himself in 1850 (*Lettre à M. le Duc de Valmy*, Mexique, Oct. 15, 1850). Made aware of their importance by the expressions of regret used in the Abbé's letters, Dr. C. Scherzer, in 1854, was fortunate enough to discover them in the library of the University of San Carlos in the City of Guatemala. The legends were in Quiche with a Spanish translation and scholia. The Spanish was copied by Dr. Scherzer and published in Vienna, in 1856, under the title *Las Historias del Origen de los Indios de Guatemala, por el R. P. F. Francisco Ximenes.* In 1855 the Abbé Brasseur took a copy of the original which he brought out at Paris in 1861, with a translation of his own, under the title *Vuh Popol: Le Livre Sacré des Quichés et les Mythes de l'Antiquité Américaine.* Internal evidence proves that these legends were written down by a converted native some time in the seventeenth century. They carry the national history back about two centuries, beyond which all is professedly mythical. Although both translations are colored by the peculiar views of their makers, and lacking in accuracy, this is one of the most valuable works on American mythology extant.

Another authority of inestimable value was placed within the reach of scholars some years ago. This is the reprint of the *Relations de la Nouvelle France*, containing the annual reports of the Jesuit missionaries among the Iroquois and Algonkins from and after 1611.

The annual reports of the Bureau of Ethnology at Washington, which began to appear in 1881, contain a mass of material indispensable to the student of the myths of the Indians dwelling within the area of the United States. Though the contributions contained vary in merit with the faculties and opportunities of the observer for investigations of this nature, they all have solid value. Especially those by the late Rev. James Owen Dorsey may be mentioned as models of their kind.

Canadian legends and tales have been diligently and accurately edited by the Abbé Petitot (*Traditions Indiennes du Canada*, 1888, etc.); those on the northwest coast by Dr. Franz Boas; and at an earlier date those of the vanishing Californian tribes by Mr. Stephen Powers (*Indian Tribes of California*, 1877).

On the mythology of Mexico and Central America, the comprehensive work of H. H. Bancroft (*The Native Races of the Pacific States*, 1875) is important for its encyclopædic survey of the literature of

the subject, but does not attempt a serious analysis of the religious concepts of the tribes. For this we must turn to the numerous essays of Professor Eduard Seler, of Berlin; of Dr. P. Schellhas; and of Alfredo Chavero in Mexico.

Our understanding of Peruvian mythology has been greatly furthered by the collations and linguistic analyses of von Tschudi and Dr. Middendorf; while the great stems of eastern South America, the Caribs, the Tupi-Guaranis and the Arawacks, have been fruitfully examined by Barbosa Rodriguez, von den Steinen, Paul Ehrenreich, Lafone Quevedo and others.

Singularly few attempts have been made toward the philosophical analysis of American religions, either in the whole or of any one tribe. Nearly all writers have confined themselves to collecting tales, or else have contented themselves with such superficialities as "sun worship," "snake worship," etc. Major J. W. Powell's *Mythology of the North American Indians* (1881) aims at something broader, but is too brief to be satisfactory. Dr. Albert Reville's *Origin and Growth of Religion as Illustrated by the Native Religions of Mexico and Peru* (Hibbart lectures, 1884), reveals but a second-hand acquaintance with those religions, and none whatever with the languages in which they were couched. The Abbé Petitot's *Accord des Mythologies* (Paris, 1890), based on American religions, measures all by a merely dogmatic standard.

A mass of new material has been provided within the last score of years for the study of American mythology. Much of it offers the expression of religious thought genuinely aboriginal in character; but much is also obviously modified by contact with the whites and by the infiltration of ideas belonging to their intellectual horizon.

CHAPTER II.

THE IDEA OF GOD.

An intuition common to the species.—Words expressing it in American languages derived either from ideas of above in space, or of life manifested by breath.—Examples.—No conscious monotheism, and but little idea of immateriality discoverable.—Still less any moral dualism of deities, the Great Good Spirit and the Great Bad Spirit being alike terms and notions of foreign importation.

IF we accept the definition that mythology is the idea of God expressed in symbol, figure and narrative, and always struggling toward a clearer utterance, it is well not only to trace this idea in its very earliest embodiment in language, but also, for the sake of comparison, to ask what is its latest and most approved expression. The reply to this is given us by Immanuel Kant. He has shown that our reason, dwelling on the facts of experience, constantly seeks the principles which connect them together, and only rests satisfied in the conviction that there is a highest and first principle which reconciles all their discrepancies and binds them into one. This he calls the Ideal of Reason. It must be true, for it is evolved from the laws of reason, our only test of truth.

Furthermore, the sense of personality and the voice of conscience, analyzed to their sources, can only be explained by the assumption of an infinite personality and an absolute standard of right. Or, if to some all this appears but wire-drawn metaphysical subtlety, they

are welcome to the definition of the realist, that the idea of God is the sum of those intelligent activities which the individual, reasoning from the analogy of his own actions, imagines to be behind and to bring about natural phenomena. If either of these be correct, it were hard to conceive how any tribe or even any sane man could be without some notion of divinity.

Certainly in America no instance of its absence has been discovered. Obscure, grotesque, unworthy it often was, but everywhere man was oppressed with a *sensus numinis*, a feeling that invisible, powerful agencies were at work around him, who, as they willed, could help or hurt him. In every heart was an altar to the Unknown God.[1]

Not that it was customary to attach any idea of unity to these unseen powers. The supposition that in ancient times and in very unenlightened conditions, before mythology had grown, a monotheism prevailed, which afterwards at various times was revived by reformers, is a belief that should have passed away when the delights of savage life and the praises of a state of nature ceased to be the themes of philosophers. We are speaking of a people little capable of abstraction. The exhibitions of force in nature seemed to them the manifestations of that mysterious power felt by their self-consciousness; to combine these various manifestations and recognize them as the operations of one personality, was a step not easily taken. Yet He

[1] Of course, the reader of travels will often meet such expressions as that of Lovisato about the Yahgans of Tierra del Fuego—"Non hanno alcuna nozione di Dio, quindi nessuna religione," etc. (*Appunti Etnografici sulla Terra del Fuoco*, p. 32). These assertions may easily be corrected from the information of closer observers.

is not far from every one of us. "Whenever man thinks clearly, or feels deeply, he conceives God as self-conscious unity," says Carriere, with admirable insight; and elsewhere, "we have monotheism, not in contrast to polytheism, not clear to the thought, but in living intuition in the religious sentiment."[1]

Thus it was among the Indians. Therefore a word is usually found in their languages analogous to none in any European tongue, a word comprehending all manifestations of the unseen world, yet conveying no sense of personal unity. It has been rendered spirit, demon, God, devil, mystery, magic, but commonly and rather absurdly by the English and French, "medicine." In the Algonkin dialects this word is *manito* and *oki*, in Iroquois *otkon*, in the Hidatsa *hopa;* the Dakota has *wakan*, the Aztec *teotl*, the Quichua *huaca*, and the Maya *ku*.

They all express in its most general form the idea of the supernatural. And as in this word, supernatural, we see a transfer of a conception of place, and that it literally means that which is *above* the natural world, so in such as we can analyze of these vague and primitive terms the same trope appears discoverable. *Wakan* as an adverb means *above*, *oki* is but another orthography for *oghee*, and *otkon* seems allied to *hetken*, both of which have the same signification.[2]

[1] *Die Kunst im Zusammenhang der Culturentwickelung*, i. pp. 50, 252.

[2] On *wakan* see Riggs, *Dakota English Dict.* s.v. and Röhrig, *On the Language of the Dakota*, Smithsonian Report, 1871. Another example may be added from the Guarani of South America, in which *tupa* means the supernatural, *tupir* to mount or ascend. The word *hua'ka* belongs both to Quichua and Aymara. It has been derived from *huekey*, to weep (*Zarate*), or from *huaikow*, to

The transfer is no mere figure of speech, but has its origin in the very texture of the human mind. The heavens, the upper regions, are in every religion the supposed abode of the divine. What is higher is always the stronger and the nobler; a *superior* is one who is better than we are, and therefore a chieftain in Algonkin is called *oghee-ma*, the higher one.

There is, moreover, a naif and spontaneous instinct which leads man in his ecstasies of joy, and in his paroxysms of fear or pain, to lift his hands and eyes to the overhanging firmament. There the sun and bright stars sojourn, emblems of glory and stability. Its azure vault has a mysterious attraction which invites the eye to gaze longer and longer into its infinite depths.[1] Its deep color brings thoughts of serenity, peace, sunshine and warmth. Even the rudest hunting tribes felt these sentiments, and as a metaphor in their speeches, and as a paint expressive of friendly design, blue was in wide use among them.[2]

So it came to pass that the idea of God was linked to

dig a hole (*von Tschudi*). With equal probability it may be from the same radical as *huichay*, to rise, to ascend. (Comp. Tschudi, *Beiträge zur Kennt. des Alten Peru*, p. 146; Middendorf, *Keshua Wörterbuch*, p. 452.)

[1] A distinguished authority, M. Cuoq, has denied that *oki* is Algonkian and that *okima* is derived from *oki* in the sense of above. The former belongs to the southern dialects and certainly is from Lenape *wochki*, at the top or above; and as certainly *okima* has the derivation I assign it. Comp. Cuoq, *Lexique de la Langue Iroquoise*, p. 176; Brinton and Anthony, *Lenâpé-English Dictionary*, p. 166, and Baraga, *Otchipwe Dict.*, p. 315. Trumbull derives Manito from a verb *anit*, to surpass, to be greater than. Roger Williams, *Language of America*, p. 147, note.

[2] Loskiel, *Geschichte der Mission der Evang. Brueder*, p. 63 (Barby, 1789).

the heavens long ere man asked himself, are the heavens material and God spiritual, is He one, or is He many? Numerous languages bear trace of this. The Latin Deus, the Greek Zeus, the Sanscrit Dyaus, the Chinese Tien, all originally refer to the sky above, and our own word heaven is often employed synonymously with God.

There is at first no personification in these expressions. They embrace all unseen agencies, they are void of personality, and yet to the illogical primitive man there is nothing contradictory in making them the object of his prayers. The Mayas had legions of Gods; "*ku*," says their historian,[1] "does not signify any particular god; yet their prayers are sometimes addressed to *kue*," which is the same word in the vocative case.

As the Latins called their united divinities *Superi*, those above, so Captain John Smith found that the Powhatans of Virginia employed the word *oki*, above, in the same sense, and it even had passed into a definite personification among them in the shape of an "idol of wood evil-favoredly carved." In purer dialects of the Algonkin it is always indefinite, as in the terms *nipoon oki*, spirit of summer, *pipoon oki*, spirit of winter. Perhaps the word was introduced into Iroquois by the Hurons, neighbors and associates of the Algonkins. The Hurons applied it to that demoniac power "who rules the seasons of the year, who holds the winds and the waves in leash, who can give fortune to their undertakings, and relieve all their wants."[2]

In another and far distant branch of the Iroquois, the Nottoways of southern Virginia, it reappears under

[1] Cogolludo, *Historia de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. vii.
[2] *Rel. de la Nouv. France.* An 1636, p. 107.

the curious form *quaker*, doubtless a corruption of the Powhatan *qui-oki*, lesser gods.[1] The proper Iroquois name of him to whom they prayed was *garonhia*, which again turns out on examination to be their common word for *sky*, and again in all probability from the verbal root *gar*, to be above.[2] The Californian tribes spoke of their chief deity as "The Old Man above"[3] reminding us of "Der Alte im Himmel" of Mephistopheles; and the Creek term for their Jove is "He who lives in the sky."[4] In the legends of the Aztecs and Quiches such phrases as "Heart of the Sky," "Lord of the Sky," "Prince of the Azure Planisphere," "He above all," are of frequent occurrence; and by a still bolder metaphor, the Araucanians, according to Molina, entitled their greatest god "The Soul of the Sky."

This last expression leads to another train of thought. As the philosopher, pondering on the workings of self-consciousness, recognizes that various pathways lead up to God, so the primitive man, in forming his language, sometimes trod one, sometimes another. Whatever else skeptics have questioned, no one has yet pre-

[1] This word is found in Gallatin's vocabularies (*Transactions of the Am. Antiq. Soc.*, vol. ii.), and may have partially induced that distinguished ethnologist to ascribe, as he does in more than one place, whatever notions the eastern tribes had of a Supreme Being to the teachings of the Quakers.

[2] Bruyas, *Radices Verborum Iroquæorum*, p. 84. This work is in Shea's Library of American Linguistics, and is a most valuable contribution to philology. The same etymology is given by Lafitau, *Mœurs des Sauvages*, etc., p. 65. Cuoq. *Lexique Iroquoise*, p. 106.

[3] H. H. Bancroft, *Native Races of the Pacific States*, vol. III., p. 158.

[4] A. T. Gatschet, *Migration Legend of the Creeks*, vol. I., p. 216. I may add the Choctaw, *yuba paik*, "Our Father Above."

sumed to doubt that if a God and a soul exist at all, they are of like essence.

This firm belief has left its impress on language in the names devised to express the supernal, the spiritual world. If we seek hints from idioms more familiar to us than the tongues of the Indians, and take for example this word *spiritual*, we find it is from the Latin *spirare*, to blow, to breathe. If in Latin again we look for the derivation of *animus*, the mind, *anima*, the soul, they point to the Greek *anemos*, wind, and *aémi*, to blow. In Greek the words for soul or spirit, *psuche*, *pneuma*, *thumos*, all are directly from verbal roots expressing the motion of the wind or the breath. The Hebrew word *ruah* is translated in the Old Testament sometimes by wind, sometimes by spirit, sometimes by breath. The Egyptian *kneph* is another example.

Etymologically, in fact, ghosts and gusts, breaths and breezes, the Great Spirit and the Great Wind, are one and the same. It is easy to guess the reason of this. The soul is the life, the life is the breath. Invisible, imponderable, quickening with vigorous motion, slackening in rest and sleep, passing quite away in death, it is the most obvious sign of life. All nations grasped the analogy and identified the one with the other. But the breath is nothing but wind. How easy, therefore, to look upon the wind that moves up and down and to and fro upon the earth, that carries the clouds, itself unseen, that calls forth the terrible tempests and the various seasons, as the breath, the spirit of God, as God himself? So in the Mosaic record of creation, it is said "a mighty wind" passed over the formless sea and brought forth the world, and when the Almighty gave to the clay a living soul, he is said to have breathed into it "the wind of lives."

Armed with these analogies, we turn to the primitive tongues of America, and find them there as distinct as in the Old World. In Dakota *niya* is literally breath, figuratively life; Elliott in his translation of the Bible into the Massachusetts tongue renders soul by *nashanonk*, a breathing; in Netela *piuts* is life, breath, and soul; *silla*, in Eskimo, means air, it means wind, but it is also the word that conveys the highest idea of the world as a whole, and the reasoning faculty. The supreme existence they call *Sillam Innua*, Owner of the Air, or of the All; or *Sillam Nelega*, Lord of the Air or Wind. In the Yakama tongue of Oregon *wkrisha* signifies there is wind, *wkrishwit* life; with the Aztecs, *ehecatl* expressed both air, life, and the soul, and personified in their myths it was said to have been born of the breath of Tezcatlipoca, their highest divinity, who is himself often called Yoalli ehecatl, the Wind of Night.[1]

The descent is, indeed, almost perceptible which leads to the personification of the wind as God, which merges this manifestation of life and power in one with its unseen, unknown cause. Thus it was a worthy epithet which the Creeks applied to their supreme invincible ruler, when they addressed him as ESAUGETUH EMISSEE, Master of Breath,[2] and doubtless it was at first but a title of equivalent purport which the Cherokees,

[1] My authorities are Riggs, *Dict. of the Dakota*, Boscana, *Account of New California*, Richardson's and Egede's Eskimo Vocabularies, Pandosy, *Gram. and Dict. of the Yakama* (Shea's Lib. of Am. Linguistics), and Molina for the Aztec.

[2] Properly, *isakita immissi*, "He who carries the life or breath for others." A. S. Gatschet, *Migration Legend of the Creeks*, vol. I., p. 216. "This conception," adds that writer, "is as thoroughly North American as Jahve is Semitic."

their neighbors, were wont to employ, OONAWLEH UNGGI, Eldest of Winds, but rapidly leading to a complete identification of the divine with the natural phenomena of meteorology. This seems to have taken place in the same group of nations, for the original Choctaw word for Deity was HUSHTOLI, the Storm Wind.[1]

The idea, indeed, was constantly being lost in the symbol. In the legends of the Quiches, the mysterious creative power is HURAKAN, a name of no appropriateness in their language, one which was perhaps brought them from the Antilles, which finds its meaning in the ancient tongue of Haiti, and which, under the forms of *hurricane*, *ouragan*, *orkan*, was adopted into European marine languages as the native name of the terrible tornado of the Carribean Sea.[2]

Mixcohuatl, the Cloud Serpent, chief divinity of several tribes in ancient Mexico, is to this day the correct term in their language for the tropical whirlwind,

[1] These terms are found in Gallatin's vocabularies. The last mentioned is not, as Adair thought, derived from *issto ulla* or *ishto hoollo*, great man, for in Choctaw the adjective cannot precede the noun it qualifies. Its true sense is visible in the analogous Creek word *holvle*, the storm wind.

[2] Webster derives hurricane from the Latin *furio*. But Oviedo tells us in his description of Hispaniola that "Hurakan, in lingua di questa isola vuole dire propriamente fortuna tempestuosa molto eccessiva, perche en effetto non è altro que un grandissimo vento è pioggia insieme." *Historia del l' Indie*, lib. vi. cap. iii. The name *Hurakan* in the Quiche myths is translated "One-leg" by Father Ximenes, which seems to have no meaning. The *Dictionarium Galibi*, Paris, 1763, gives the forms *iroucan* and *hyorocan*. The presence of the same word with the same meaning over such an extent of territory occupied by different stocks is puzzling. The Carib form appears to be from *ye'lo*, thunder, lightning, whence Island-Carib, *toüallou* (von den Steinen, *Die Bakairi Sprache*, p. 30).

and the natives of Panama worshipped the same phenomenon under the name Tuyra.[1] To kiss the air was in Peru the commonest and simplest sign of adoration to the collective divinities.[2]

Many writers on mythology have commented on the prominence so frequently given to the winds. None has traced it to its true source. The facts of meteorology have been thought all sufficient for a solution. As if man ever did or ever could draw the idea of God from nature! In the identity of wind with breath, of breath with life, of life with soul, of soul with God, lies the far deeper and far truer reason, whose insensible development I have here traced, in outline, indeed, but confirmed by the evidence of language itself.

Let none of these expressions, however, be construed to prove the distinct recognition of one Supreme Being. Of monotheism either as displayed in the one personal definite God of the Semitic races, or in the pantheistic sense of the Brahmins, there was not a single instance on the American continent. The missionaries found no word in any of their languages fit to interpret *Deus*, God.

How could they expect it? The associations we attach to that name are the accumulated fruits of nigh two thousand years of Christianity. The phrases Good Spirit, Great Spirit, and similar ones, have occasioned endless discrepancies in the minds of travelers. In most instances they are entirely of modern origin, coined at the suggestion of missionaries, applied to the white man's God. Very rarely do they bring any conception of personality to the native mind, very rarely

[1] Oviedo, *Rel. de la Prov. de Cueba*, p. 141, ed. Ternaux-Compans.
[2] Garcia, *Origen de los Indios*, lib. iv. cap. xxii.

do they signify any object of worship, perhaps never did in the olden times.

The Jesuit Relations state positively that there was no one immaterial god recognized by the Algonkin tribes, and that the title, the Great Manito, was introduced first by themselves in its personal sense.[1] The supreme Iroquois Deity Neo or Hawaneu, triumphantly adduced by many writers to show the monotheism underlying the native creeds, and upon whose name Mr. Schoolcraft has built some philological reveries, turns out on closer scrutiny to be the result of Christian instruction, and the words themselves to be corruptions of the French *Dieu* and *le bon Dieu*.[2]

Innumerable mysterious forces are in activity around the child of nature; he feels within him something that tells him they are not of his kind, and yet not altogether different from him; he sums them up in one word drawn from sensuous experience. Does he wish to express still more forcibly this sentiment, he doubles the word, or prefixes an adjective, or adds an affix, as the genius of his language may dictate. But it still remains to him but an unapplied abstraction, a mere category of thought, a frame for the All. It is never the object of veneration or sacrifice, no myth brings it down to his comprehension, it is not installed in his temples.

[1] See the *Rel. de la Nouv. France pour l'An* 1637, p. 49.

[2] Mr. Morgan, in his excellent work, *The League of the Iroquois*, has been led astray by an ignorance of the etymology of these terms. For Schoolcraft's views see his *Oneota*, p. 147. The matter is ably discussed in the *Etudes Philologiques sur Quelques Langues Sauvages de l'Amérique*, p. 14: and comp. Shea, *Dict. Français-Onontagué*, Preface. Mr. J. N. B. Hewitt offers a less probable etymology, "Great Voice," referring to the thunder. *Proc. Am. Assoc. Adv. Science*, 1895, p. 250.

Man cannot escape the belief that behind all form is one essence; but the moment he would seize and define it, it eludes his grasp, and by a sorcery more sadly ludicrous than that which blinded Titania, he worships not the Infinite he thinks, but a base idol of his own making. As in the Zend Avesta behind the eternal struggle of Ormuzd and Ahriman looms up the undisturbed and infinite Zeruana Akerana; as in the pages of the Greek poets we here and there catch glimpses of a Zeus who is not he throned on Olympus, nor he who takes part in the wrangles of the gods, but stands far off and alone, one yet all, "who was, who is, who will be;" so the belief in an Unseen Spirit, who asks neither supplication nor sacrifice, who, as the natives of Texas told Joutel in 1684, "does not concern himself about things here below,"[1] who has no name to call him by, and is never a figure in mythology, was doubtless occasionally present to their minds.

It was present not more but far less distinctly and often not at all in the more savage tribes, and no assertion can be more contrary to the laws of religious progress than that which pretends that a purer and more monotheistic religion exists among nations devoid of mythology. There are only two instances on the American continent where the worship of an immaterial God was definitely instituted, and these as the highest conquests of American natural religions deserve especial mention.

They occurred, as we might expect, in the two most civilized nations, the Quichuas of Peru, and the Nahuas of Tezcuco. It is related that about the year

[1] "Qui ne prend aucun soin des choses icy bas." *Jour. Hist. d'un Voyage de l'Amerique*, p. 225 (Paris, 1713).

1440, at a grand religious council held at the consecration of the newly-built temple of the Sun at Cuzco, the Inca Yupanqui rose before the assembled multitude, and spoke somewhat as follows:

"Many say that the Sun is the Maker of all things. But he who makes should abide by what he has made. Now many things happen when the Sun is absent; therefore he cannot be the universal creator. And that he is alive at all is doubtful, for his trips do not tire him. Were he a living thing, he would grow weary like ourselves; were he free, he would visit other parts of the heavens. He is like a tethered beast who makes a daily round under the eye of a master; he is like an arrow, which must go whither it is sent, not whither it wishes. I tell you that he, our Father and Master the Sun, must have a lord and master more powerful than himself, who constrains him to his daily circuit without pause or rest."[1]

To express this greatest of all existences, a name was proclaimed, based upon that of the highest divinities known to the ancient Inca race, Illatici Viracocha Pachacamac, literally, "the thunder vase, the foam of the sea, animating the world,"—mysterious and symbolic names drawn from the deepest religious instincts of the soul, whose hidden meanings will be unravelled hereafter. A temple was constructed in a vale by the sea near Callao, wherein his worship was to be conducted

[1] In attributing this speech to the Inca Yupanqui, I have followed Balboa, who expressly says this was the general opinion of the Indians (*Hist. du Pérou*, p. 62, ed. Ternaux-Compans). Others assign it to other Incas. See Garcilasso de la Vega, *Hist. des Incas*, lib. viii., chap. 8, and Acosta, *Nat. and Morall Hist. of the New World*, chap. 5. The fact and the approximate time are beyond question.

without images or human sacrifices. The Inca was ahead of his age, however, and when the Spaniards visited the temple of Pachacamac in 1525, they found not only the walls adorned with hideous paintings, but an ugly idol of wood representing a man of colossal proportions set up therein, and receiving the prayers of the votaries.[1]

No better success attended the attempt of Nezahuatl, lord of Tezcuco, which took place about the same time. He had long prayed to the gods of his forefathers for a son to inherit his kingdom, and the altars had smoked vainly with the blood of slaughtered victims. At length, in indignation and despair, the prince exclaimed, "Verily, these gods that I am adoring, what are they but idols of stone without speech or feeling? They could not have made the beauty of the heaven, the sun, the moon, and the stars which adorn it, and which light the earth, with its countless streams, its fountains and waters, its trees and plants, and its various inhabitants. There must be some god, invisible and unknown, who is the universal creator. He alone can console me in my affliction and take away my sorrow."

Strengthened in this conviction by a timely fulfilment of his heart's desire, he erected a temple nine stories high to represent the nine heavens, which he dedicated "to the Unknown God, the Cause of Causes." This temple, he ordained, should never be polluted by blood, nor should any graven image ever be set up within its precincts.[2]

In neither case, be it observed, was any attempt made

[1] Xeres, *Rel. de la Conq. du Pérou*, p. 151, ed. Ternaux-Compans.

[2] Prescott, *Conq. of Mexico*, i. pp. 192, 193, on the authority of Ixtlilxochitl.

to substitute another and purer religion for the popular one. The Inca continued to receive the homage of his subjects as a brother of the sun, and the regular services to that luminary were never interrupted. Nor did the prince of Tezcuco afterwards neglect the honors due his national gods, nor even refrain himself from plunging the knife into the breasts of captives on the altar of the god of war.[1] They were but expressions of that monotheism which is ever present, "not in contrast to polytheism, but in living intuition in the religious sentiments."

If this subtle but true distinction be rightly understood, it will excite no surprise to find such epithets as "endless," "omnipotent," "invisible," "adorable," such appellations as "the Maker and Moulder of All," "the Mother and Father of Life," "the One God complete in perfection and unity," "the Creator of all that is," "the Soul of the World," in use and of undoubted indigenous origin not only among the civilized Aztecs, but even among the Haitians, the Araucanians, the Lenni Lenape, and others.[2] It will not seem contra-

[1] Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, iii. p. 297, note.

[2] Of very many authorities that I have at hand, I shall only mention Heckewelder, *Acc. of the Inds.*, p. 422, Duponceau, *Mém. sur les Langues de l'Amér. du Nord*, p. 310, Peter Martyr *De Rebus Oceanicis*, Dec. i., cap. 9, Molina, *Hist of Chili*, ii. p. 75, Ximenes, *Origen de los Indios de Guatemala*, pp. 4, 5, Ixtlilxochitl, *Rel. des Conq. du Mexique*, p. 2. These terms bear the severest scrutiny. The Aztec appellation of the Supreme Being *Tloque nahuaque* is compounded of *tloc*, together, with, and *nahuac*, at, by, with, with possessive forms added, giving the signification, Lord of all existence and coexistence (alles Mitseyns und alles Beiseyns, bei welchem das Seyn aller Dinge ist. Buschmann, *Ueber die Aztekischen Ortsnamen*, p. 642). These terms are undoubtedly of native origin. In the Quiche legends the Supreme Being is called *Bitol*, the sub-

dictory to hear of them in a purely polytheistic worship; we shall be far from regarding them as familiar to the popular mind, and we shall never be led so far astray as to adduce them in evidence of a monotheism in either technical sense of that word.

In point of fact they were not applied to any particular god even in the most enlightened nations, but were terms of laudation and magniloquence used by the priests and devotees of every several god to do him honor. They prove something in regard to a consciousness of divinity hedging us about, but nothing at all in favor of a recognition of one God; they exemplify how profound is the conviction of a highest and first principle, but they do not offer the least reason to surmise that this was a living reality in doctrine or practice.

The confusion of these distinct ideas has led to much misconception of the native creeds. But another and more fatal error was that which distorted them into a dualistic form, ranging on one hand the good spirit with his legions of angels, on the other the evil one with his swarms of fiends, representing the world as the scene of their unending conflict, man as the unlucky football who gets all the blows.

This notion, which has its historical origin among the Parsees of ancient Iran, is unknown to savage na-

stantive form of *bit*, to make, to form, and *Tzakol*, substantive form of *tzak*, to build, the Creator, the Constructor. The Arawacks, of Guyana, applied the term *Aluberi* to their highest conception of a first cause, from the verbal form *alin*, he who makes (Martius, *Ethnographie und Sprachenkunde Amerikas*, i. p. 696). The Minnetarees interpret the name of their deity Itsikamahidis as "He who first made" (W. Matthews, *Grammar of the Hidatsa*, p. xxi.).

tions. "The Hidatsa," says Dr. Matthews, "believe neither in a hell nor a devil."[1] "The idea of the Devil," justly observes Jacob Grimm, "is foreign to all primitive religions." Yet Professor Mueller, in his voluminous work on those of America, after approvingly quoting this saying, complacently proceeds to classify the deities as good or bad spirits![2]

This view, which has obtained without question in earlier works on the native religions of America, has arisen partly from habits of thought difficult to break, partly from mistranslations of native words, partly from the foolish axiom of the early missionaries, "The gods of the gentiles are devils." Yet their own writings furnish conclusive proof that no such distinction existed out of their own fancies. The same word (*otkon*) which Father Bruyas employs to translate into Iroquois the term "devil," in the passage "the Devil took upon himself the figure of a serpent," he is obliged to use for "spirit" in the phrase, "at the resurrection we shall be spirits,"[3] which is a rather amusing illustration how impossible it was by any native word to convey the idea of the spirit of evil.

When, in 1570, Father Rogel commenced his labors among the tribes near the Savannah River, he told them that the deity they adored was a demon who loved all evil things, and they must hate him; whereupon his auditors replied, that so far from this being the case, whom he called a wicked being was the power

[1] *Grammar of the Hidatsa*, p. xxii. "The idea that the Creeks knew anything of a devil," remarks Mr. Gatschet, "is an invention of the missionaries." *Migration Legend of the Creeks*, vol. i. p. 216.

[2] *Geschichte der Amerikanischen Urreligionen*, p. 403.

[3] Bruyas, *Rad. Verb. Iroquæorum*, p. 38.

that sent them all good things, and indignantly left the missionary to preach to the winds.[1]

A passage often quoted in support of this mistaken view is one in Winslow's "Good News from New England," written in 1622. The author says that the Indians worship a good power called Kiehtan, and another "who, as farre as wee can conceive, is the Devill," named Hobbamock, or Hobbamoqui. The former of these names is merely the word "great," in their dialect of Algonkin, with a final *n*, and is probably an abbreviation of Kittanitowit, the great manito, a vague term mentioned by Roger Williams and other early writers, manufactured probably by them and not the appellation of any personified deity.[2] The latter, so far from corresponding to the power of evil, was, according to Winslow's own statement, the kindly god who cured diseases, aided them in the chase, and appeared to them in dreams as their protector. Therefore, with great justice, Dr. Jarvis has explained it to mean "the *oké* or tutelary deity which each Indian worships," as the word itself signifies.[3]

So in many instances it turns out that what has been reported to be the evil divinity of a nation, to whom they pray to the neglect of a better one, is in reality the highest power they recognize. Thus Juripari, worshipped by certain tribes of Brazil, and said to be their wicked spirit, is in fact the name in their language for

[1] Alcazar, *Chrono-historia de la Prov. de Toledo*, Dec. iii., Año viii., cap. iv. (Madrid, 1710). This rare work contains the only faithful copies of Father Rogel's letters extant.

[2] It is analyzed by Duponceau, *Langues de l'Amérique du Nord*, p. 309.

[3] *Discourse on the Religion of the Ind. Tribes of N. Am.*, p. 252 in the Trans. N. Y. Hist. Soc.

supernatural in general;[1] and Aka-kanet, sometimes mentioned as the father of evil in the mythology of the Araucanians, is the benign power appealed to by their priests, who is throned in the Pleiades, who sends fruits and flowers to the earth, and is addressed as "grandfather."[2] The Çupay of the Peruvians never was, as Prescott would have us believe, "the shadowy embodiment of evil," but simply and solely their god of the dead, the Pluto of their pantheon, corresponding to the Mictla of the Mexicans.

The evidence on the point is indeed conclusive. The Jesuit missionaries very rarely distinguish between good and evil deities when speaking of the religion of the northern tribes; and the Moravian Brethren among the Algonkins and Iroquois place on record their unanimous testimony that "the idea of a devil, a prince of darkness, they first received in later times through the Europeans."[3] So the Cherokees, remarks an intelligent observer, "know nothing of the Evil One and his domains, except what they have learned from white men."[4]

The term Great Spirit conveys, for instance, to the

[1] The radical may be the Tupi-Guarani *jara*, master. From him came both pleasant and unpleasant events. D'Evreux, *Histoire du Marignan*, p. 405.

[2] Mueller, *Amer. Urreligionen*, pp. 265, 272, 274. Well may he remark: "The dualism is not very striking among these tribes;" as a few pages previous he says of the Caribs, "The dualism of gods is anything but rigidly observed. The good gods do more evil than good. Fear is the ruling religious sentiment." To such a lame conclusion do these venerable preposessions lead. "*Grau ist alle Theorie.*"

[3] Loskiel, *Ges. der Miss. der evang. Brueder*, p. 46.

[4] Whipple, *Report on the Ind. Tribes*, p. 35 (Washington, 1855). Pacific Railroad Docs.

Chipeway just as much the idea of a bad as of a good spirit; he is unaware of any distinction until it is explained to him.[1] "I have never been able to discover from the Dakotas themselves," remarks the Rev. G. H. Pond, who had lived among them as a missionary for eighteen years,[2] "the least degree of evidence that they divide the gods into classes of good and evil, and am persuaded that those persons who represent them as doing so, do it inconsiderately, and because it is so natural to subscribe to a long-cherished popular opinion."

Very soon after coming in contact with the whites, the Indians caught the notion of a bad and good spirit, pitted one against the other in eternal warfare, and engrafted it on their ancient traditions. Writers anxious to discover Jewish or Christian analogies, forcibly construed myths to suit their pet theories, and for indolent observers it was convenient to catalogue their gods in antithetical classes. In Mexican and Peruvian mythology this is so plainly false that historians no longer insist upon it, but as a popular error it still holds its ground with reference to the more barbarous and less known tribes.

Perhaps no myth has been so often quoted in its confirmation as that of the ancient Iroquois, which narrates the conflict between the first two brothers of our race. It is of undoubted native origin and venerable antiquity. The version given by the Tuscarora chief Cusic in 1825, relates that in the beginning of things there were two brothers, Enigorio and Enigohahetgea, names literally meaning the Good Mind and

[1] Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, i. p. 359.
[2] In Schoolcraft, *Ibid.*, iv. p. 642.

the Bad Mind.[1] The former went about the world furnishing it with gentle streams, fertile plains and plenteous fruits, while the latter maliciously followed him, creating rapids, thorns, and deserts. At length the Good Mind turned upon his brother in anger, and crushed him into the earth. He sank out of sight in its depths, but not to perish, for in the dark realms of the underworld he still lives, receiving the souls of the dead and being the author of all evil.

Now when we compare this with the version of the same legend given by Father Brebeuf, missionary to the Hurons in 1636, we find its whole complexion altered; the moral dualism vanishes; the names Good Mind and Bad Mind do not appear; it is the struggle of Ioskeha, the White one, with his brother Tawiscara, the Dark one, and we at once perceive that Christian influence in the course of two centuries had given the tale a meaning foreign to its original intent.

So it is with the story the Algonkins tell of their hero Manibozho, who, in the opinion of a well-known writer, "is always placed in antagonism to a great serpent, a spirit of evil."[2] It is to the effect that after conquering many animals, this famous magician tried his arts on the prince of serpents. After a prolonged struggle, which brought on the general deluge and the destruction of the world, he won the victory.

The first authority we have for this narrative is even later than Cusic; it is Mr. Schoolcraft in our own day; the legendary cause of the deluge as related by Father

[1] Or more exactly, the Beautiful Spirit, the Ugly Spirit. In Onondaga the radicals are *onigonra* spirit, *hio* beautiful, *ahetken* ugly. *Dictionnaire Français-Onontagué, édité par Jean-Marie Shea* (New York, 1859).

[2] Squier, *The Serpent Symbol in America.*

Le Jeune, in 1634, is quite dissimilar, and makes no mention of a serpent; and, as we shall hereafter see, neither among the Algonkins nor any other Indians, was the serpent usually a type of evil, but quite the reverse.[1]

The comparatively late introduction of such views into the native legends finds a remarkable proof in the myths of the Quiches, which were committed to writing in the seventeenth century. They narrate the struggles between the rulers of the upper and the nether world, the descent of the former into Xibalba, the Realm of Phantoms, and their victory over its lords, One Death and Seven Deaths. The writer adds of the latter, who clearly represent to his mind the Evil One and his adjutants, "in the old times they did not have much power; they were but annoyers and opposers of men, and, in truth, they were not regarded as gods. But when they appeared it was terrible. They were of evil, they were owls, fomenting trouble and discord."

In this passage, which, be it said, seems to have impressed the translators very differently, the writer appears to compare the great power assigned by the Christian religion to Satan and his allies, with the very much less potency attributed to their analogues in heathendom, the rulers of the world of the dead.[2]

A little reflection will convince the most incredulous that any such dualism as has been fancied to exist in the native religions, could not have been of indigenous

[1] Both these legends will be analyzed in a subsequent chapter, and an attempt made not only to restore them their primitive form, but to explain their meaning.

[2] Compare the translation and remarks of Ximenes, *Or. de los Indios de Guat.*, p. 76, with those of Brasseur, *Le Livre Sacré des Quichés*, p. 189.

growth. The gods of the primitive man are beings of thoroughly human physiognomy, painted with colors furnished by intercourse with his fellows. These are his enemies or his friends, as he conciliates or insults them. No mere man, least of all a savage, is kind and benevolent in spite of neglect and injury, nor is any man causelessly and ceaselessly malicious. Personal, family, or national feuds render some more inimical than others, but always from a desire to guard their own interests, never out of a delight in evil for its own sake.

Thus the cruel gods of death, disease, and danger, were never of Satanic nature, while the kindliest divinities were disposed to punish, and that severely, any neglect of their ceremonies.

Moral dualism can only arise where the ideas of good and evil are not synonymous with those of pleasure and pain, for the conception of a wholly good or a wholly evil nature requires the use of these terms in their higher ethical sense. The various deities of the Indians, it may safely be said in conclusion, present no stronger antithesis in this respect than those of ancient Greece and Rome. Some gods favored man and others hurt him; some, like the forces they embodied, were beneficent to him, others injurious. But no ethical contrast, beyond what this would imply, existed to the native mind.

CHAPTER III.

THE SACRED NUMBER, ITS ORIGIN AND APPLICATIONS.

The number FOUR sacred in all American religions, and the key to their symbolism.—Derived from the CARDINAL POINTS.—Appears constantly in government, arts, rites, and myths.—The Cardinal points identified with the Four Winds, who in myths are the four ancestors of the human race, and the four celestial rivers watering the terrestrial Paradise.—Associations grouped around each Cardinal Point.—From the number four was derived the symbolic value of the number *Forty*, of the Sign of the Cross, the Sacred Tree, the ceremonial circuit and other symbols.

EVERY one familiar with the ancient religions of the world must have noticed the mystic power they attach to certain numbers, and how these numbers became the measures and formative quantities, as it were, of traditions and ceremonies, and had a symbolical meaning nowise connected with their arithmetical value. For instance, in many eastern religions, that of the Jews among the rest, *seven* was the most sacred number, and after it, *four* and *three*. The most cursory reader must have observed in how many connections the seven is used in the Hebrew Scriptures, occurring, in all, something over three hundred and sixty times, it is said.

Why these numbers were chosen rather than others has not been clearly explained. Their sacred character dates beyond the earliest history, and must have been coeval with the first expressions of the religious senti-

ment. Their sacredness is so wide-spread, so nigh universal in all times and places, that any explanation, to be valid, must rest on some equally universal relations either of man or of mind. I believe that such can be shown; for the *three*, in the necessary processes of thought, in the syllogism, which proceeds by three mental operations; and for the *four* in certain obligatory relations of the individual to his environment, as I shall mention later. Through this explanation we perceive why the idea of the Trinity is so natural to the mind, and of such frequent recurrence in religions.[1]

Only one of them, the FOUR, has noteworthy prominence in the myths of the red race, but this is so marked and so universal, that at a very early period in my studies I felt convinced that if the reason for its adoption could be discovered, much of the apparent confusion which reigns in these myths would be dispelled.

Such a reason must take its rise from some essential relation of man to nature, everywhere prominent, everywhere the same. It is found in the *adoration of the cardinal points.*

The red man, as I have said, was a hunter; he was ever wandering through pathless forests, coursing over boundless prairies. It seems to the white race not a faculty, but an instinct that guides him so unerringly. He is never at a loss. Says a writer who has deeply studied his character: "The Indian ever has the points of the compass present to his mind, and expresses him-

[1] I have expanded this theory in an article "On the Origin of Sacred Numbers," in the *American Anthropologist*, for April, 1894; and comp. "Zahlen-Symbolik," in *Zeit. für Völker-psychologie*, Bd. xiv.

self accordingly in words, although it shall be of matters in his own house.'"[1]

The assumption of precisely four cardinal points is not of chance; it is recognized in every language; it is rendered essential by the anatomical structure of the body; it is derived from the immutable laws of the universe. Whether we gaze at the sunset or the sunrise, or whether at night we look for guidance to the only star of the twinkling thousands that is constant to its place, the anterior and posterior planes of our bodies, our right hands and our left, coincide with the parallels and meridians.

Very early in his history did man take note of these four points, and recognizing in them his guides through the night and the wilderness, call them his gods. Long afterwards, when centuries of slow progress had taught him other secrets of nature—when he had discerned in the motions of the sun, the elements of matter, and the radicals of arithmetic a repetition of this number—they were to him further warrants of its sacredness. He adopted it as a regulating quantity in his institutions and his arts; he repeated it in its multiples and compounds; he imagined for it novel applications; he constantly magnified its mystic meaning; and finally, in his philosophical reveries, he called it the key to the secrets of the universe, "the source of ever-flowing nature."[2]

[1] Buckingham Smith, *Gram. Notices of the Heve Language*, p. 26 (Shea's Lib. Am. Linguistics). Since I called attention to this in the first edition (1868) of this work, many writers have added facts in evidence of it from scores of American tribes. It should be noticed that in some instances the ceremonial north and south points are not those astronomically correct. (J. W. Fewkes, in *Jour. Am. Folk-lore*, 1892.) The same was true in ancient Babylon.

[2] I refer to the four "ultimate elementary particles" of Empe-

In primitive geography the figure of the earth is a square plain; in the legend of the Quichés it is "shaped as a square, divided into four parts, marked with lines, measured with cords, and suspended from the heavens by a cord to its four corners and its four sides."[1] The earliest divisions of territory were in conformity to this view. Thus it was with ancient Egypt, Syria, Mesopotamia, India and China;[2] and in the new world, the states of Peru, Araucania, the Muyscas, the Quichés, Tlascala and Michoacan were tetrarchies divided in accordance with, and in the first two instances named after, the cardinal points. So their chief cities—Cuzco, Quito, Tezcuco, Tenochtitlan, Cholula—were quartered by streets running north, south, east, and west.

It was a necessary result of such a division that the chief officers of the government were four in number, that the inhabitants of town and country, that the whole social organization acquired a quadruplicate form. The official title of the Incas was "Lord of the four quarters of the earth," and the venerable formality in taking possession of land, both in their domain and that of the Aztecs, was to throw a stone, to shoot an arrow, or to hurl a firebrand to each of the cardinal

docles. The number was sacred to Hermes, and lay at the root of the physical philosophy of Pythagoras. The quotation in the text is from the "Golden Verses," given in Passow's lexicon under the word τετρακτύς: ναι μα τον ἀμετερᾳ ψυχᾳ παραδουτα τετρακτυν, παγαν αενααυ φυσεως. "The most sacred of all things," said this famous teacher, "is Number; and next to it, that which gives Names;" a truth that the lapse of three thousand years is just enabling us to appreciate.

[1] Ximenes, *Or. de los Indios*, etc., p. 5.

[2] See Sepp, *Heidenthum und dessen Bedeutung für das Christenthum*, i. p. 464 sqq., a work full of learning, but written in the wild vein of Joseph de Maistre's school of Romanizing mythology.

points.[1] They carried out the idea in their architecture, building their palaces in squares with doors opening, their tombs with their angles pointing, their great causeways running in these directions.

These architectural principles repeat themselves all over the continent; they recur in the sacred structures of Yucatan, in the ancient cemetery of Teo-tihuacan near Mexico, where the tombs are arranged along avenues corresponding exactly to the parallels and meridians of the central tumuli of the sun and moon;[2] and however ignorant we are about the mound-builders of the Mississippi valley, we know that they constructed their earth-works with a constant regard to the quarters of the compass.

Nothing can be more natural than to take into consideration the regions of the heavens in the construction of buildings; I presume that at any time no one plans an edifice of pretensions without doing so. Yet this is one of those apparently trifling transactions which in their origin and applications have exerted a controlling influence on the history of the human race.[3]

When we reflect how indissolubly the mind of the primitive man is welded to his superstitions, it were incredible that his social life and his architecture could

[1] Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, ii. p. 227, *Le Livre Sacré des Quichés*, introd. p. ccxlii. The four provinces of Peru were Anti, Cunti, Chincha, and Colla. The meaning of these names has been lost, but to repeat them, says La Vega, was the same as to use our words, east, west, north, and south (*Hist. des Incas*, lib. ii. cap. 11).

[2] Humboldt, *Polit. Essay on New Spain*, ii. p. 44.

[3] Prof. Holmes (*Arch. Studies among the Ancient Cities of Mexico*, p. 24, 1895) observes that in the valley of Mexico and in Oaxaca, the orientation of buildings was attended to with great care; but less strictly in Yucatan.

thus be as it were in subjection to one idea, and his rites and myths escape its sway. As one might expect, it reappears in these latter more vividly than anywhere else. If there is one formula more frequently mentioned by travellers than another as an indispensable preliminary to all serious business, it is that of smoking, and the prescribed and traditional rule was that the first puff should be to the sky, and then one to each of the corners of the earth, or the cardinal points.[1]

These were the spirits who made and governed the earth, and under whatever difference of guise the uncultivated fancy portrayed them, they were the leading figures in the tales and ceremonies of nearly every tribe of the red race. These were the divine powers summoned by the Chipeway magicians when initiating neophytes into the mysteries of the meda craft. They were asked to a lodge of four poles, to four stones that lay before its fire, there to remain four days, and attend four feasts. At every step of the proceeding this number or its multiples were repeated.[2]

With their neighbors the Dakotas the number was also distinctly sacred; it was intimately inwoven in all their tales concerning the wakan power and the spirits of the air, and their religious rites. The artist Catlin has given a vivid description of the great annual festival of the Mandans, a Dakota tribe, and brings forward with emphasis the ceaseless reiteration of this number from first to last.[3] He did not detect its origin

[1] This custom has been often mentioned among the Iroquois, Algonkins, Dakotas, Creeks, Natchez, Araucanians, and other tribes. Nuttall points out its recurrence among the Tartars of Siberia also. (*Travels*, p. 175.)

[2] Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, v. pp. 424 et seq.

[3] *Letters on the North American Indians*, vol. i., Letter 22.

in the veneration of the cardinal points, but the information that has since been furnished of the myths of this stock leaves no doubt that such was the case.[1]

Proximity of place had no part in this similarity of rite. In the grand commemorative festival of the Creeks called the Busk, which wiped out the memory of all crimes but murder, which reconciled the proscribed criminal to his nation and atoned for his guilt, when the new fire was kindled and the green corn served up, every dance, every invocation, every ceremony, was shaped and ruled by the application of the number four and its multiples in every imaginable relation. So it was at that solemn probation which the youth must undergo to prove himself worthy of the dignities of manhood and to ascertain his guardian spirit; here again his fasts, his seclusions, his trials, were all laid down in fourfold arrangement.[2]

Not alone among these barbarous tribes were the cardinal points thus the foundation of the most solemn mysteries of religion. An excellent authority relates that the Aztecs of Micla, in Guatemala, celebrated their chief festival four times a year, and that four priests solemnized its rites. They commenced by invoking and offering incense to the sky and the four cardinal

[1] Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, iv. p. 643 sq. "Four is their sacred number," says Mr. Pond (p. 646). Their neighbors, the Pawnees, though not the most remote affinity can be detected between their languages, coincide with them in this sacred number, and distinctly identified it with the cardinal points. See De Smet, *Oregon Missions*, pp. 360, 361.

[2] Benj. Hawkins, *Sketch of the Creek Country*, pp. 75, 78 (Savannah, 1848) The proper term is *puskita*, which means a fasting. It was also known to the English (Bartram, Adair, Milfort) as the "green corn dance." It was much more than a "rejoicing over the first fruits," as some have maintained.

points; they conducted the human victim four times around the temple, then tore out his heart, and catching the blood in four vases scattered it in the same directions.[1]

So also the Peruvians had four principal festivals annually, and at every new moon one of four days' duration. In fact the repetition of the number in all their religious ceremonies is so prominent that it has been a subject of comment by historians. They have attributed it to the knowledge of the solstices and equinoxes, but assuredly it is of more ancient date than this.

The same explanation has been offered for its recurrence among the Nahuas of Mexico, whose whole lives were subjected to its operation. At birth the mother was held unclean for four days, a fire was kindled and kept burning for a like length of time, at the baptism of the child an arrow was shot to each of the cardinal points. Their prayers were offered four times a day, their greatest festivals were every fourth year, and their offerings of blood were to the four points of the compass. At death food was placed on the grave, as among the Eskimos, Creeks and Algonkins, for four days (for all these nations and many others supposed that the journey to the land of souls was accomplished in that time), and mourning for the dead was for four months or four years.[2]

[1] Palacios, *Des. de la Prov. de Guatemala*, pp. 31, 32, ed. Ternaux-Compans.

[2] All familiar with Mexican antiquity will recall many such examples. I may particularly refer to Kingsborough, *Antiqs. of Mexico*, v. p. 480, Ternaux-Compans' *Recueil de pièces rel. à la Conq. du Mexique*, pp. 307, 310, and Gama, *Des. de las dos Piedras que se hallaron en la plaza principal de Mexico*, ii. sec. 126 (Mexico,

It were fatiguing and unnecessary to extend the catalogue much further. Yet it is not nearly exhausted. From tribes of both continents and all stages of culture, the Muyscas of Columbia and the Natchez of Louisiana, the Quichés of Guatemala and the Caribs of the Orinoco, instance after instance might be marshalled to illustrate how universally a sacred character was attached to this number, and how uniformly it is traceable to a veneration of the cardinal points. It is sufficient that it be displayed in some of its more unusual applications.

It is well known that the calendar common to the Nahuas, Zapotecs and Maya divides the month into four weeks, each containing a like number of secular days; that their indiction is divided into four periods; and that they believed the world had passed through four cycles. It has not been sufficiently emphasized that in many of the picture writings these days of the week are placed respectively north, south, east, and west, and that in the Maya language the quarters of the indiction still bear the names of the cardinal points, hinting the reason of their adoption.[1] This cannot be fortuitous.

Again, the division of the year into four seasons—a division as devoid of foundation in nature as that of the ancient Aryans into three, and unknown among many tribes, yet obtained in very early times among Algonkins, Cherokees, Choctaws, Creeks, Aztecs, Muys-

1832), who gives numerous instances beyond those I have cited, and directs with emphasis the attention of the reader to this constant repetition.

[1] Cyrus Thomas, *Notes on Maya and Mexican Manuscripts;* D. G. Brinton, *The Native Calendar of Central America and Mexico*, etc.; and *Codex Vaticanus*, in Kingsborough's *Mexican Antiquities*.

cas, Peruvians, and Araucanians. They were supposed to be produced by the unending struggles and varying fortunes of the four aerial giants who rule the winds.

We must seek in mythology the key to the monotonous repetition and the sanctity of this number; and, furthermore, we must seek it in those natural modes of expression of the religious sentiment which are above the power of blood or circumstance to control. One of these modes, we have seen, was that which led to the identification of the divinity with the wind, and this it is that solves the enigma in the present instance. Universally the spirits of the cardinal points were imagined to be in the winds that blew from them. The names of these directions and of the corresponding winds are often the same, and when not, there exists an intimate connection between them. For example, take the languages of the Mayas, Huastecas, and Quiches of Central America; in all of them the word for *north* is synonymous with *north wind*, and so on with the other three points of the compass. Or, again, that of the Dakotas, and the word *tate-ouye-toba*, translated "the four quarters of the heavens," means literally, "whence the four winds come."[1]

It were not difficult to extend the list; but illustrations are all that is required. Let it be remembered how closely the motions of the air are associated, in thought and language, with the operations of the soul and the idea of God; let it further be considered what support this association receives from the power of the winds on the weather, bringing as they do the lightning and the storm, the zephyr that cools the brow, and the tornado that levels the forest; how they summon

[1] Riggs, *Gram. and Dict. of the Dakota Lang.*, s. v.

the rain to fertilize the seed and refresh the shrivelled leaves; how they aid the hunter to stalk the game, and usher in the varying seasons; how, indeed, in a hundred ways, they intimately concern his comfort and his life; and it will not seem strange that they almost occupied the place of all other gods in the mind of the child of nature.

Especially as those who gave or withheld the rains were they objects of his anxious solicitation. "Ye who dwell at the four corners of the earth — at the north, at the south, at the east, and at the west," commenced the Aztec prayer to the Tlalocs, gods of the showers.[1] For they, as it were, hold the food, the life of man in their power, garnered up on high, to grant or deny, as they see fit. It was from them that the prophet of old was directed to call back the spirits of the dead to the dry bones of the valley. "Prophesy unto the wind, prophesy, son of man, and say to the wind, thus saith the Lord God, come forth from the four winds, O breath, and breathe upon these slain, that they may live." (Ezek. xxxvii. 9.)

In the same spirit the priests of the Eskimos prayed to *Sillam Innua*, the Owner of the Winds, as the highest existence; the abode of the dead they called *Sillam Aipane*, the House of the Winds; and in their incantations, when they would summon a new soul to the sick, or order back to its home some troublesome spirit, their invocations were ever addressed to the winds from the cardinal points—to Pauna the East and Sauna the West, to Kauna the South and Auna the North.[2]

[1] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, in Kingsborough, v. p. 375.

[2] Egede, *Nachrichten von Grönland*, pp. 137, 173, 285 (Kopenhagen, 1790).

As the rain-bringers, as the life-givers, it were no far-fetched metaphor to call them the fathers of our race. Hardly a nation on the continent but seems to have had some vague tradition of an origin from four brothers, to have at some time been led by four leaders or princes, or in some manner to have connected the appearance and action of four important personages with its earliest traditional history. Sometimes the myth defines clearly these fabled characters as the spirits of the winds, sometimes it clothes them in uncouth, grotesque metaphors, sometimes again it so weaves them into actual history that we are at a loss where to draw the line that divides fiction from truth.

I shall attempt to follow step by step the growth of this myth from its simplest expression, where the transparent drapery makes no pretence to conceal its true meaning, through the ever more elaborate narratives, the more strongly marked personifications of more cultivated nations, until it assumes the outlines of, and has palmed itself upon the world as actual history.

This simplest form is that which alone appears among the Algonkins and Dakotas. They both traced their lives back to four ancestors, personages concerned in various ways with the first things of time, not rightly distinguished as men or gods, but very positively identified with the four winds. Whether from one or all of these the world was peopled, whether by process of generation or some other more obscure way, the old people had not said, or saying, had not agreed.[1]

It is a shade more complex when we come to the Creeks. They told of four men who came from the

[1] Schoolcraft, *Algic Researches*, i. p. 139, and *Indian Tribes*, iv. p. 229.

four corners of the earth, who brought them the sacred fire from the cardinal points, and pointed out the seven sacred plants. They were called the Hi-you-yul-gee.[1] Having rendered them this service, the kindly visitors disappeared in a cloud, returning whence they came. When another and more ancient legend informs us that the Creeks were at first divided into four clans, and alleged a descent from four female ancestors, it will hardly be venturing too far to recognize in these four ancestors the four friendly patrons from the cardinal points.[2]

The ancient inhabitants of Haiti, when first discovered by the Spaniards, had a similar genealogical story, which Peter Martyr relates with various excuses for its silliness and exclamations at its absurdity. Perhaps the fault lay less in its lack of meaning than in his want of insight. It was to the effect that men lived in caves, and were destroyed by the parching rays of the sun, and were destitute of means to prolong their race, until they caught and subjected to their use four women who were swift of foot and slippery as eels. These were the mothers of the race of men. Or again, it was said that a certain king had a huge gourd, which contained all the waters of the earth; four brothers, who coming into the world at one birth had cost their mother her life, ventured to the gourd to fish, picked it up, but frightened by the old king's approach, dropped

[1] Probably the plural form of the sacred interjection or chorus, *hi-yo-yu*; though Gatschet, who spells it *hayayalgi*, considers it derived from *hayayagi*, light or radiance. *Migration Legend of the Creeks*, vol. ii. p. 83.

[2] Hawkins, *Sketch of the Creek Country*, pp. 81, 82; Blomes, *Acc. of his Majesty's Colonies*, p. 156, London, 1687; Gatschet, *Migration Legend of the Creeks*, vol. i. p. 231.

it on the ground, broke it into fragments, and scattered the waters over the earth, forming the seas, lakes, and rivers, as they now are. These brothers in time became the fathers of a nation, and to them they traced their lineage.[1] With the previous examples before our eyes, it asks no vivid fancy to see in these quaternions once more the four winds, the bringers of rain, so swift and so slippery.

The Navajos are a rude tribe north of Mexico. Yet even they have an allegory to the effect that when the first man came up from the ground under the figure of the moth-worm, the four spirits of the cardinal points were already there, and hailed him with the exclamation, "Lo, he is of our race."[2] It is a poor and feeble effort to tell the same old story.

In the tolerably well-preserved legends of the various Mayan tribes, the Quiches, Cakchiquels, and Tzentals, we find constant reference to the four ancestors, or genii, or guardians, the Tutul Xiu, or the Ghanan. But, indeed, this was a trait of all the civilized nations of Central America and Mexico. An author who would be very unwilling to admit any mythical interpretation of the coincidence has adverted to it in tones of astonishment: "In all the Aztec and Toltec histories there are four characters who constantly reappear; either as priests or envoys of the gods, or of hidden and disguised majesty; or as guides and chieftains of tribes during

[1] Peter Martyr, *De Reb. Ocean.*, Dec. i. lib. ix. The story is also told more at length by the Brother Romain Pane, in the essay on the ancient histories of the natives he drew up by the order of Columbus. It has been reprinted with notes by the Abbé Brasseur, Paris, 1864, p. 438, sqq. Las Casas also mentions it, *Historia de las Indias:* Lib. ii.

[2] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, iv. p. 89.

their migrations; or as kings and rulers of monarchies after their foundation; and even to the time of the conquest, there are always four princes who compose the supreme government, whether in Guatemala or in Mexico."[1]

This fourfold division points not to a common history, but to a common nature. The ancient heroes and demigods, who, four in number, figure in all these antique traditions, were not men of flesh and blood, but the invisible currents of air who brought the fertilizing showers.

They corresponded to the four gods Bacab, who in the Yucatecan mythology were supposed to stand one at each corner of the world, supporting, like gigantic caryatides, the overhanging firmament. When at the general deluge all other gods and men were swallowed by the waters they alone escaped to people it anew. These four, known by the names of Kan, Muluc, Ix, and Cauac, represented respectively the east, north, west, and south, and as in Oriental symbolism, so here each quarter of the compass was distinguished by a color, the east by yellow, the south by red, the west by black, and the north by white.[2]

[1] Brasseur, *Le Liv. Sac.*, Introd., p. cxvii.

[2] Diego de Landa, *Rel. de las Cosas de Yucatan*, pp. 160, 206, 208, ed. Brasseur. The assignment of the colors was not uniform. See my *Primer of Mayan Hieroglyphics*, p. 41. Such a dedication of colors to the cardinal points is universal in Central Asia. The geographical names of the Red Sea, the Black Sea, the Yellow Sea or Persian Gulf, and the White Sea or the Mediterranean, are derived from this association. The cities of China, many of them at least, have their gates which open toward the cardinal points painted of certain colors, and precisely these four, the white, the black, the red, and the yellow, are those which in Oriental myth the mountain in the centre of Paradise shows to the different car-

The names of these mysterious personages, employed somewhat as we do the Dominical letters, adjusted the calendar of the Mayas, and by their propitious or portentous combinations was arranged their system of judicial astrology. They were the gods of rain, and under the title Chac, the Red Ones, were the chief ministers of the highest power. As such they were represented in the religious ceremonies by four old men, constant attendants on the high priest in his official functions. In this most civilized branch of the red race, as everywhere else, we thus find four mythological characters prominent beyond all others, giving a peculiar physiognomy to the national legends, arts, and sciences; and in them once more we recognize by signs infallible, personifications of the four cardinal points and the four winds.

They rarely lose altogether their true character. The Quiché legends tell us that the four men who were first created by the Heart of Heaven, Hurakan, the Air in Motion, were infinitely keen of eye and swift of foot; that "they measured and saw all that exists at the four corners and the four angles of the sky and the earth;" that they did not fulfil the design of their maker "to bring forth and produce when the season of harvest was near," until he blew into their eyes a cloud, "until their faces were obscured as when one breathes on a mirror." Then he gave them as wives the four mothers of our species, whose names were Falling Water, Beautiful Water, Water of Serpents, and Water of Birds.[1] Truly he who can see aught but a transparent myth in

dinal points. (Sepp, *Heidenthum und Christenthum*, i. p. 177.) The coincidence furnishes food for reflection.

[1] *Le Livre Sacré des Quichés*, pp. 203–5, note.

this recital is a realist who would astonish Euhemerus himself.

There is in these Aztec legends a quaternion besides this of the first men, one that bears marks of a profound contemplation on the course of nature, one that answers to the former as the heavenly phase of the earthly conception. It is seen in the four personages, or perhaps we should say modes of action, that make up the one Supreme Cause of All, Hurakan, the breath, the wind, the Divine Spirit. They are He who creates, He who gives Form, He who gives Life, and He who reproduces.[1]

This acute and extraordinary analysis of the origin and laws of organic life, clothed under the ancient belief in the action of the winds, reveals a depth of thought for which we were hardly prepared, and is one of the few instances of speculative generalization among the red race. It is clearly visible in the earlier portions of the legends of the Quichés, and is the more surely of native origin as it has been quite lost on both their translators.

Go where we will, the same story meets us. The empire of the Incas was attributed in the sacred chants of the Amautas, the priests assigned to take charge of the records, to four brothers and their wives. These mythical civilizers are said to have emerged from a

[1] The analogy is remarkable between these and the "quatre actes de la puissance generatrice jusqu'à l'entier developpêment des corps organisés," portrayed by four globes in the Mycenean bas-reliefs. See Guigniaut, *Religions de l'Antiquité*, i. p. 374. It were easy to multiply the instances of such parallelism in the growth of religious thought in the Old and New World, but I refrain from the temptation, as their discussion would involve the study of primitive religions in general, which would take me too far from the aim of the present work.

cave called *Pacari tampu*, which may mean "the House of Subsistence," reminding us of the four heroes who in Aztec legend set forth to people the world from Tonacatepec, the mountain of our subsistence: or again it may mean—for like many of these mythical names it seems to have been designedly chosen to bear a double construction—the Lodgings of the Dawn, recalling another Aztec legend which points for the birthplace of the race to Tula in the distant orient.[1]

The cave itself suggests to the classical reader that of Eolus, or may be paralleled with that in which the Iroquois fabled the winds were imprisoned by their lord, or with that in which, according to early Christian legend, Jesus was born. These brothers were of no common kin. Their voices could shake the earth and their hands heap up mountains. Like the thunder god, they stood on the hills and hurled their sling-stones to the four corners of the earth. When one was overpowered he fled upward to the heaven or was turned into stone, and it was by their aid and counsel that the savages who possessed the land renounced their barbarous habits and commenced to till the soil. There can be no doubt but that this in turn is but another transformation of the Protean myth we have so long pursued.[2]

There are traces of the same legend among many other tribes of the continent, but the trustworthy re-

[1] See H. Cunow, *Die sociale Verfassung des Inkareichs*, p. 20 (Stuttgart, 1896).

[2] For the mythology of Peru, Garcilasso de la Vega, *Comentarios Reales*, and the *Tres Relaciones Peruanas*, published in Madrid, 1879, are valuable authorities. A good resumé is given by J. G. Müller, *Amerikanische Urreligionen*, pp. 308 sqq., from the older writers. Von Tschudi, Middendorf and Markham are more recent.

ports we have of them are too scanty to permit analysis. Enough that they are mentioned in a note, for it is every way likely that could we resolve their meaning they too would carry us back to the four winds.[1]

Let no one suppose, however, that this was the only myth of the origin of man. Far from it. It was but one of many, for, as I shall hereafter attempt to show,

[1] The Tupis of Brazil claim a descent from four brothers, three of whose names are given by Hans Staden, a prisoner among them about 1550, as Krimen, Hermittan, and Ceem; the latter he explains to mean the morning, the east (*le matin*, printed by mistake *le mutin*, *Relation de Hans Staden de Homberg*, p. 274, ed. Ternaux-Compans; compare Adam, *Gram. Comp. de la Langue Tupi*, s. v. *Koema*). Their southern relatives, the Guaranis of Paraguay, also spoke of the four brothers and gave two of their names as Tupi and Guarani, respectively parents of the tribes called after them (Guevara, *Hist. del Paraguay*, lib. i. cap. ii., in Waitz). The fourfold division of the Muyscas of Bogota was traced back to four chieftains created by their hero god Nemqueteba (E. Restrepo, *Los Aborigines de Colombia*, cap. iii., Bogota, 1892). The Nahuas of Mexico much more frequently spoke of themselves as descendants of four or eight original families than of seven (Humboldt, *ibid.*, p. 317, and others in Waitz, *Anthropologie*, iv. pp. 36, 37). The Sacs or Sauks of the Upper Mississippi supposed that two men and two women were first created, and from these four sprang all men (Morse, *Rep. on Ind. Affairs*, App. p. 138). The Ottoes, Pawnees, "and other Indians," had a tradition that from eight ancestors all nations and races were descended (Id. p. 249). This duplication of the number probably arose from assigning the first four men four women as wives. The division into clans or totems which prevails in most northern tribes rests theoretically on descent from different ancestors. The Shawnees and Natchez were divided into four such clans, the Choctaws, Navajos, and Iroquois into eight, thus proving that in those tribes also the myth I have been discussing was recognized. A tribe visited by Lederer in Virginia was composed of four clans, who neither married nor buried together (*Discoveries*, p. 5, London, 1672).

the laws that governed the formations of such myths not only allowed but enjoined great divergence of form. Equally far was it from being the only image which the inventive fancy hit upon to express the action of the winds as the rain bringers. They too were many, but may all be included in a twofold division, either as the winds were supposed to flow in from the corners of the earth or outward from its central point.

Thus they are spoken of under such figures as four tortoises at the angles of the earthly plane who vomit forth the rains,[1] or four gigantic caryatides who sustain the heavens and blow the winds from their capacious lungs,[2] or more frequently as four rivers flowing from the broken calabash on high, as the Haitians, draining the waters of the primitive world,[3] as four animals who bring from heaven the maize,[4] as four messengers whom the god of air sends forth, or under a coarser trope as the spittle he ejects toward the cardinal points which is straightway transformed into wild rice, tobacco, and maize.[5]

Constantly from the palace of the lord of the world, seated on the high hill of heaven, blow four winds, pour four streams, refreshing and fecundating the earth. Therefore, in the myths of ancient Iran there is mention of a celestial fountain, Arduisur, the virgin daughter of Ormuzd, whence four all nourishing rivers roll their waves toward the cardinal points; therefore the Tibetans believe that on the sacred mountain of Himavata grows the tree of life Zampu, from whose foot

[1] Mandans in Catlin, *Letts. and Notes*, i. p. 181.
[2] The Mayas, Cogolludo, *Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. 8.
[3] The Navajos, Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, iv. p. 89.
[4] The Quichés, Ximenes, *Or. de los Indios*, p. 79.
[5] The Iroquois, Müller, *Amer. Urreligionen*, p. 109.

once more flow the waters of life in four streams to the four quarters of the world; and therefore it is that the same tale is told by the Chinese of the mountain Kouantun, by the Edda of the mountain in Asaheim, whence flows the spring Hvergelmir, by the Brahmins of Mount Meru, and by the Parsees of Mount Albors in the Caucasus. Need I add to this catalogue the legend of the four rivers of Paradise, borrowed in Genesis from ancient Babylonian myths, and which learned men to-day, like the writer of that venerable document, strive in vain to identify with rivers of terrestrial geography?

Each nation called their sacred mountain "the navel of the earth;" for not only was it the supposed centre of the habitable world, but through it, as the fœtus through the umbilical cord, the earth drew her increase.[1] Beyond all other spots were they accounted fertile, scenes of joyous plaisance, of repose, and eternal youth; there rippled the waters of health, there blossomed the tree of life; they were fit trysting spots of gods and men.

Hence came the tales of the terrestrial paradise, the rose garden of Feridun, the Eden gardens of the world. The name shows the origin, for paradise (in Sanscrit, *para desa*) means literally *high land.* There, in the unanimous opinion of the Orient, dwelt once in unalloyed delight the first of men; thence driven by untoward fate, no more anywhere could they find the

[1] The *navel string* was regarded as a specially sacred object by many American tribes. It was buried, and at certain seasons the individual to whom it belonged visited the spot to perform religious rites. See Sahagun, *Historia*, Lib. v. App.; Kingsborough, *Mexican Antiquities*, vol. v. p. 91; Brinton, *The Native Calendar*, p. 18.

path thither. Some thought that in the north among the fortunate Hyperboreans, others that in the mountains of the moon where dwelt the long lived Ethiopians, and others again that in the furthest east, underneath the dawn, was situate the seat of pristine happiness; but many were of opinion that somewhere in the western sea, beyond the pillars of Hercules and the waters of the Outer Ocean, lay the garden of the Hesperides, the Islands of the Blessed, the earthly Elysion.

It is not without design that I recall this early dream of the religious fancy. When Christopher Columbus, fired by the hope of discovering this terrestrial paradise,[1] broke the enchantment of the cloudy sea and found a new world, it was but to light upon the same race of men, deluding themselves with the same hope of earthly joys, the same fiction of a long lost garden of their youth. They told him that still in the west, amid the mountains of Paria, was a spot whence flowed mighty streams over all lands, and which in sooth was the spot he sought;[2] and when that baseless fabric had vanished, there still remained the fabled island of Boiuca, or Bimini, hundreds of leagues north of Hispaniola, whose glebe was watered by a fountain of such noble virtue as to restore youth and vigor to the worn out and the aged.[3]

This was no fiction of the natives to rid themselves of burdensome guests. Long before the white man approached their shores, families had started from

[1] That such was in part his purpose, see Navarrete, *Viages*, Tom. i. p. 259.

[2] Peter Martyr, *De Reb. Ocean.*, Dec. iii., lib. ix. p. 195 (Colon, 1574).

[3] Ibid., Dec. iii., lib. x. p. 202.

Cuba, Yucatan, and Honduras in search of these renovating waters, and not returning, were supposed by their kindred to have been detained by the delights of that enchanted land, and to be revelling in its seductive joys, forgetful of former ties.[1]

Perhaps it was but another rendering of the same belief that pointed to the impenetrable forests of the Orinoco, the ancient homes of the Caribs and Arawacks, and there located the famous realm of El Dorado with its imperial capital Manoa, abounding in precious metals and all manner of gems, peopled by a happy race, and governed by an equitable ruler.

The Aztec priests never chanted more regretful dirges than when they sang of Tulan, the cradle of their race, where once it dwelt in peaceful indolent happiness, whose groves were filled with birds of sweet voices and gay plumage, whose generous soil brought forth spontaneously maize, cacao, aromatic gums, and fragrant flowers. "Land of riches and plenty, where the gourds grow an arm's length across, where an ear of corn is a load for a stout man, and its stalks are as high as trees; land where the cotton ripens of its own accord of all rich tints; land abounding with limpid emeralds, turquoises, gold, and silver."[2]

This land was also called Tlalocan, from Tlaloc, the god of rain, who there had his dwelling place, and Tlapallan, the land of colors, or the red land, for the hues

[1] Florida was also long supposed to be the site of this wondrous spring, and it is notorious that both Juan Ponce de Leon and De Soto had some lurking hope of discovering it in their expeditions thither. I have examined the myth somewhat at length in *Notes on the Floridian Peninsula, its Literary History, Indian Tribes, and Antiquities*, pp. 99, 100 (Philadelphia, 1859).

[2] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. iii. cap. iii.

of the sky at sunrise floated over it. Its inhabitants were surnamed children of the air, or of Quetzalcoatl, and from its centre rose the holy mountain Tonacatepec, the mountain of our life or subsistence. Its supposed location was in the east, whence in that country blow the winds that bring mild rains, says Sahagun, and that missionary was himself asked, as coming from the east, whether his home was in Tlapallan; more definitely by some it was situated among the lofty peaks on the frontiers of Guatemala, and all the great rivers that water the earth were supposed to have their sources there.[1]

But here, as elsewhere, its site was not determined. "There is a Tulan," says an ancient authority, "where the sun rises, and there is another in the land of shades, and another where the sun reposes, and thence came we; and still another where the sun reposes, and there dwells God."[2]

[1] *Le Livre Sacré des Quichés*, Introd., p. clviii.

[2] Memorial de Tecpan Atitlan, in Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, i. p. 167. The derivation of Tulan, or Tula, is extremely uncertain. The Abbé Brasseur saw in it the *ultima Thule* of the ancient geographers, which suited his idea of early American history. Hernando De Soto found a village of this name on the Mississippi, or near it. But on looking into Gallatin's vocabularies, *tulla* turns out to be the Choctaw word for *stone*, and as De Soto was then in the Choctaw country, the coincidence is explained at once. Buschmann, who spells it *Tollan*, takes it from *tolin*, a rush, and translates, *juncetum*, *Ort der Binsen*. (*Ueber die Aztekischen Ortsnamen*, p. 682.) It is sometimes found in the form *Tonallan*, which means "the sunny place," from *tonatiuh* with the ending *tlan*. Those who have attempted to make history from these mythological fables have been much puzzled about the location of this mystic land. Humboldt has placed it on the northwest coast, Cabrera at Palenque, Clavigero north of Anahuac, etc. etc. M. de Charencey remarks that more than twenty cities in Mexico and Central America bore this

The myth of the Quichés but changes the name of this pleasant land. With them it was *Pan-paxil-pacayala*, where the waters divide in falling, or, between the waters parcelled out and mucky. This was "an excellent land, full of pleasant things, where was store of white corn and yellow corn, where one could not count the fruits, nor estimate the quantity of honey and food." Over it ruled the lord of the air, and from it the four sacred animals carried the corn to make the flesh of men.[1]

Once again, in the legends of the Mixtecas, we hear the old story repeated of the garden where the first two brothers dwelt. It lay between a meadow and that lofty peak which supports the heavens and the palaces of the gods. "Many trees were there, such as yield flowers and roses, very luscious fruits, divers herbs, and aromatic spices." The names of the brothers were the Wind of Nine Serpents and the Wind of Nine Caverns. The first was as an eagle, and flew aloft over the waters that poured around their enchanted garden; the second was as a serpent with wings, who proceeded with such velocity that he pierced rocks and walls. They were too swift to be seen by the sharpest eye, and were one near as they passed, he was only aware of a whisper and a rustling like that of the wind in the leaves.[2]

name. (*Le Mythe de Votan*, p. 29.) Aztlan, literally the White Land, is another name originally of mythical purport which it would be equally vain to seek on the terrestrial globe. In the extract in the text, the word translated God is *Qabavil*, an old word for the highest god, either from a root meaning to open, to disclose, or from one of similar form signifying to wonder, to marvel; literally, therefore, the Revealer, or the Wondrous One (*Vocab. de la Lengua Quiché*, p. 209: Paris, 1862).

[1] Ximenes, *Or. de los Indios*, p. 80, *Le Livre Sacré*, p. 195.

[2] Garcia, *Origen de los Indios*, lib. iv. cap. 4.

Wherever, in short, the lust of gold lured the early adventurers, they were told of some nation a little further on, some wealthy and prosperous land, abundant and fertile, satisfying the desire of the heart. It was sometimes deceit, and it was sometimes the credited fiction of the earthly paradise, that in all ages has with a promise of perfect joy consoled the aching heart of man.

It is instructive to study the associations that naturally group themselves around each of the cardinal points, and watch how these are mirrored on the surface of language, and have directed the current of thought. Jacob Grimm has performed this task with fidelity and beauty as regards the Aryan race, but the means are wanting to apply his searching method to the indigenous tongues of America. Enough if in general terms their mythological value be determined.

When the day begins man wakes from his slumbers, faces the rising sun, and prays. The east is before him; by it he learns all other directions; it is to him what the north is to the needle; with reference to it he assigns in his mind the position of the three other cardinal points.[1] There is the starting place of the celestial fires, the home of the sun, the womb of the morning. It represents in space the beginning of things in time, and as the bright and glorious creatures of the sky come forth thence, man conceits that his ancestors also in remote ages wandered from the orient; there in the opinion of many in both the old and new world was the cradle of the race; there in Aztec legend was the fabled land of Tlapallan, and the wind from the east was called the wind of Paradise,

[1] Compare the German expression *sich orientiren*, to right oneself by the east, to understand one's surroundings.

Tlalocavitl. "The East," says Mr. Dorsey, speaking of the Dakotas, "symbolizes life and the source thereof;" therefore they lay a corpse with the head to the east, as intimating the hope of a future life.[1]

From this direction came, according to the almost unanimous opinion of the Indian tribes, those hero gods who taught them arts and religion, thither they returned, and from thence they would again appear to resume their ancient sway. As the dawn brings light, and with light is associated in every human mind the ideas of knowledge, safety, protection, majesty, divinity, as it dispels the spectres of night, as it defines the cardinal points, and brings forth the sun and the day, it occupied the primitive mind to an extent that can hardly be magnified beyond the truth. It is in fact the central figure in most natural religions.

The west, as the grave of the heavenly luminaries, or rather as their goal and place of repose, brings with it thoughts of sleep, of death, of tranquillity, of rest from labor. When the evening of his days was come, when his course was run, and man had sunk from sight, he was supposed to follow the sun and find some spot of repose for his tired soul in the distant west. There, with general consent, the tribes north of the Gulf of Mexico supposed the happy hunting grounds; there, taught by the same analogy, the ancient Aryans placed the Nerriti, the exodus, the land of the dead, as also did the Egyptians and many other nations of the Old World. "The old notion among us," said on one occasion a distinguished chief of the Creek nation, "is that when we die the spirit goes the way the sun goes,

[1] J. O. Dorsey, *A Study of Siouan Cults*, in 11th Rep. Bur. of Ethnology, p. 377.

to the west, and there joins its family and friends who went before it.[1]

In the northern hemisphere the shadows fall to the north, thence blow cold and furious winds, thence come the snow and early thunder. Perhaps all its primitive inhabitants, of whatever race, thought it the seat of the mighty gods.[2] A floe of ice in the Arctic Sea was the home of the guardian spirit of the Algonkins;[3] on a mountain near the north star the Dakotas thought Heyoka dwelt who rules the seasons; and the realm of Mictli, the Aztec god of death, lay where the shadows pointed. From that cheerless abode his sceptre reached over all creatures, even the gods themselves, for sooner or later all must fall before him. The great spirit of the dead, said the Ottawas, lives in the dark north,[4] and there, in the opinion of the Monquis of California, resided their chief god, Gumongo.[5]

Unfortunately the makers of vocabularies have rarely included the words north, south, east, and west, in their lists, and the methods of expressing these ideas adopted by the Indians can only be partially discovered. The east and west were usually called from the rising and setting of the sun as in our words orient and occident, but occasionally from traditional notions. The Mayas named the west the greater, the east the lesser debarkation; believing that while their culture hero Zamna came from the east with a few attendants, the mass of the population arrived from the opposite direction.[6]

[1] Hawkins, *Sketch of the Creek Country*, p. 80.
[2] See Jacob Grimm, *Geschichte der Deutschen Sprache*, p. 681.
[3] De Smet, *Oregon Missions*, p. 352.
[4] Bressani, *Relation Abrégé*, p. 93.
[5] Venegas, *Hist. of California*, i. p. 91 (London, 1759).
[6] Cogolludo, *Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. iii.

The Aztecs spoke of the east as "the direction of Tlalocan," the terrestrial paradise.

For north and south there were no such natural appellations, and consequently the greatest diversity is exhibited in the plans adopted to express them. The north in the Caddo tongue is "the place of cold," in Dakota "the situation of the pines," in Creek "the abode of the (north) star," in Algonkin "the home of the soul," in Aztec "the direction of Mictla," the realm of death, in Quiché and Quichua "to the right hand;"[1] while for the south we find such terms as in Dakota "the downward direction," in Algonkin "the place of warmth," in Quiché "to the left hand," while among the Eskimos, who look in this direction for the sun, its name implies "before one," just as does the Hebrew word *kedem*, which, however, this more southern tribe applied to the east.

We can trace the sacredness of the number four in other curious and unlooked-for developments. Multiplied into the number of the fingers—the arithmetic of every child and primitive man—or by adding together the first four members of its arithmetical series (4 + 8 + 12 + 16), it gives the number forty. This was taken as a limit to the sacred dances of some Indian

[1] Alexander von Humboldt has asserted that the Quichuas had other and very circumstantial terms to express the cardinal points drawn from the positions of the sun (*Ansichten der Natur*, ii. p. 368). But the distinguished naturalist overlooked the literal meaning of the phrases he quotes for north and south, *intip chaututa chayananpata* and *intip chaupunchau chayananpata*, literally, the sun arriving toward the midnight, the sun arriving toward the midday. These are evidently translations of the Spanish *hacia la media noche*, *hacia el medio dia*, for they could not have originated among a people under or south of the equatorial line. Other terms are given by Middendorf, *Keshua Wörterbuch*, s. v. *inti*.

tribes, and by others as the highest number of chants to be employed in exorcising diseases. Consequently it came to be fixed as a limit in exercises of preparation or purification. The females of the Orinoco tribes fasted forty days before marriage, and those of the upper Mississippi were held unclean the same length of time after childbirth; such was the term of the Prince of Tezcuco's fast when he wished an heir to his throne, and such the number of days the Mandans supposed it required to wash clean the world at the deluge.[1]

No one is ignorant how widely this belief was prevalent in the old world, nor how the quadrigesimal is still a sacred term with some denominations of Christianity.

In another phase of custom the cardinal points were closely associated with ceremonies relating to reverence paid the heavenly bodies, not only in America but nigh universally. The marriage rite of the Indian Aryans prescribed that the couple should walk together thrice around a fire, keeping it on their right, thus following the apparent motion of the sun and stars. In Scotland there still survive many superstitions connected with the *deisel*, the similar movement from left to right, and with the *widdershins*, the motion in the reverse direction. Thus arose the "sinistral and dextral circuits," and the notions of good or ill luck connected with one or the other hand, the left often bearing the happier augury.[2]

From their original associations the motion with the heavenly bodies came to represent celestial, that con-

1 Catlin, *Letters and Notes*, i., Letter 22; La Hontan, *Mémoires*, ii. p. 151; Gumilla, *Hist. del Orinoco*, p. 159.

2 As in Rome, China and also Mexico. Orozco y Berra, *Hist. Antigua de Mexico*, i. p. 125.

trary to them terrestrial symbolism, and so they are explained to this day in Korea, where, as in many other lands, they are prominent in methods of divination, in the rituals of religion, in the offices of courtesy, and in games of chance and recreation.[1]

All this is repeated in America. We find the same games, patolli, tlachtli, etc., the same methods of divination, the same religious processions, based on the idea of following or reversing the apparent motions of the stars in naming, arranging or visiting the four world quarters. When in the tribal circle the various gentes were assigned their places with reference to the cardinal points, the formal movements of the assembly were prescribed in a "ceremonial circuit" of this nature with rigidity. In the sacred dances the similar motions were taught, the men sometimes moving in one, the women in the other direction. As the gods visit the regions of the heavens in due order and solemn procession, so it was conceived should man ceremonially move from one to another of the regions of the terrestrial plane; but as man is not of the gods, there were reasons why his circuit should often differ from theirs.[2]

But a more striking parallelism awaits us. The symbol that beyond all others has fascinated the human mind, THE CROSS, finds here its source and meaning. Scholars have pointed out its sacredness in many natural religions, and have reverently accepted it as a mystery, or offered scores of conflicting and often debasing interpretations. It is but another symbol of the four cardinal points, the four winds of heaven. This

[1] Stewart Culin, *Korean Games*, Introduction.

[2] On this interesting subject see J. O. Dorsey, *Study of Siouan Cults*, chap. vii.; J. W. Fewkes, in *Jour. Amer. Folk-lore*, 1892, p. 33; F. H. Cushing, in *Amer. Anthropologist*, 1892, p. 303, etc.

will luminously appear by a study of its use and meaning in America.

The Catholic missionaries found it was no new object of adoration to the red race, and were in doubt whether to ascribe the fact to the pious labors of St. Thomas or the sacrilegious subtlety of Satan. It was the central object in the great temple of Cozumel, and is still preserved on the bas-reliefs of the ruined city of Palenque. From time immemorial it had received the prayers and sacrifices of the Nahuas and Mayas, and was suspended as an august emblem from the walls of temples in Popoyan and Cundinamarca. In the Mexican tongue it bore the significant and worthy name "Tree of Our Life," or "Tree of our Flesh" (Tonacaquahuitl). It represented the god of rains and of health, and this was everywhere its simple meaning. "Those of Yucatan," say the chroniclers, "prayed to the cross as the god of rains when they needed water." And Las Casas, the early bishop of Chiapas, tells us that "around the principal water-springs, the natives were wont to erect four altars, in the form of a cross."[1] The Aztec goddess of rains bore a cross in her hand, and at the feast celebrated to her honor in the early spring, victims were nailed to a cross and shot with arrows.

Quetzalcoatl, as god of the winds, bore as his sign of office "a mace like the cross of a bishop;" his robe was covered with them strown like flowers, and its adoration was throughout connected with his worship.[2]

[1] *Historid Apologetica*, cap. 121.

[2] On the worship of the cross in Mexico and Yucatan and its invariable meaning as representing the gods of rain, consult Ixtlilxochitl, *Hist. des Chichimêques*, p. 5; Las Casas, *Hist. Apologetica*, c. 121; Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. i. cap. ii. Garcia, *Or. de los Indios*, lib. iii. cap. vi. p. 109; Pala-

When the Muyscas would sacrifice to the goddess of waters they extended cords across the tranquil depths of some lake, thus forming a gigantic cross, and at their point of intersection threw in their offerings of gold, emeralds, and precious oils.[1] The arms of the cross were designed to point to the cardinal points and represent the four winds, the rain bringers. To confirm this explanation, let us have recourse to the simpler ceremonies of the less cultivated tribes, and see the transparent meaning of the symbol as they employed it.

When the rain maker of the Lenni Lenape would exert his power, he retired to some secluded spot and drew upon the earth the figure of a cross, its arms towards the cardinal points, placed upon it a piece of tobacco, a gourd, a bit of some red stuff, and commenced to cry aloud to the spirits of the rains.[2] The Blackfeet were accustomed to arrange the glacial boulders on the prairies in the form of a cross, in honor, they said, of Natose, "the Old Man who sends the winds" (Gen. J. M. Brown). The Creeks at the festival of the Busk, celebrated, as we have seen, to the four winds, and according to their legends instituted by them, commenced with making the new fire. The manner of this was

cios, *Des. de la Prov. de Guatemala*, p. 29; Cogolludo, *Hist. de Yucathan*, liv. iv. cap. ix.; Villagutierre Sotomayor, *Hist. de el Itza y de el Lacandon*, lib. iii. cap. 8; and many others might be mentioned. In some instances the "mace" of the Mexican divinities is the *atlatl*, or throwing stick, as has been clearly shown by Mrs. Zelia Nuttall (*Peabody Museum Papers*, vol. i. No. 3). The cross also appears in this connection.

[1] E. Restrepo, *Los Aborigenes de Colombia*, p. 45, after Simon and Acosta.

[2] Loskiel, *Gés. der Miss. der evang. Brüder*, p. 60.

"to place four logs in the centre of the square, end to end, forming a cross, the outer ends pointing to the cardinal points; in the centre of the cross the new fire is made."[1] This was the precise form of the cross which, according to Las Casas, was an object of worship on the coast of South America, near Cumana, at and long before the arrival of the Christians.[2]

As the emblem of the winds who dispense the fertilizing showers it is emphatically the tree of our life, our subsistence, and our health. It never had any other meaning in America, and if, as has been said,[3] the tombs of the Mexicans were cruciform, it was perhaps with reference to a resurrection and a future life as portrayed under this symbol, indicating that the buried body would rise by the action of the four spirits of the world, as the buried seed takes on a new existence when watered by the vernal showers. It frequently recurs in the ancient Egyptian writings, where it is in-

[1] Hawkins, *Sketch of the Creek Country*, p. 75. Lapham and Pidgeon mention that in the State of Wisconsin many low mounds are found in the form of a cross with the arms directed to the cardinal points. They contain no remains. Were they not altars built to the Four Winds? In the mythology of the Dakotas, who inhabited that region, the winds were always conceived as birds, and for the cross they have a native name literally signifying "the musquito hawk spread out" (Riggs, *Dict. of the Dakota*, s. v.). Its Maya name is *vahom che*, the tree erected or set up, the adjective being drawn from the military language and implying as a defence or protection, as the warrior lifts his lance or shield (Landa, *Rel. de las Cosas de Yucatan*, p. 65). The Siouan gentes are placed in the tribal circle with reference to the form of the Greek cross (Dorsey, *Siouan Cults*, chap. vi.).

[2] *Historia Apologetica*, MS., cap. 125. The figure he gives of it is that of the Greek cross, two lines of equal length meeting in their centres at right angles. The natives of Cumana were Caribs.

[3] Squier, *The Serpent Symbol in America*, p. 98.

terpreted *life;* doubtless, could we trace the hieroglyph to its source, it would likewise prove to be derived from the four winds. Just as these dwellers in the Nile valley placed the entrails of the mummy in the four Canopic vases around the body, so did the Mayas, arranging the jars in groups of four, and called them *bacabs*, from the four gods of the rain or the cardinal points.[1]

Often derived from the cross, always associated with the same ideas of life and vitality, the TREE figures conspicuously in American mythology, and occupied a prominent position in the ceremonies and rites of the native religions. In the cosmical pictographs of the Mayas and Nahuas it stands in the centre of the universe, its branches rise to the fertilizing rain clouds, while its trunk is rooted in the vase of primeval waters from which all things took their origin.[2] In the Mexican sacred formulas the tree was prayed to as *tota*, "Our Father," and was called god of the waters and the green foliage.[3] Did the ancient Quiches desire offspring, they sought some spot where a tree overhung a fountain, and to it they addressed their prayers and offered their sacrifices.[4] To this day the green tree, the *vax che*, usually the ceiba, is an object of reverence near the native hamlets of Central America. It is the sign of life, and its honor is a survival of that of the primal tree which their ancestors adored.

It would be easy to accumulate from all parts of

[1] H. de Charencey, *Le Mythe de Votan*, p. 39.

[2] Brinton, *Primer of Mayan Hieroglyphics*, pp. 49, 101.

[3] Diego Duran, *Historia de los Indios*, T. ii. p. 240.

[4] F. Ximenes, *Origen de los Indios*, p. 189. On the cross as an art-form conventionalized from the tree, see the remarks of W. H. Holmes in 2d An. Rep. Bur. of Ethnol., pp. 270, 271.

America the evidence of the worship of trees as an emblem of life, and their connection with the waters, the four winds and the cross. In the picturesque myths of the Yurucares of Bolivia, when all men had been destroyed by fire, the god Tiri opened a tree, and from it allowed various tribes to emerge, until he deemed the earth sufficiently peopled, when he closed it. But the men were weak and ignorant. Then a virgin prayed to Ulé, the most beautiful tree of the forest, and he came forth and embraced her, engendering the culture hero who taught them the arts of life.[1]

Everywhere we find traces of the world-tree, the primal growth which lifted man from his dark anterior dwelling place, or from the earth to heaven. The Mbocobis of Paraguay tell of such a one which existed in the good old times, and by which the souls of the departed could climb commodiously to the delightful streams of Paradise; but a wicked old woman, angered at her ill luck in fishing in the celestial waters, changed herself into a rat and enviously gnawed the roots of the tree, so that it fell and could no more be raised.[2]

It is not necessary to extend such references. They indicate that the sacredness of trees was connected with the mythical concepts I have been considering, and find in them their main (though not only) explanation. In a symbolic or ceremonial form we see them reappear in the sacred poles of so many tribes the sticks or stakes which surrounded the temples and the allied objects which stood for the ideas of life.[3]

[1] A. D'Orbigny, *L'Homme Americain*, ii. p. 365.

[2] The tree had a special name, *nalliagdigua*. Guevara, *Hist. del Paraguay*, cap. xiv.

[3] The Iroquois and Algonkins regarded the tree as an emblem of peace, and planted one at the conclusion of a treaty (Smith,

While thus recognizing the origin of these widespread symbols in the structure and necessary relations of the human body, therefore disowning the mysticism that Joseph de Maistre and his disciples have advocated, let us on the other hand be equally on our guard against accepting the material facts which underlie these beliefs as their deepest foundation and their exhaustive explanation. That were but withered fruit for our labors, and it might well be asked, where is here the divine idea said to be dimly prefigured in mythology?

The universal belief in the sacredness of numbers is an instinctive perception of a fundamental fact, a recognition by the intellect of the method of its own action. The laws of chemical combination, of the various modes of motion, of all organic growth, show that simple numerical relations govern all the properties and are inherent to the very constitution of matter. In view of such facts is it presumptuous to predict that experiment itself will prove the truth of Kepler's beautiful saying: "The universe is a harmonious whole, the soul of which is God; numbers, figures, the stars, all nature, indeed, are in unison with the mysteries of religion?"

Hist. New York, pp. 63, 64, 79); one was allowed to grow in their villages to indicate tranquillity (Hazard, *Reg. of Penna.*, v. p. 131). The Abenakis honored a particular tree, and suspended offerings on its branches (Lafitau). The "sacred pole" of the Omahas typified the cosmic tree, the centre of the four winds and the home of the thunder bird. (See Alice C. Fletcher in *American Antiquarian*, September, 1895, and *Proc. Am. Assoc. Adv. Science*, 1895, p. 278.) It was the sacred or "mystery tree" (Dorsey, *Siouan Cult*, pp. 390, 455). The custom of *tree burial*, or placing the corpse in trees, no doubt in some instances bore a mythical relation to placing them in the tree of life. It was quite common among the western tribes.

CHAPTER IV.

THE SYMBOLS OF THE BIRD AND THE SERPENT.

Relations of man to the lower animals.—Two of these, the BIRD and the SERPENT, chosen as symbols beyond all others.—The Bird throughout America the symbol of the Clouds and Winds.—Meaning of certain species.—The symbolic meaning of the Serpent derived from its mode of locomotion, its poisonous bite, and its power of charming.—Usually the symbol of the Lightning and the Waters.—The Rattlesnake the symbolic species in America.—The war charm.—The god of riches.—Both symbols devoid of moral significance.

THOSE stories which the Germans call *Thierfabeln*, wherein the actors are different kinds of brutes, seem to have a particular relish for children and uncultivated nations. Who cannot recall with what delight he nourished his childish fancy on the pranks of Reynard the Fox, or the tragic adventures of Little Red Riding Hood and the Wolf? Every nation has a congeries of such tales, and it is curious to mark how the same animal reappears with the same imputed physiognomy in so many of them. The fox is always cunning, the wolf ravenous, the owl gloomy and wise, the ass foolish.

The question has been raised whether such traits were at first actually ascribed to animals, or whether their introduction in story was intended merely as an agreeable figure of speech for classes of men. We cannot doubt but that the former was the case. Going back to the dawn of civilization, we find these relations not

as amusing fictions, but as myths, embodying religious tenets, and the brute heroes held up as the ancestors of mankind, even as rightful claimants of man's prayers and praises.

The effort has been made to trace early faiths to an animal worship exclusively, but it has failed, as must all such narrow theories. The idea of the divine acknowledges no single source in nature. The infinite power imminent in all phenomena expresses itself to man in all. The form of animal worship called "totemism" prevailed extensively among the American Indians, as it did also in Australia. The "totem" was the mythical animal after whom the clan or gens was named, and from which in the mythic philosophy it was genealogically descended. In many legends these animal gods created and directed in their course the heavenly bodies, and established the institutions of human society.[1]

It is probable, however, that the totemic badge had a political or social rather than a distinctly religious significance. It was not always an animal, as we find snow, ice and water totems as well.[2] Nevertheless, there are instances, and abundance of them, where superstitious honors were paid directly to inferior animals. The Lower Creeks, like the ancient Egyptians, venerated the alligator, and never destroyed one.[3] The jaguar was

[1] J. W. Powell, *Mythology of the North American Indians*, pp. 39, 40. The word totem is from the Algonkin verbal root *ot* or *od*, to belong to; hence *ote*, family, *nind otem*, my family, etc. Thavenet believed it related to *teh* or *oteh*, heart, life, soul. Cuoq, *Lexique Algonquine*, p. 312, and J. H Trumbull, in *Am. Philol. Assoc.*, 1872, p. 23. The literature about totemism is so extended that I need not quote titles.

[2] C. S. Wake, in the *American Antiquarian*, 1889, p. 354.

[3] B. Roman, *Nat. and Civ. Hist. of Florida*, p. 101.

worshipped by the Moxos of Bolivia, and they appointed as priests those who had escaped from its claws.[1] The extensive and mysterious doctrine of Nagualism in Mexico and Central America is based on the belief that each individual has a beast as a patron and protector, and an adept can assume its form at will.[2]

Man, the paragon of animals, praying to the beast, is a spectacle so humiliating that it prompts us to seek the explanation of it least degrading to the dignity of our race. We must remember that as a hunter the primitive man was always matched against the wild creatures of the woods, so superior to him in their dumb certainty of instinct, their swift motion, their muscular force, their permanent and sufficient clothing. Their ways were guided by a wit beyond his divination, and they gained a living with little toil or trouble. They did not mind the darkness so terrible to him, but through the night called one to the other in a tongue whose meaning he could not fathom, but which, he doubted not, was as full of purport as his own.

He did not recognize in himself those god-like qualities destined to endow him with the royalty of the world, while far more clearly than we do he saw the sly and strange faculties of his antagonists. They were to him, therefore, not inferiors, but equals—even superiors. He doubted not that once upon a time he had possessed their instinct, they his language, but that some necromantic spell had been flung on them both to keep them asunder. None but a potent sorcerer could break this charm, but such an one could

[1] A. D'Orbigny, *L'Homme Americain*, ii. p. 235.

[2] D. G. Brinton, *Nagualism*, p. 59 (Philadelphia, 1894).

understand the chants of birds and the howls of savage beasts, and on occasion transform himself into one or another animal, and course the forest, the air, or the waters, as he saw fit. Therefore, it was not the beast that he worshipped, but that share of the omnipresent deity which he thought he perceived under its form.[1]

Beyond all others, two subdivisions of the animal kingdom have so riveted the attention of men by their unusual powers, and enter so frequently into the myths of every nation of the globe, that a right understanding of their symbolic value is an essential preliminary to the discussion of the divine legends. They are the BIRD and the SERPENT. We shall not go amiss if we seek the reasons of their pre-eminence in the facility with which their peculiarities offered sensuous images under which to convey the idea of divinity, ever present in the soul of man, ever striving at articulate expression.

The bird has the incomprehensible power of flight; it floats in the atmosphere, it rides on the winds, it soars toward heaven where dwell the gods; its plumage is stained with the hues of the rainbow and the sunset; its song was man's first hint of music; it spurns the clods that impede his footsteps, and flies proudly over the mountains and moors where he toils wearily along. He sees no more enviable creature; he conceives the gods and angels must also have wings; and pleases

[1] That these were the real views entertained by the Indians in regard to the brute creation, see Heckewelder, *Acc. of the Ind. Nations*, p. 247; Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, iii. p. 520. As von den Steinen accurately says:—"Wir müssen uns die Grenzen zwischen Mensch und Tier vollständig wegdenken." *Naturvölker Zentral Braziliens*, p. 351 (1894).

himself with the fancy that he, too, some day will shake off this coil of clay, and rise on pinions to the heavenly mansions. All living beings, say the Eskimos, have the faculty of soul (*tarrak*), but especially the birds.[1]

As messengers from the upper world and interpreters of its decrees, the flight and the note of birds have ever been anxiously observed as omens of grave import. "There is one bird especially," remarks the traveller Coreal, of the natives of Brazil, "which they regard as of good augury. Its mournful chant is heard rather by night than day. The savages say it is sent by their deceased friends to bring them news from the other world, and to encourage them against their enemies."[2] In Peru and in Mexico there was a College of Augurs, corresponding in purpose to the auspices of ancient Rome, who practised no other means of divination than watching the course and pretending to interpret the songs of fowls.

So natural and so general is such a superstition, and so widespread is the respect it still obtains in civilized and Christian lands, that it is not worth while to summon witnesses to show that it prevailed universally among the red race also. What imprinted it with redoubled force on their imagination was the common belief that birds were not only divine nuncios, but the visible spirits of their departed friends. The Powhatans held that a certain small wood bird received the souls of their princes at death, and they refrained religiously from doing it harm;[3] while the Aztecs and various

[1] Egede, *Nachrichten con Grönland*, p. 156.

[2] *Voiages aux Indes Occidentales*, pt. ii. p. 203 (Amst. 1722).

[3] Beverly, *Hist. de la Virginie*, liv. iii. chap. viii.

other nations thought that all good people, as a reward of merit, were metamorphosed at the close of life into feathered songsters of the grove, and in this form passed a certain term in the umbrageous bowers of Paradise.

But the usual meaning of the bird as a symbol looks to a different analogy—to that which appears in such familiar expressions as "the wings of the wind," "the flying clouds." Like the wind, the bird sweeps through the aerial spaces, sings in the forest, and rustles on its course; like the cloud, it floats in mid-air and casts its shadow on the earth; like the lightning, it darts from heaven to earth to strike its unsuspecting prey.

These tropes were truths to savage nations, and led on by that law of language which forced them to conceive everything as animate or inanimate, itself the product of a deeper law of thought which urges us to ascribe life to whatever has motion, they found no animal so appropriate for their purpose here as the bird. Therefore the Algonkins say that birds always make the winds, that they create the water spouts, and that the clouds are the spreading and agitation of their wings;[1] the Navajos, that at each cardinal point stands a white swan, who is the spirit of the blasts which blow from its dwelling; and the Dakotas, that in the west is the house of the Wakinyan, the Flyers, the breezes that send the storms.

So, also, they frequently explain the thunder as the sound of the cloud-bird flapping his wings, and the lightning as the fire that flashes from his tracks, like the sparks which the buffalo scatters when he scours over a stony plain.[2] The thunder cloud was

[1] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 420.

[2] Mrs. Eastman, *Legends of the Sioux*, p. 191 (New York, 1849). This is a trustworthy and meritorious book, which can be said of

also a bird to the Caribs, and they imagined it produced the lightning in true Carib fashion by blowing it through a hollow reed, just as they to this day hurl their poisoned darts.[1] Most of the natives of the northwest coast explain the thunder as the flapping of the wings of a giant bird, the lightning as the flash of his eye. Tupis, Iroquois, Athapascas, for certain, perhaps all the families of the red race, were the subject pursued, partook of this persuasion; among them all it would probably be found that the same figures of speech were used in comparing clouds and winds with the feathered species as among us, with, however, this most significant difference, that whereas among us they are figures and nothing more, to them they expressed what they considered literal facts.

How important a symbol did they thus become! For the winds, the clouds, producing the thunder and the changes that take place in the ever-shifting panorama of the sky, the rain bringers, lords of the seasons, and not this only, but the primary type of the soul, the life, the breath of man and the world, these in their role in mythology are second to nothing. Therefore as the symbol of these august powers, as messenger of the gods, and as the embodiment of departed spirits, no one will be surprised if they find the bird figure most prominently in the myths of the red race.

Sometimes some particular species seems to have been chosen as most befitting these dignified attributes. No citizen of the United States will be apt to assert that

very few of the older collections of Indian traditions. They were collected during a residence of seven years in our northwestern territories, and are usually verbally faithful to the native narrations.

[1] De la Borde, *Religion des Caraibes*, p. 7 (Paris, 1674).

their instinct led the indigenes of our territory astray when they chose with nigh unanimous consent the great American eagle as that fowl beyond all others proper to typify the supreme control and the most admirable qualities. Its feathers composed the war flag of the Creeks, and its images carved in wood or its stuffed skin surmounted their council lodges (Bartram); none but an approved warrior dared wear it among the Cherokees (Timberlake); and the Dakotas allowed such an honor only to him who had first touched the corpse of the common foe (De Smet).

The Natchez and Akanzas seem to have paid it even religious honors, and to have installed it in their most sacred shrines (Sieur de Tonty, Du Pratz); and very clearly it was not so much for ornament as for a mark of dignity and a recognized sign of worth that its plumes were so highly prized.

The natives of Zuñi, in New Mexico, employed four of its feathers to represent the four winds in their invocations for rain (Whipple, Cushing), and probably it was the eagle which a tribe in upper California (the Acagchemem) worshipped under the name Panes. Father Geronimo Boscana describes it as a species of vulture, and relates that one of them was immolated yearly, with solemn ceremony, in the temple of each village. Not a drop of blood was spilled, and the body burned. Yet with an amount of faith that staggered even the Romanist, the natives maintained and believed that it was the same individual bird they sacrificed each year; more than this, that the same bird was slain by each of the villages.[1]

[1] *Acc. of the Inds. of California*, ch. ix. Eng. trans. by Robinson (New York, 1847). The Acagchemem were a branch of the Netela

The owl was regarded by Nahuas, Quichés, Mayas, Peruvians, Araucanians, and Algonkins as sacred to the lord of the dead. "The Owl" was one of the names of the Mexican Pluto, whose realm was in the north,[1] and the wind from that quarter was supposed by the Chipeways to be made by the owl, as the south by the butterfly.[2] As the bird of night, it was the fit emissary of him who rules the darkness of the grave.

Something in the looks of the creature as it sapiently stares and blinks in the light, or perhaps that it works while others sleep, got for it the character of wisdom. So the Creek priests carried with them as the badge of their learned profession the stuffed skin of one of these birds, thus modestly hinting their erudite turn of mind.[3] The Arickarees placed one above the "medicine stone" in their council lodge, and the culture hero of the Monquis of California was represented, like Pallas Athene, having one as his inseparable companion (Venegas).

As the associate of the god of light and air, and as the antithesis therefore of the owl, the Nahuas reverenced a bird called *quetzal*, the beautiful *Trogon splendens*. Its plumage is of a bright green hue, and was prized

tribe of Shoshonees who dwelt near the mission San Juan Capistrano (see Buschmann, *Spuren der Aztek. Sprache*, etc., p. 548; Brinton, *The American Race*, p. 123).

[1] Called in the Aztec tongue *Tecolotl*, night owl; literally, the stone scorpion. The transfer was mythological. The Christians prefixed to this word *tlaca*, man, and thus formed a name for Satan, which Prescott and others have translated "rational owl." No such deity existed in ancient Anahuac (see Buschmann, *Die Voelker und Sprachen Neu Mexico's*, p. 262).

[2] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 420.

[3] William Bartram, *Travels*, p. 504. Columbus found the natives of the Antilles wearing tunics with figures of these birds embroidered upon them. Prescott, *Conq. of Mexico*, i. p. 58, note.

extravagantly as a decoration. It was one of the symbols and part of the name of Quetzalcoatl, their mythical civilizer, and the prince of all sorts of singing birds, myriads of whom were fabled to accompany him on his journeys.

The tender and hallowed associations that have so widely shielded the dove from harm, which for instance Xenophon mentions among the ancient Persians, were not altogether unknown to the tribes of the New World. Neither the Hurons nor the Mandans would kill them, for they believed they were inhabited by the souls of the departed,[1] and it is said, but on less satisfactory authority, that they enjoyed similar immunity among the Mexicans. Their soft and plaintive note and sober russet hue widely enlisted the sympathy of man, and linked them with his more tender feelings.

"As wise as the serpent, as harmless as the dove," is an antithesis that might pass current in any human language. They are the emblems of complementary, often contrasted qualities. Of all animals, the serpent is the most mysterious. No wonder it possessed the fancy of the observant child of nature. Alone of creatures it swiftly progresses without feet, fins, or wings. "There be three things which are too wonderful for me, yea, four which I know not," said wise King Solomon; and the chief of them were, "the way of an eagle in the air, the way of a serpent upon a rock."

Its sinuous course is like to nothing so much as that of a winding river, which therefore we often call serpentine. The name Serpentine is borne by an English stream; a river in British America is called the Ser-

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1636, ch. ix. Catlin, *Letters and Notes*, Lett. 22.

pent; and in Arcadia the Greeks had the Ophis. So with the Indians. Kennebec, a stream in Maine, in the Algonkin means snake, and Antietam, the creek in Maryland of tragic celebrity, in an Iroquois dialect has the same significance.

How easily would savages, construing the figure literally, make the serpent a river or water god! Many species being amphibious would confirm the idea. A lake watered by innumerable tortuous rills wriggling into it, is well calculated for the fabled abode of the king of the snakes. Thus doubtless it happened that both Algonquins and Iroquois had a myth that in the great lakes dwelt a monster serpent, of irascible temper, who unless appeased by meet offerings raised a tempest or broke the ice beneath the feet of those venturing on his domain, and swallowed them down.[1]

The rattlesnake was the species almost exclusively honored by the red race.[2] It is slow to attack, but venomous in the extreme, and possesses the power of the basilisk to attract within reach of its spring small birds and squirrels. Probably this much talked of fascination is nothing more than by its presence near their

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1648, p. 75; Cusic, *Trad. Hist. of the Six Nations*, pt. iii. The latter is the work of a native Tuscarora chief. It is republished in Schoolcraft's Indian Tribes, and is commented on by Mrs. E. A. Smith in her *Myths of the Iroquois*, in Rep. Bur. Ethnology for 1880–81.

[2] In North American art, both modern and that from the mounds and shell-heaps, "the rattlesnake is the variety almost universally represented." W. H. Holmes, *Art in Shell of the Ancient Americans* in 2d An. Rep. of the Bureau of Ethnology, p. 289. In the Mayan manuscripts and carvings it is the only serpent represented as a symbol. Its name in their language is Serpent King, *ahau can*, and to it are assigned the four sacred colors. Brinton, *Primer of Mayan Hieroglyphics*, p. 75.

nests to incite them to attack, and to hazard near and nearer approaches to their enemy in hope to force him to retreat, until once within the compass of his fell swoop they fall victims to their temerity. I have often watched a cat act thus. Whatever explanation may be received, the fact cannot be questioned, and is ever attributed by the unreflecting to some diabolic spell cast upon them by the animal.

They have the same strange susceptibility to the influence of rhythmic sounds as the vipers, in which lies the secret of snake charming. Most of the Indian magicians were familiar with this singularity. They employed it with telling effect to put beyond question their intercourse with the unseen powers, and to vindicate the potency of their own guardian spirits who thus enabled them to handle with impunity the most venomous of reptiles.[1]

The well-known antipathy of these serpents to certain plants, for instance the hazel, which bound around the ankles is an alleged protection against their attacks, and perhaps some antidote to their poison used by the magicians, led to their frequent introduction in religious ceremonies. Such exhibitions must have made a profound impression on the spectators, and redounded in a corresponding degree to the glory of the performer. "Who is a manito?" asks the mystic meda

[1] For example, in Brazil, Müller, *Amer. Urrelig.*, p. 277; in Yucatan, Cogolludo, *Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. 4; among the Western Algonkins, Hennepin, *Decouverte dans l'Amer. Septen.*, chap. 33. The literature relating to serpent worship in America is very rich. I mention Squier, *The Serpent Symbol in America*, 1851; Bourke, *The Snake-dance of the Moquis*, 1884, as important. Mrs. M. C. Stevenson, J. W. Fewkes, F. C. Hodge, and F. H. Cushing have written fully on the snake ceremonies of the Pueblo Indians.

chant of the Algonkins. "He," is the reply, "he who walketh with a serpent, walking on the ground, he is a manito."[1]

The intimate alliance of this symbol with the mysteries of religion, the darkest riddles of the Unknown, is reflected in their language, and also in that of their neighbors, the Dakotas, in both of which the same words *manito*, *wakan*, which express the supernatural in its broadest sense, are also used as terms for this species of animals! This strange fact is not without a parallel, for in both Arabic and Hebrew, the word for serpent has many derivatives, meaning to have intercourse with demoniac powers, to practice magic, and to consult familiar spirits.[2]

The pious founder of the Moravian brotherhood, the Count of Zinzendorf, owed his life on one occasion to this deeply rooted superstition. He was visiting a missionary station among the Shawnees, in the Wyoming valley. Recent quarrels with the whites had unusually irritated this unruly folk, and they resolved to make him their first victim. After he had retired to his secluded hut, several of their braves crept upon him, and cautiously lifting the corner of the lodge, peered in. The venerable man was seated before a

[1] *Narrative of the Captivity and Adventures of John Tanner*, p. 356.

[2] See Gallatin's vocabularies in the second volume of the *Trans. Am. Antiq. Soc.* under the word *Snake*. In Arabic *dzann* is serpent; *dzanan*, a spirit, a soul, or the heart. So in Hebrew *nachas*, serpent, has many derivatives signifying to hold intercourse with demons, to conjure, a magician, etc. See Noldeke in the *Zeitschrift für Voelkerpsychologie und Sprachenwissenschaft*, i. p. 413. The dialects of the Algonquin referred to are the Shawnee and the Saukie. For similar relations in Iroquois and Dakota see Hewitt, *Amer. Anthropologist*, 1889, p. 179; Dorsey, *Siouan Cults*, p. 366.

little fire, a volume of the Scriptures on his knees, lost in the perusal of the sacred words. While they gazed, a huge rattlesnake, unnoticed by him, trailed across his feet, and rolled itself into a coil in the comfortable warmth of the fire. Immediately the would-be murderers forsook their purpose and noiselessly retired, convinced that this was indeed a man of God.

A more unique trait than any of these is its habit of casting its skin every spring, thus as it were renewing its life. In temperate latitudes the rattlesnake, like the leaves and flowers, retires from sight during the cold season, and at the return of kindly warmth puts on a new and brilliant coat. Its cast-off skin was carefully collected by the savages and stored in the medicine bag, as possessing remedial powers of high excellence. Itself thus immortal, they thought it could impart its vitality to them. So when the mother was travailing in sore pain, and the danger neared that the child would be born silent, the attending women hastened to catch some serpent and give her its blood to drink.[1]

It is well known that in ancient art this animal was the symbol of Æsculapius, and to this day Professor Agassiz found that the Maues Indians, who live between the upper Tapajos and Madeira Rivers, in Brazil, whenever they assign a form to any "remedio," give it that of a serpent.[2] And among the Lenape Indians their most famous doctors were called "Big Snakes."[3]

Probably this notion that it was annually rejuvenated led to its adoption as a symbol of Time among

[1] Alexander Henry, *Travels*, p. 117.

[2] *Bost. Med. and Surg. Journal*, vol. 76, p. 21.

[3] William Nelson, *The Indians of New Jersey*, p. 53 (1894). After Wassenaer.

the Nahuas; or, perchance, as they reckoned by suns, and the figure of the sun, a circle, corresponds to nothing animate but a serpent with its tail in its mouth, eating itself, as it were, this may have been its origin. Either of these is more likely than that the symbol arose from the recondite reflection that time is "never ending, still beginning, still creating, still destroying," as has been suggested.

A natural object with so many strange traits as I have mentioned would necessarily as a symbol be associated with various conceptions in primitive religions; therefore it would be a manifest error to explain the serpent symbol by any one interpretation. But that it has one which is prominent beyond others in America is unquestionable. It is the same which a number of years ago the German writer Schwartz proved to be so prevalent in German and Greek mythology.

He demonstrated that a meaning which recurs very frequently in this emblem is *the lightning;* a meaning drawn from the close analogy which the serpent in its motion, its quick spring, and mortal bite, has to the zigzag course, the rapid flash, and sudden stroke of the electric discharge. He even went so far as to imagine that by this resemblance the serpent first acquired the veneration of men. But this was an extravagance not supported by more thorough research.

He has further shown with great aptness of illustration how, by its dread effects, the lightning, the heavenly serpent, became the god of terror and the opponent of such heroes as Beowulf, St. George, Thor, Perseus, and others, mythical representations of the fearful war of the elements in the thunder storm; how from its connection with the advancing summer and fertilizing showers it bore the opposite character of the deity of

fruitfulness, riches, and plenty; how, as occasionally kindling the woods where it strikes, it was associated with the myths of the descent of fire from heaven, and as in popular imagination where it falls it scatters the thunderbolts in all directions, the flint-stones which flash when struck were supposed to be these fragments, and was one source of the stone worship so frequent in the old world; and how, finally, the prevalent myth of a king of serpents crowned with a glittering stone or wearing a horn is but another type of the lightning.[1]

Without accepting unreservedly all these conclusions, I shall show how correct they are in the main when applied to the myths of the New World, and thereby illustrate how the red race is of one blood and one faith with our own remote ancestors in heathen Europe and Central Asia.

It asks no elaborate effort of the imagination to liken the lightning to a serpent. It does not require any remarkable acuteness to guess the conundrum of Schiller:—

> "Unter allen Schlangen ist eine
> Auf Erden nicht gezeugt,
> Mit der an Schnelle keine,
> An Wuth sich keine vergleicht."

When Father Buteux was a missionary among the Algonkins in 1637, he asked them their opinion of the nature of lightning. "It is an immense serpent," they replied, "which the Manito is vomiting forth; you can see the twists and folds that he leaves on the trees which he strikes; and underneath such trees we

[1] Schwarz, *Der Ursprung der Mythologie dargelegt an Griechischer und Deutscher Sage: passim.*

have often found huge snakes." "Here is a novel philosophy for you!" exclaims the Father.[1]

So the Shawnees called the thunder "the hissing of the great snake;"[2] and Tlaloc, the Aztec thunder god, held in his hand a serpent of gold to represent the lightning.[3] For this reason the Caribs spoke of the god of the thunder storm as a great serpent dwelling in the fruit forests,[4] and in the Quiché legends other names for Hurakan, the hurricane or thunder-storm, are the Strong Serpent, He who hurls below, referring to the lightning.[5]

Among the Hurons, in 1648, the Jesuits found a legend current that there existed somewhere a monster serpent called Onniont, who wore on his head a horn that pierced rocks, trees, hills, in short everything he encountered. Whoever could get a piece of this horn was a fortunate man, for it was a sovereign charm and bringer of good luck. The Hurons confessed that none of them had had the good hap to find the monster and break his horn, nor indeed had they any idea of his whereabouts; but their neighbors, the Algonkins, furnished them at times small fragments for a large consideration.[6]

Clearly the myth had been taught them for venal purposes by their trafficking visitors. Now among the Algonkins, the Shawnee tribe did more than all others

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France;* An. 1637, p. 53, and Peter Jones, *Hist. of the Ojibway Indians*, pp. 86, 87.

[2] James A. Jones, *Traditions of the North American Indians*, p. 21. A work of small merit, but presenting a few valuable facts.

[3] Torquemada, *Monarquia Indiana*, lib. vi. cap. 37.

[4] De la Borde, *ubi supra.*

[5] *Le Livre Sacré des Quichés*, p. 3.

[6] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1648, p. 75.

combined to introduce and carry about religious legends and ceremonies. From the earliest times they seem to have had peculiar aptitude for the ecstasies, deceits, and fancies that made up the spiritual life of their associates. Their constantly roving life brought them in contact with the myths of many nations; and it is extremely probable that they first brought the tale of the horned serpent from the Creeks and Cherokees. It figured extensively in the legends of both these tribes.

The latter related that once upon a time among the glens of their mountains dwelt the prince of rattlesnakes. Obedient subjects guarded his palace, and on his head glittered in place of a crown a gem of marvellous magic virtues. Many warriors and magicians tried to get possession of this precious talisman, but were destroyed by the poisoned fangs of its defenders. Finally, one more inventive than the rest hit upon the bright idea of encasing himself in leather, and by this device marched unharmed through the hissing and snapping court, tore off the shining jewel, and bore it in triumph to his nation. They preserved it with religious care, brought it forth on state occasions with solemn ceremony, and about the middle of the last century, when Captain Timberlake penetrated to their towns, told him its origin.[1]

The charm which the Creeks presented their young men when they set out on the war path was of very similar character. It was composed of the bones of the panther and the horn of the fabulous horned snake. According to a legend taken down by an unimpeachable authority toward the close of the last century, the

[1] *Memoirs of Lieut. Henry Timberlake*, p. 48 (London, 1765). This little book gives an account of the Cherokees at an earlier date than is elsewhere found.

great snake dwelt in the waters; the old people went to the brink and sang the sacred songs. The monster rose to the surface. The sages recommenced the mystic chants. He rose a little out of the water. Again they repeated the songs. This time he showed his horns, and they cut one off. Still a fourth time did they sing, and as he rose to listen cut off the remaining horn. A fragment of these in the "war physic" protected from inimical arrows and gave success in the conflict.[1]

The myth recurs where no historical connection can be presumed. In the central region of the volanic island of Dominica is a deep vale, wherein, alleged the Carib natives, dwelt a monstrous serpent; "upon its head is a sparkling stone like a carbuncle, which is commonly covered with a thin moving skin, like a man's eyelid; but when he drank and sported himself in that deep bottom, it was plainly discovered, the rocks about the place receiving a wondrous lustre from the fire issuing out of that precious crown." This was probably the great serpent, Racumon, brother of Savacon, who, according to De la Borde, these islanders believed to be the lord of the hurricane and the maker of the winds.[2]

In these myths, which attribute good fortune to the horn of the snake, that horn which pierces trees and rocks, which rises from the waters, which glitters as a gem, which descends from the ravines of the mountains, we shall not overstep the bounds of prudent reasoning if we see the thunderbolt, sign of the fructifying rain, symbol of the strength of the lightning,

[1] Hawkins, *Sketch of the Creek Country*, p. 80.

[2] Blomes, *State of His Majestie's Territories in America*, p. 73 (London, 1687); De la Borde, *Relation des Caraibes*, p. 7 (Paris, 1674).

horn of the heavenly serpent. They are obviously meteorological in their original meaning, though this is often obscured and lost to sight in later renderings.

When in later Algonkin tradition the hero Michabo appears in conflict with the shining prince of serpents who lives in the lake and floods the earth with its waters, and destroys the reptile with a dart, and further when the conqueror clothes himself with the skin of his foe and drives the rest of the serpents to the south, where in that latitude the lightnings are last seen in the autumn;[1] or when in the traditional history of the Iroquois we hear of another great horned serpent rising out of the lake and preying upon the people until a similar hero-god destroys it with a thunderbolt,[2] we cannot be wrong in rejecting any historical or ethical interpretation, and in constructing them as allegories which at first represented the atmospheric changes which accompany the advancing seasons and the ripening harvests. They are narratives conveying under agreeable personifications the tidings of that unending combat which the Dakotas said was being waged with varying fortunes by Unktahe against Wauhkeon, the God of Waters against the Thunder Bird.[3] They are the same stories which in the old world have been elaborated into the struggles of Ormuzd and Ahriman,

[1] Schoolcraft, *Algic Researches*, i. p. 179 sq. ; compare ii. p. 117.

[2] Morgan, *League of the Iroquois*, p. 159 ; Cusic, *Trad. Hist. of the Six Nations*, p. ii.

[3] Mrs. Eastman, *Legends of the Sioux*, pp. 161, 212. In this explanation I depart from Prof. Schwarz, who, collecting various legends almost identical with these of the Indians (with which he was not acquainted), interpreted the precious crown or horn to be the summer sun, brought forth by the early vernal lightning. *Ursprung der Mythologie*, p. 27, note. It is needless to refer to the numerous later writers who have developed these views.

of Thor and Midgard, of St. George and the Dragon, and a thousand others.

Yet it were but a narrow theory of natural religion that allowed no other meaning to these myths. Many another elemental warfare is being waged around us, and applications as various as nature herself lie in these primitive creations of the human fancy. We may find reason to prefer one or another in a given instance; but the maxim to be remembered is that there was never any moral, never any historical purport in them in the infancy of religious life.

In snake charming as a proof of proficiency in magic, and in the symbol of the lightning, which brings both fire and water, which in its might controls victory in war, and in its frequency, plenteous crops at home, lies most of the secret of the serpent symbol.

As the "war physic" among the tribes of the United States was a fragment of a serpent, and as thus signifying his incomparable skill in war, the Iroquois represent their mythical king Atatarho clothed in nothing but black snakes, so that when he wished to don a new suit he simply drove away one set and ordered another to take their places,[1] so, by a precisely similar mental process, the myth of the Nahuas assigns as a mother to their war god Huitzilopochtli, Coatlicue, the robe of serpents; her dwelling place Coatepec, the hill of serpents; and at her lying-in say that she brought forth a serpent. Her son's image was surrounded by serpents, his sceptre was in the shape of one, his great drum was of serpents' skins, and his statue rested on four vermiform caryatides.

As the emblem of the fertilizing summer showers the

[1] Cusic, *Traditional History*, pt. ii.

lightning serpent was the god of fruitfulness. Born in the atmospheric waters, it was an appropriate attribute of the ruler of the winds. But we have already seen that the winds were often spoken of as great birds. Hence the union of these two emblems in such names as Quetzalcoatl, Gucumatz, Kukulkan, all titles of the god of the air in the languages of Central America, all signifying the "Bird-serpent."

The "masters" in native magic craft explained to the bishop Nuñez de la Vega that this compound symbolism was to represent "the snake with feathers which moves in the waters," that is, the heavenly waters, the clouds and the rains.[1]

Frequently, therefore, in the codices and carvings from Mexico and Central America we find the tree of life, in the form of the cross, symbolizing the four cardinal points and their associations, connected with these symbols of the serpent and the bird; as in the celebrated cross of Palenque, which is surmounted by the quetzal bird and perhaps rests on a serpent mask.

Quetzalcoatl, called also Yolcuat, the rattlesnake, was no less intimately associated with serpents than with birds. The entrance to his temple at Mexico represented the jaws of one of these reptiles, and he finally disappeared in the province of Coatzacoalco, the hiding place of the serpent, sailing towards the east in a bark of serpents' skins. All this refers to his power over the lightning serpent, and over that which it typified.

He was also said to be the god of riches and the patron consequently of merchants. For with the summer lightning come the harvest and the ripening fruits, come riches and traffic. Moreover "the golden color

[1] Nuñez de la Vega, *Constituciones Diocesanas de Chiapas*, p. 9.

of the liquid fire," as Lucretius expresses it, naturally led where this metal was known, to its being deemed the product of the lightning. Thus originated many of those tales of a dragon who watches a treasure in the earth, and of a serpent who is the dispenser of riches, such as were found among the Greeks and Ancient Germans.

So it was in Peru, where the god of riches was worshipped under the image of a rattlesnake horned and hairy, with a tail of gold. It was said to have descended from the heavens in the sight of all the people, and to have been seen by the whole army of the Inca.[1] Whether it was in reference to it, or as emblems of their prowess, that the Incas themselves chose as their arms two serpents with their tails interlaced, is uncertain; possibly one for each of these significations.

Because the rattlesnake, the lightning serpent, is thus connected with the food of man, and itself seems never to die but annually to renew its youth, the Algonkins called it "grandfather" and "king of snakes;" they feared to injure it; they believed it could grant prosperous breezes, or raise disastrous tempests; crowned with the lunar crescent it was the constant symbol of life in their picture writing; and in the meda signs the mythical grandmother of mankind *me suk kum me go kwa* was indifferently represented by an old woman or a serpent.[2] For like reasons Cihuacoatl, the Serpent

[1] "I have examined many Indians in reference to these details," says the narrator, an Augustin monk writing in 1554, "and they have all confirmed them as eye-witnesses" (*Lettre sur les Superstitions du Perou*, p. 106, ed. Ternaux-Compans. This document is very valuable).

[2] *Narrative of John Tanner*, p. 355; Henry, *Travels*, p. 176.

Woman, in the myths of the Nahuas was also called Tonantzin, our mother.[1]

The serpent symbol in America has, however, met with frequent misinterpretation. It had such an ominous significance in Christian art, and one which chimed so well with the favorite proverb of the early missionaries—"the gods of the heathens are devils"—that wherever they saw a carving or picture of a serpent they at once recognized the sign manual of the Prince of Darkness, and inscribed the fact in their note-books as proof positive of their cherished theory. After going over the whole ground, I am convinced that none of the tribes of the red race attached to this symbol any ethical significance whatever, and that as employed to express atmospheric phenomena, and the recognition of divinity in natural occurrences, it far more frequently typified what was favorable and agreeable than the reverse.

[1] Torquemada, *Monarquia Indiana*, lib. vi. cap. 31.

CHAPTER V.

THE MYTHS OF WATER, FIRE, AND THE THUNDER-STORM.

Water the oldest element.—Its use in purification.—Holy Water.—The Rite of Baptism.—The Water of Life.—Its symbols.—The Vase.—The Moon.—The latter the goddess of love and agriculture, but also of sickness, night, and pain.—Often represented by a dog.—Fire worship under the form of Sun worship.—The perpetual fire.—The new fire.—Burning the dead.—The worship of the passions, and the reciprocal principle of Nature.—Dualism of Deities.—American goddesses.—Phallic worship in America.—Synthesis of the worship of Fire, Water, and the Winds in the THUNDER-STORM, personified as Haokah, Tupa, Catequil, Contici, Heno, Tlaloc, Mixcoatl, and other deities, many of them triune.

THE primitive man was a brute in everything but the susceptibility to culture; the chief market of his time was to sleep, fight, and feed; his bodily comfort alone had any importance in his eyes; and his gods were nothing, unless they touched him here. Cold, hunger, thirst, these were the hounds that were ever on his track; these were the fell powers he saw constantly snatching away his fellows, constantly aiming their invisible shafts at himself. Fire, food, and water were the gods that fought on his side; they were the chief figures in his pantheon, his kindliest, perhaps his earliest, divinities.

With a nearly unanimous voice mythologies assign the priority to Water. It was the first of all things, the

parent of all things. Even the gods themselves were born of water, said the Greeks and the Aztecs. Cosmogonies reach no further than the primeval ocean that rolled its shoreless waves through a timeless night.

"Omnia pontus erant, deerant quoque litora ponto."

Earth, sun, stars, lay concealed in its fathomless abysses. "All of us," ran the Mexican baptismal formula, "are children of Chalchihuitlycue, Goddess of Water;" and the like was said by the Peruvians of Mama Cocha, by the Botocudos of Taru, by the natives of Darien of Dobayba, by the Iroquois of Ataensic—all of them mothers of mankind, all personifications of water. From the foam-cap of the primal ocean, said the Zuñis, impregnated by the All-father, proceeded the first of beings.[1]

How account for such unanimity? Not by supposing some ancient intercourse between remote tribes, but by the uses of water as the originator and supporter, the essential prerequisite of life. Leaving aside the analogy presented by the motherly waters which nourish the unborn child, nor emphasizing how indispensable it is as a beverage, the many offices this element performs in nature lead easily to the supposition that it must have preceded all else. By quenching thirst, it quickens life; as the dew and the rain, it feeds the plant, and when withheld the seed perishes in the ground and forests and flowers alike wither away; as the fountain, the river, and the lake, it enriches the valleys, offers safe retreats, and provides store of fishes; as the ocean, it presents the most fitting type of the infinite. It cleanses, it purifies; it produces, it pre-

[1] F. H. Cushing, *Zuñi Creation Myths*, p. 381.

serves. "Bodies, unless dissolved, cannot act," is a maxim of the earliest chemistry. Very plausibly, therefore, was it assumed as the source of all things.

The adoration of streams, springs, and lakes, or rather of the spirits their rulers, prevailed everywhere: sometimes avowedly because they provided food, as was the case with the Moxos, who called themselves children of the lake or river on which their village was, and were afraid to migrate lest their parent should be vexed;[1] sometimes because they were the means of irrigation, as in Peru; or on more general mythical grounds. A grove by a fountain is in all nature-worship a ready-made shrine of the sylphs who live in its limpid waves and chatter mysteriously in its shallows. On such a spot in our Gulf States one rarely fails to find the sacrificial mound of the ancient inhabitants, and on such the natives of Central America were wont to erect their altars (Ximenes).

Lakes are the natural centres of civilization. Like the lacustrine villages which the Swiss erected in antehistoric times, like ancient Venice, the city of Mexico was first built on piles in a lake, and for the same reason—protection from attack. Security once obtained, growth and power followed. Thus we can trace the earliest rays of Aztec civilization rising from Lake Tezcuco, of the Peruvian from Lake Titicaca, of the Muyscas from Lake Guatavita. These are the centres of legendary cycles. Their waters were hallowed by venerable reminiscences. From the depths of Titicaca rose Viracocha, mythical civilizer of Peru. Guatavita was the bourne of many a foot-sore pilgrim in the ancient empire of the Zac. Once a year the high priest

[1] A. D'Orbigny, *L'Homme Américain*, i. p. 240.

bore the collective offerings of the multitude into its waves, and anointed with oils and glittering with gold dust, dived deap in its midst, professing to hold communion with the goddess who there had her home.[1]

Not only does the life of man but his well-being depends on water. As an ablution it invigorates him bodily and mentally. No institution was in higher honor among the North American Indians than the sweat-bath followed by the cold douche. It was popular not only as a remedy in any and every disease, but as a preliminary to a council or an important transaction. Its real value in cold climates is proved by the sustained fondness for the Russian bath in the north of Europe.

The Indians, however, with their usual superstition, attributed its good effects to some mysterious healing power in water itself. Therefore, when the patient was not able to undergo the usual process, or when his medical attendant was above the vulgar and routine practice of his profession, it was administered on the infinitesimal system. The quack muttered a formula over a gourd filled from a neighboring spring and sprinkled it on his patient, or washed the diseased part, or sucked out the evil spirit and blew it into a bowl of water, and then scattered the liquid on the fire or earth.[2]

At appointed seasons the Tupi priests assembled the people, filled large jars with water, spake certain words of power over them, and dipping in palm branches sprinkled their hearers.[3] In the elaborate ritual of the Mayan priests the aspergillum, with which to asperge

[1] E. Restrepo, *Aborigines de Columbia*, cap. ii.

[2] Narrative of *Oceola Nikkanoche, Prince of Econchatti*, p. 141; Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, iv. p. 650.

[3] Ives d'Evreux, *Histoire de Maragnan*, p. 306.

the sacred objects and the votaries, was an indispensable adjunct. The sacred fluid should be the dew gathered at dawn from the leaves, or that which flowed from a well of which no woman had ever tasted.[1]

The use of such "holy water" astonished the Romanist missionaries, and they at once detected Satan parodying the Scriptures. But their astonishment rose to horror when they discovered among various nations a rite of baptism of appalling similarity to their own, connected with the imposing of a name, done avowedly for the purpose of freeing from inherent sin, believed to produce a regeneration of the spiritual nature, nay, in more than one instance called by an indigenous word signifying "to be born again."[2]

Such a rite was of immemorial antiquity among the Cherokees, Aztecs, Mayas, and Peruvians. Had the missionaries remembered that it was practised in Asia with all these meanings long before it was chosen as the sign of the new covenant, they need have invoked neither Satan nor St. Thomas to explain its presence in America.

As corporeal is near akin to spiritual pollution, and cleanliness to godliness, ablution preparatory to engaging in religious acts came early to have an emblematic as well as a real significance. The water freed the soul from sin as it did the skin from stain. We should come to God with clean hands and a clean heart. As Pilate washed his hands before the multi-

[1] Landa, *Relacion de Yucatan*, p. 87; Brinton, *Primer of Mayan Hieroglyphics*, p. 104.

[2] The term in Maya is *caput zihil*, corresponding exactly to the Latin *renasci*, to be re-born, Landa, *Rel. de Yucatan*, p. 144. It has every appearance of an ancient word and is in the MS. *Dict. de Motul* of 1576.

tude to indicate that he would not accept the moral responsibility of their acts, so from a similar motive a Natchez chief, who had been persuaded against his sense of duty not to sacrifice himself on the pyre of his ruler, took clean water, washed his hands, and threw it upon live coals.[1]

When an ancient Peruvian had laid bare his guilt by confession, he bathed himself in a neighboring river and repeated this formula :—

"O thou River, receive the sins I have this day confessed unto the Sun, carry them down to the sea, and let them never more appear."[2]

The Navajo who has been deputed to carry a dead body to burial, holds himself unclean until he has thoroughly washed himself in water prepared for the purpose by certain ceremonies.[3] When a Bri-bri has touched a corpse or a pregnant woman he takes a calabash of water to purify himself.[4] A bath was an indispensable step in the mysteries of Mithras, the initiation at Eleusis, the meda worship of the Algonkins, the Busk of the Creeks, the ceremonials of religion everywhere. Baptism was at first always immersion. It was a bath meant to solemnize the reception of the child into the guild of mankind, drawn from the prior custom of ablution at any solemn occasion. In both the object is greater purity, bodily and spiritual.

As certainly as there is a law of conscience, as certainly as our actions fall short of our volitions, so certainly is man painfully aware of various imperfections

[1] Dumont, *Mems. Hist. sur la Louisiane*, i. p. 233.

[2] Acosta, *Hist. of the New World*, lib. v. cap. 25.

[3] *Senate Report on Condition of Indian Tribes*, p. 358 (Washington, 1867).

[4] Gabb, *Indian Tribes of Costa Rica*, p. 505.

and shortcomings. What he feels he attributes to the infant. Avowedly to free themselves from this sense of guilt the Delawares used an emetic (Loskiel), the Cherokees a potion cooked up by an order of female warriors (Timberlake), the Takahlies of Washington Territory, the Aztecs, Mayas, and Peruvians, auricular confession.

Formulize these feelings and we have the dogmas of "original sin," and of "spiritual regeneration." The order of baptism among the Aztecs commenced, "O child, receive the water of the Lord of the world, which is our life; it is to wash and to purify; may these drops remove the sin which was given to thee before the creation of the world, since all of us are under its power;" and concluded, "Now he liveth anew and is born anew, now is he purified and cleansed, now our mother the Water again bringeth him into the world."[1]

A name was then assigned to the child, usually that of some ancestor, who it was supposed would thus be induced to exercise a kindly supervision over the little one's future. In after life should the person desire admittance to a superior class of the population and had the wealth to purchase it—for here as in more enlightened lands nobility was a matter of money—he underwent a second baptism and received another name, but still ostensibly from the goddess of water.[2]

In Peru the child was immersed in the fluid, the priest exorcised the evil and bade it enter the water, which was then buried in the ground.[3] In either country sprinkling could take the place of immersion. The Cherokees believe that unless the rite is punctually

[1] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. vi. cap. 37.

[2] Ternaux-Compans, *Pièces rel. à la Conq. du Mexique*, p. 233.

[3] Velasco, *Hist. de la Royaume de Quito*, p. 106, and others.

performed when the child is three days old, it will inevitably die.[1]

As thus curative and preservative, it was imagined that there was water of which whoever should drink would not die, but live forever. I have already alluded to the Fountain of Youth, supposed long before Columbus saw the surf of San Salvador to exist in the Bahama Islands or Florida. It seems to have lingered long on that peninsula. Not many years ago, Coacooche, a Seminole chieftain, related a vision which had nerved him to a desperate escape from the Castle of St. Augustine. "In my dream," said he, "I visited the happy hunting grounds and saw my twin sister, long since gone. She offered me a cup of pure water, which she said came from the spring of the Great Spirit, and if I

[1] Whipple, *Rep. on the Indian Tribes*, p. 35. I am not sure that this practice was of native growth to the Cherokees. This people have many customs and traditions strangely similar to those of Christians and Jews. Their cosmogony is a paraphrase of that of Genesis. (Payne's MSS. in Penna. Hist. Soc.) The number seven, according to Whipple, is as sacred with them as it was with the Chaldeans; and they have improved and increased by contact with the whites. Significant in this connection is the remark of Bartram, who visited them in 1773, that some of their females were "nearly as fair and blooming as European women," and generally that their complexion was lighter than their neighbors (*Travels*, p. 485). Possibly they derived these peculiarities from the Spaniards of Florida. Mr. Shea is of opinion that missions were established among them as early as 1566 and 1643 (*Hist. of Catholic Missions in the U. S.*, pp. 58, 73). Certainly in the latter half of the seventeenth century the Spaniards were prosecuting mining operations in their territory. (See *Am. Hist. Mag.*, x. p. 137.) The Cherokees of to-day retain many of the rites and feelings of their ancestors. A valuable study of them has been made by Mr. James Mooney, *The Sacred Formulas of the Cherokees*, in 7th An. Rep. Bureau of Ethnology.

should drink of it, I should return and live with her forever."[1]

Some such mystical respect for the element, rather than as a mere outfit for his spirit home, probably induced the earlier tribes of the same territory to place the conch-shell which the deceased had used for a cup conspicuously upon his grave,[2] and the Mexicans and Peruvians to inter a vase filled with water with the corpse, or to sprinkle it with the liquid, baptizing it, as it were, into its new association.[3] It was an emblem of the hope that should cheer the dwellings of the dead, a symbol of the resurrection which is in store for those who have gone down to the grave.

The vase or the gourd as a symbol of water, the source and preserver of life, is a conspicuous figure in the myths and in the art of ancient America. As Akbal or Huecomitl, the great or original vase, in Aztec and Maya legends it plays important parts in the drama of creation; as Tici (Ticcu) in Peru it is the symbol of the rains, and as a gourd it is often mentioned by the Caribs and Tupis as the parent of the atmospheric waters. Large reclining images, bearing vases, have been exhumed in the Valley of Mexico, in Tlascala, in Yucatan, and elsewhere. They represent the rain god, the water bearer, the patron of agriculture.[4]

[1] Sprague, *Hist. of the Florida War*, p. 328.

[2] Basanier, *Histoire Notable de la Floride*, p. 10.

[3] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. iii. app. cap. i.; Meyen, *Ueber die Ureinwohner von Peru*, p. 29.

[4] Several figures of them are collected by Jesus Sanchez in an article in the *Anales del Museo Nacional de Mexico*, Tom. I. The significance of the vase-symbol in primitive cosmic conceptions is ably set forth by Leo V. Frobenius in the *Verhand. der Berliner Anthrop. Gesellschaft*, 1895, pp. 532 sqq.

As the Moon is associated with the dampness and dews of night, an ancient and wide-spread myth identified her with the Goddess of Water. Moreover, in spite of the expostulations of the learned, the common people the world over persist in attributing to her a marked influence on the rains. Whether false or true, this familiar opinion is of great antiquity, and was decidedly approved by the Indians, who were all, in the words of an old author, "great observers of the weather by the moon."[1] They looked upon her not only as forewarning them by her appearance of the approach of rains and fogs, but as being their actual cause.

Isis, her Egyptian title, literally means moisture; Ataensic, whom the Hurons said was the moon, is derived from the word for water. The Hidatsa word *midi* means both moon and water; and Citatli and Atl, moon and water, are constantly confounded in Aztec theology.

Their attributes were strikingly alike. They were both the mythical mothers of the race, and both protect women in child-birth, the babe in the cradle, the husbandman in the field, and the youth and maiden in their tender affections. As the transfer of legends was nearly always from the water to its lunar goddess, by bringing them in at this point their true meaning will not fail to be apparent.

We must ever bear in mind that the course of mythology is from many gods toward one, that it is a synthesis, not an analysis, and that in this process the tendency is to blend in one the traits and stories of originally separate divinities. As has justly been observed by the Mexican antiquarian Gama: "It was a common trait among the Indians to worship many gods

[1] Gabriel Thomas, *Hist. of West New Jersey*, p. 5 (London, 1698).

under the figure of one, principally those whose activities lay in the same direction, or those in some way related among themselves."[1]

The time of full moon was chosen both in Mexico and Peru to celebrate the festival of the deities of water, the patrons of agriculture,[2] and very generally the ceremonies connected with the crops were regulated by her phases. The Nicaraguans said that the god of rains, Quiateot, rose in the east,[3] thus hinting how this connection originated. At a lunar eclipse the Orinoco Indians seized their hoes and labored with exemplary vigor on their growing corn, saying the moon was veiling herself in anger at their habitual laziness;[4] and a description of the New Netherlands, written about 1650, remarks that the savages of that land "ascribe great influence to the moon over crops."[5] With the Ipurinas of Brazil the moon is the god who sends the crops and fruits. He is addressed as "Our father," and described as a little old man with his hair over his forehead.[6] Among the Guaycurus of Paraguay the women only, the tillers of the fields, performed the rites to the lunar deity, whose favor they asked as the giver of increase and the harvest.[7]

This venerable superstition, common to all races, still lingers among our own farmers, many of whom continue to observe "the signs of the moon" in sowing

[1] Gama, *Des. de las dos Piedras*, etc., i. p. 36.

[2] Garcia, *Or. de los Indios*, p. 109.

[3] Oviedo, *Rel. de la Prov. de Nicaragua*, p. 41. The name is a corruption of the Aztec *Quiauhteotl*, Rain-God.

[4] Gumilla, *Hist. del Orinoco*, ii. cap. 23.

[5] *Doc. Hist. of New York*, iv. p. 130.

[6] Paul Ehrenreich, *Völker Brasiliens*, p. 72 (1891).

[7] Guido Boggiani, *I Caduvei*, p. 298 (1895).

grain, setting out trees, cutting timber, and other rural avocations.

As representing water, the universal mother, the moon was the protectress of women in child-birth, the goddess of love and babes, the patroness of marriage. To her the mother called in travail, whether by the name of "Diana, diva triformis," in pagan Rome, by that of Mama Quilla in Peru, or of Metztli in Anahauc. Under the title of Yohualticitl, the Lady of Night, she was also in this latter country the guardian of babes, and as Teczitecatl, the cause of generation.[1]

Very different is another aspect of the moon goddess, and well might the Mexicans paint her with two colors. The beneficent dispenser of harvests and offspring, she nevertheless has a portentous and terrific phase. She is also the goddess of the night, the dampness, and the cold; she engenders the miasmatic poisons that rack our bones; she conceals in her mantle the foe who takes us unawares; she rules those vague shapes which fright us in the dim light; the causeless sounds of night or its more oppressive silence is familiar to her; she it is who sends dreams wherein gods and devils have their sport with man, and slumber, the twin brother of Death. In the occult philosophy of the middle ages she was "Chief over the Night, Darkness, Rest, Death, and the Waters;"[2] in the language of the Algonkins, her name is identical with the words for night, death, cold, sleep, and water.[3]

[1] Gama, *Des. de las dos Piedras*, ii. p. 41; Gallatin, *Trans. Am. Ethnol. Soc.*, i. p. 343.

[2] Adrian Van Helmont, *Workes*, p. 142, fol. (London, 1662).

[3] The moon is *nipa* or *nipaz; nipa*, I sleep; *nipawi*, night; *nip*, I die; *nepua*, dead; *nipanoue*, cold. This odd relationship was first pointed out by Volney (Duponceau, *Langues de l'Amérique du*

She is the evil minded woman who thus brings diseases upon men, who at the outset introduced pain and death in the world—our common mother, yet the cruel cause of our present woes. Sometimes it is the moon, sometimes water, of whom this is said: "We are all of us under the power of evil and sin, *because* we are children of the Water," says the Mexican baptismal formula. That Unktahe, spirit of water, is the master of dreams and witchcraft, is the belief of the Dakotas.[1] The Hurons related of Ataensic that she was mistress of the souls of the dead, and destroyed the living.[2] A female spirit, wife of the great manito whose heart is the sun, the ancient Algonkins believed brought death and disease to the race; "it is she who kills men, otherwise they would never die; she eats their flesh and gnaws their vitals, till they fall away and miserably perish."[3]

Who is this woman? In the legend of the Muyscas it is Chia, the moon, who was also goddess of water and flooded the earth out of spite.[4] Her reputation was notoriously bad. Did she appear in a dream to a Sauk warrior, he dressed himself as a woman and labored as

Nord, p. 317). But the kinship of these words to that for water, *nip*, *nipi*, *nepi*, has not before been noticed. This proves the association of ideas on which I lay so much stress in mythology. A somewhat similar relationship exists in the Aztec and cognate languages, *miqui*, to die, *micqui*, dead, *mictlan*, the realm of death, *temiqui*, to dream, *cec-miqui*, to freeze. Would it be going too far to connect these with *metzli*, moon? (See Buschmann, *Spuren der Aztekischen Sprache im Nördlichen Mexico*, p. 80.)

[1] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, vol. iii. p. 485.

[2] *Rel. de la Nouvelle France*, 1635, p. 34; Sagard, *Dict. de la Langue Huronne.*

[3] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1634, p. 16.

[4] Humboldt, *Vues des Cordillères*, p. 21.

such for a time, to avoid her anger.[1] The Brazilian mother carefully shielded her infant from the lunar rays, believing that they would produce sickness;[2] the hunting tribes of our own country will not sleep in its light, nor leave their game exposed to its action. We ourselves have not outgrown such words as lunatic, moon-struck, and the like.

Where did we get these ideas? The philosophical historian of medicine, Kurt Sprengel, traces them to the primitive and popular medical theories of ancient Egypt, in accordance with which all maladies were the effects of the anger of the goddess Isis, the Moisture, the Moon.[3]

We have here the key to many myths. Take that of Centeotl, the Aztec goddess of Maize. Although generally beneficent, she was said at times to appear as a woman of surpassing beauty, and allure some unfortunate to her embraces, destined to pay with his life for his brief moments of pleasure. Even to see her in this shape was a fatal omen. She was also said to belong to a class of gods whose home was in the west, and who produced sickness and pains.[4] Here we see the evil aspect of the moon reflected on another goddess, who was at first solely the patroness of agriculture.

As the goddess of sickness, it was supposed that persons afflicted with certain diseases had been set apart by the moon for her peculiar service. These diseases were those of a humoral type, especially such as are characterized by issues and ulcers. As in Hebrew the

[1] Keating, *Narrative*, i. p. 216, in Waitz.

[2] Spix and Martius, *Travels in Brazil*, ii. p. 247.

[3] *Hist. de la Médecine*, i. p. 34.

[4] Gama, *Des. de las dos Piedras*, etc., ii. pp. 100–102. Compare Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. i. cap. vi.

word *accursed* is derived from a root meaning *consecrated to God*, so in the Aztec, Quiché, and other tongues, the word for *leprous*, *eczematous*, or *syphilitic*, means also *divine*.

This bizarre change of meaning is illustrated in a very ancient myth of their family. It is said that in the absence of the sun all mankind lingered in darkness. Nothing but a human sacrifice could hasten his arrival. Then Metztli, the moon, led forth one Nanahuatl, the leprous, and building a pyre, the victim threw himself in its midst. Straightway Metztli followed his example, and as she disappeared in the bright flames the sun rose over the horizon.[1] Is not this a reference to the kindling rays of the aurora, in which the dank and baleful night is sacrified, and in whose light the moon presently fades away, and the sun comes forth?

Another reaction in the mythological laboratory is here disclosed. As the good qualities of water were attributed to the goddess of night, sleep, and death, so her malevolent traits were in turn reflected back on this element. Other thoughts aided the transfer. In primitive geography the Ocean Stream coils its infinite folds around the speck of land we inhabit, biding its time to swallow it wholly. Unwillingly did it yield the earth from its bosom, daily does it steal it away piece by piece. Every evening it hides the light in its depths, and Night and the Waters resume their ancient

[1] Codex Chimalpopoca, in Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, i. p. 183. Gama and others translate Nanahuatl by *el buboso*, Brasseur by *le syphilitique*, and the latter founds certain medical speculations on the word. Several suggestions have been offered by ancient and modern writers about this singular association of ideas. I have collected them in *Essays of an Americanist*, pp. 115, 116.

sway. The word for ocean (*mare*) in the Latin tongue means by derivation a desert, and the Greeks spoke of it as "the barren brine."

Water is a treacherous element. Man treads boldly on the solid earth, but the rivers and lakes constantly strive to swallow those who venture within their reach. As streams run in tortuous channels, and as rains accompany the lightning serpent, this animal was occasionally the symbol of the waters in their dangerous manifestations. The Huron magicians fabled that in the lakes and rivers dwelt one of vast size called *Angont,* who sent sickness, death, and other mishaps, and the least mite of whose flesh was a deadly poison. They added—and this was the point of the tale—that they always kept on hand portions of the monster for the benefit of any who opposed their designs.[1]

The legends of the Algonkins mention a rivalry between Michabo, creator of the earth, and the Spirit of the Waters, who was unfriendly to the project.[2] In later tales this antagonism becomes more and more pronounced, and borrows an ethical significance which it did not have at first. Taking, however, American religions as a whole, water is far more frequently represented as producing beneficent effects than the reverse.

Dogs were supposed to stand in some peculiar relation to the moon, probably because they howl at it and run at night, uncanny practices which have cost them dear in reputation. The custom prevailed among tribes

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France,* 1648, p. 75.

[2] Charlevoix is in error when he identifies Michabo with the Spirit of the Waters, and may be corrected from his own statements elsewhere. Compare his *Journal Historique,* pp. 281 and 344 (ed. Paris, 1740).

so widely asunder as Peruvians, Tupis, Creeks, Iroquois, Algonkins, and Greenland Eskimos to thrash the curs most soundly during an eclipse.[1] The Creeks explained this by saying that the big dog was swallowing the sun, and that by whipping the little ones they could make him desist. What the big dog was they were not prepared to say. We know. It was the night goddess, represented by the dog, who was thus shrouding the world at midday.

The ancient Romans sacrificed dogs to Hecate and Diana, in Egypt they were sacred to Isis, and thus as traditionally connected with night and its terrors, the Prince of Darkness, in the superstition of the middle ages, preferably appeared under the form of a cur, as that famous poodle which accompanied Cornelius Agrippa, or that which grew to such enormous size behind the stove of Dr. Faustus.

In a better sense, they represented the more agreeable characteristics of the lunar goddess. Xochiquetzal, most fecund of Aztec divinities, patroness of love, of sexual pleasure, and of childbirth, was likewise called *Itzcuinan*, which, literally translated, is *bitch-mother*. This strange and to us so repugnant title for a goddess was not without parallel elsewhere. When in his wars the Inca Pachacutec carried his arms into the province of Huanca, he found its inhabitants had installed in their temples the figure of a dog as their highest deity. They were accustomed also to select one as his iving representative, to pray to it and offer it sacrifice, and when well fattened, to serve it up with solemn ceremonies at a great feast, eating their god *substantialiter*.

[1] Bradford, *American Antiquities*, p. 333; Martius, *Von dem Rechtszustande unter den Ureinwohnern Brasiliens*, p. 32; Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, i. p. 271; Von Tschudi, *Beiträge*, p. 29.

The priests in this province summoned their attendants to the temple by blowing through an instrument fashioned from a dog's skull.[1]

This canine canonization explains why in some parts of Peru a priest was called by way of honor *allco*, dog![2] And why in many tombs both there and in Mexico their skeletons are found carefully interred with the human remains. Many tribes of the Pacific coast united in the adoration of a wild species, the coyote, the *canis latrans* of naturalists. The Shoshonees of New Mexico call it their progenitor;[3] in the myths of the Shuswap and Kootenay of British Columbia it is the creator of the world;[4] and with the Nahuas it was in such high honor that it had a temple of its own, a congregation of priests devoted to its service, statues carved in stone, an elaborate tomb at death, and is said to be meant by the god Chantico, whose audacity caused one of the destructions of the world.

The story was that he made a sacrifice to the gods without observing a preparatory fast, for which he was punished by being changed into a dog. He then invoked the god of death to deliver him, which attempt to evade a just punishment so enraged the divinities that they immersed the world in water.[5]

[1] La Vega, *Hist. des Incas*, liv. vi. cap. 9.

[2] *Lett. sur les Superstitions du Pérou*, p. 111.

[3] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, iv. p. 224.

[4] Geo. M. Dawson, *Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada*, 1891, p. 28; A. F. Chamberlain, *Amer. Antiquarian*, 1892. Numerous other coyote myths have been recorded by Dr. Franz Boas, Stephen Powers (*Tribes of California*), etc.

[5] Chantico or Chancoti, according to Gama, means "Wolf's Head," though I cannot verify this from the vocabularies within my reach. He (or she) is sometimes called Cohuaxolotl Chantico, the snake-servant Chantico, considered by Gama as one, by Tor-

During a storm on our northern lakes the Indians think no offering so likely to appease the angry water god who is raising the tempest as a dog. Therefore they hasten to tie the feet of one and toss him overboard.[1] One meets constantly in their tales and superstitions the mysterious powers of the animals, and the distinguished actions he has at times performed bear usually a close parallelism to those attributed to water and the moon.

Hunger and thirst were thus alleviated by water. Cold remained, and against this *fire* was the shield. It gives man light in darkness and warmth in winter; it shows him his friends and warns him of his foes; the flames point toward heaven and the smoke makes the clouds. Around it social life begins. For his home and his hearth the savage has but one word, and what of tender emotion his breast can feel, is linked to the circle that gathers around his fire. The council fire, the camp fire, and the war fire, are so many epochs in his history. By its aid many arts become possible, and it is a civilizer in more ways than one.

quemada as two deities (see Gama, *Des. de las dos Piedras*, etc., i. p. 12; ii. p. 66). The English word *cantico* in the phrase, for instance, "to cut a cantico," though an Indian word, is not from this, but from the Algonkin Delaware *gentkehn*, to dance a sacred dance. The Dutch describe it as "a religious custom observed among them before death" (*Doc. Hist. of New York*, iv. p. 63). William Penn says of the Lenape, "their worship consists of two parts, sacrifice and cantico," the latter "performed by round dances, sometimes words, sometimes songs, then shouts; their postures very antic and differing." (*Letter to the Free Society of Traders*, 1683, sec. 21.)

[1] Charlevoix, *Hist. Gen. de la Nouv. France*, i. p. 394 (Paris, 1740). The different species of dogs indigenous to America, and domesticated by the red race, have been studied by E. D. Cope, Ihering and others. Von Tschudi has an interesting article on those of South America (*Beiträge*, p. 26, sq.)

In the figurative language of the red race, it is constantly used as "an emblem of peace, happiness, and abundance."[1] To extinguish an enemy's fire is to slay him; to light a visitor's fire is to bid him welcome. Fire worship was closely related to that of the sun, and so much has been said of sun worship among the aborigines of America that it is essential to assign to it the correct position that it held.

A decade or two ago it was a fashion very much approved to explain as a "solar myth" every symbolic narrative coined by the primitive religious fancy. Wiser opinions now prevail. It has come to be recognized that no one key will open all the arcana of symbolism. Man devised means as varied as nature herself to express the idea of God within him. The sun was certainly one of these, and it holds a prominent position in the pantheon of many primitive peoples. The "mysterious one of day," as this orb was called by the Dakotas, frequently appears in the myths as the father of the race of men, as the divinity which watches their progress, lends them aid and listens to their prayers. The Algonquin word, *kesuk*, sun, is derived

[1] *Narr. of the Captiv. of John Tanner*, p. 362. From the word for fire in many American tongues is formed the adjective *red*. Thus, Algonkin, *skoda*, fire, *miskoda*, red; Kolosch, *kan*, fire, *kan*, red; Ugalentz, *takak*, fire, *takak-uete*, red; Tahkali, *cūn*, fire, *tenil-cūn*, red; Quiche, *cak*, fire, *cak*, red, etc. From the adjective *red* comes often the word for *blood*, as Iroquois, *onekwensa*, blood, *onek-wentara*, red; Algonkin, *miskwi*, blood, *miskoda*, red, etc., and in symbolism the color red may refer to either of these ideas. It was the royal color of the Incas, brothers of the sun, and a llama swathed in a red garment was the Peruvian sacrifice to fire (Garcia, *Or. de los Indios*, lib. iv. caps. 16, 19). On the other hand the war quipus, the war wampum, and the war paint were all of this hue, boding their sanguinary significance.

from a verb which means "to give life;"[1] expressed in the Zuñian myths by the figure that "the sun formed the seed-stuff of the world."[2]

But these and a hundred more such tropes which could easily be collected, set forth incompletely the thought which is behind them, and which appears clearly in other forms of the same narratives and terms. Almost everywhere in the native religious expressions we can discover a carefully-guarded distinction between the sun itself as a visible object, and certain attributes for which it symbolically stood. These were especially light and warmth, and what appears as sun-worship will prove generally to be on close examination, worship of light and fire; a distinction well-maintained in myth and ritual, and therefore of prime importance in studying their traits.

This is visible both in words and expressions. In the Algonkin dialects (and in very many others) the word for sun above quoted, means also "sky" and "day." Old authorities state that they did not regard the sun as a divinity but as merely a symbol. It was the "wigwam of the Great Spirit," and when questioned as to whether they prayed to it they answered, "Not to the sun, but to the Old Man who lives there."[3] In many native languages the same word stands for both sun and moon, the distinction, when required, being made by some qualifying term. In others, as the Natchez, the Kolosch, the Tezuque, and the

[1] J. H. Trumbull, in notes to Roger Williams, *Language of America*, p. 104. But *kesuk* means moon as well as sun.

[2] F. H. Cushing, *Zuñian Creation Myths*, p. 379.

[3] Compare La Hontan, *Voy. dans l'Amér.*, vol. ii. p. 127; *Rel. Nouv. France*, 1637, p. 54; Copway, *Trad. Hist. of the Ojibway Nation*, p. 165.

Arawack dialects of South America, the word for "sun" is derived from that for "fire," and the sun is often referred to merely as "the great fire," thus assigning to that element the predominance in thought. We are definitely informed by a close observer that the Nahuas regarded, not the sun, but fire as "the father and mother of all things and the author of nature."[1] To them fire was the active generator, the life-giver, the source of animate existence; and this we shall discover running widely through the alleged heliolatry of the American Indian.

It is reflected in the Choctaw expressions about fire and the sun. They refer to fire as *shahli miko*, "the greater chief," and speak of it as *hashe ittiapa*, "He who accompanies the sun and the sun him." Their language has a "fire particle," used to express the real or imagined actions of the element. On going to war they call for aid on both sun and fire; "but except as fire, they do not address the sun, nor does that body stand in any relation to their religious thought other than as fire."[2]

Numerous myths reveal this distinction which I somewhat insist upon, because I believe its proper understanding is essential to a correct appreciation of the inner and higher meanings of American religions. For example, the Mohaves of Colorado related that their chief divinity, Matowelia, was above the sun, moon and stars, and guided them in their journeys. His dwelling place was beyond them, on the summit of the "White Mountain," the sky or heavens, and to him fared the souls of those fortunate Mohaves whose

[1] Martin de Leon, *Camino del Cielo*, fol. 100 (Mexico, 1611).

[2] Byington, *Grammar of the Choctaw Language*, p. 43; Rev. Alfred Wright, *Missionary Herald*, vol. xxiv.

bodies were duly incinerated; while those toward whom this was neglected turned into owls, gloomy birds of night.[1]

So of the Pawnees. Their prayers for help "are not directed to the sun in any other sense than one of many mediators." The intangible and omnipotent Atius Tirawa, whose house is the heavens and whose messengers are the eagle and the buzzard, is he who called sun, moon and stars into being and ordered them their various circuits.[2]

All the tribes on the Northwest Coast attribute the creative act to the original Raven who lived before the sun was formed. He found it by one or another accident, and, picking it up, "placed it in the heavens, where it has been ever since." With the Kootenays it is either the coyote or the chicken hawk who manufactures the sun out of a ball of grease and sets it in the sky to pursue its course,—rude fancies, but serving as well as any to show that these tribes did not regard the sun as the visible creator or the highest divinity.[3] The Brazilian Indian says that the sun is a ball of bright feathers, which some mysterious being shows during the day and covers at night with a pot.[4]

In another relation, as I shall show later, the sun was connected with the perception of light, but not identified with it. Light comes with the dawn, before the sun brings it forth, creates it, as it were. Hence the Light God is not the sun god, but his antecedent and maker.

[1] G. A. Allen, in *Smithsonian Report*, 1890.

[2] G. B. Grinnell, in *Jour. Amer. Folk-lore*, 1893, p. 113, sq.

[3] James Deans and A. F. Chamberlain, in the *American Antiquarian*, March, 1895.

[4] Von den Steinen, *Naturvölker Zentral-Braziliens*, p. 359.

The heliolatry organized principally for political ends by the Incas of Peru, stands alone in the religions of the red race. Those shrewd legislators at an early date officially announced that Inti, the sun, their own elder brother, was ruler of the cohorts of heaven by like divine right that they were of the four corners of the earth. This scheme ignominiously failed, as every attempt to fetter the liberty of conscience must and should. The later Incas finally indulged publicly in heterodox remarks, and compromised the matter by acknowledging a divinity superior even to their brother the Sun, as we have seen in a previous chapter.

The myths of creation rarely represent the sun as anterior to the world, but as manufactured by the "old people" (Navajos); as kindled and set going by the first of men (Algonkins); as freed from some cave by a kindly deity (Haitians and Quichuas); as obtained by a god sacrificing himself on the fire (Nahuas); as moulded and started on its journey by the Light-god (Muyscas); and in a variety of other names. Where the sun is reported to have been literally the Creator, it is usually owing to a lack of knowledge of the language or of insight into the religious thought of the tribe on the part of the observer.

Where we have any considerable body of the myths of a tribe, of pure alloy and in the native tongue, we scarcely anywhere discover that the Sun represents either their first, greatest or central theistic conception. Thus, among the Nahuas, Tonatiuh, the Sun, was a very subordinate deity compared to Yaotl and others;[1] and in the Popol Vuh of the Quiches it does not appear as a deity at all.

[1] Comp. Sahagun, *Historia*, lib. iii.. App. cap. iv.

Some readers will be surprised that I assign a so much more prominent place in primitive religions to the moon than to the sun; but not only is this borne out in reference to them by the facts I have stated and by a long list of others that could be adduced, but also it is reiterated in the modern folk-lore of all countries. In this, as specialists are aware, moon superstitions are incomparably more frequent than those relating to the sun. Various explanations have been offered for this, but no one questions the fact.[1]

The institutions of a perpetual fire, of obtaining new fire, and of burning the dead, prevailed extensively in the New World. In the present discussion the origin of such practices, rather than the ceremonies with which they were attended, have an interest. The savage knew that fire was necessary to his life. Were it lost, he justly foreboded dire calamities and the ruin of his race. Therefore at stated times with due solemnity he produced it anew by friction or the flint, or else was careful to keep one fire constantly alive.

These not unwise precautions soon fell to mere superstitions. If the Aztec priest at the stated time failed to obtain a spark from his pieces of wood, if the sacred fire by chance became extinguished, the end of the world or the destruction of mankind was apprehended. "You know it was a saying among our ancestors," said an Iroquois chief in 1753, "that when the fire at Onondaga goes out, we shall no longer be a people."[2]

So deeply rooted was this notion, that the Catholic missionaries in New Mexico were fain to wink at it,

[1] See the remarks of W. W. Newell in introduction to Mrs. Fanny D. Bergen's *Current Superstitions*, 1896.

[2] *Doc. Hist. of New York*, ii. p. 634.

and perform the sacrifice of the mass in the same building where the flames were perpetually burning, that were not allowed to die until Montezuma and the fabled glories of ancient Anahuac with its heathenism should return.[1]

Throughout the continent fire became the type of life. "Know that the life in your body and the fire on your hearth are one and the same thing, and that both proceed from one source," said a Shawnee prophet.[2] Such an expression was wholly in the spirit of his race. The greatest feast of the Delawares was that to their "grandfather, the fire."[3] "Their fire burns forever," was the Algonkin figure of speech to express the immortality of their gods.[4] "The ancient God, the Father and Mother of all Gods," says an Aztec prayer, "is the God of the Fire which is in the centre of the court with four walls, and which is covered with gleaming feathers like unto wings;" dark sayings of the priests, referring to the glittering lightning fire borne from the sides of the earth. In their rituals fire was named Tota, Our Father, and Huehueteotl, Oldest of Gods; the infant passed through a baptism of fire on the fourth day of its life, up to which time a fire lighted at its birth was kept alive in order to nourish its life.[5]

As the path to a higher life hereafter, the burning of the dead was first instituted. It was a privilege usually confined to a select few. Among the Algonkin-Otta-

[1] Emory, *Mil't'y Reconnoissance of New Mexico*, p. 30.

[2] *Narrative of John Tanner*, p. 161.

[3] Loskiel, *Ges. der Miss. der evang. Brüder*, p. 55.

[4] *Nar. of John Tanner*, p. 351.

[5] Sahagun, *Hist. Nueva España*, lib. vi. cap. 4; Jacinto de la Serna, *Manual de Ministros*, pp. 16, 24, etc.; Brinton's *Nagualism*, pp. 43–46, etc.

was, only those of the distinguished totem of the Great Hare, among the Nicaraguans none but the caciques, among the Caribs exclusively the priestly caste were entitled to this peculiar honor.[1] The first gave as a reason for such an exceptional custom, that the members of so illustrious a clan as that of Michabo, the Great Hare, should not rot in the ground as common folks, but rise to the heavens on the flames and smoke.

Those of Nicaragua seemed to think it the sole path to immortality, holding that only such as offered themselves on the pyre of their chieftain would escape annihilation at death;[2] and the tribes of Upper California were persuaded that such as were not burned at death were liable to be transformed into the lower orders of brutes.[3] Strangely enough we thus find a sort of baptism by fire deemed essential to a higher life beyond the grave, as, among the Nahuas, one was for this.

Another analogy strengthened the symbolic force of fire as life. This is that which exists between the sensation of warmth and those passions whose physiological end is the perpetuation of the species. We see how native it is to the mind from such coarse expressions as "hot lust," "to burn," "to be in heat," "stews," and the like, figures not of the poetic, but the vulgar tongue. They occur in all languages, and hint how readily the worship of fire glided into that of the reproductive principle, into extravagances of chastity and lewdness, into the orgies of the so-called phallic worship.

Some have supposed that a sexual dualism pervades all natural religions, and this too has been assumed as

[1] *Letts. Edifiantes et Curieuses*, iv. p. 104, Oviedo; *Hist. de Nicaragua*, p. 49; Gomara, *Hist. del Orinoco*, ii. cap. 2.

[2] Oviedo, *Hist. Gen. de las Indias*, p. 16, in Barcia's *Hist. Prim.*

[3] *Presdt's Message and Docs.* for 1851, pt. iii. p. 506.

the solution of all their myths. It has been said that the action of heat upon moisture, of the sun on the waters, the mysteries of reproduction, and the satisfaction of the sexual instincts, are the unvarying themes of primitive mythology. Like other exclusive theories, this falls before comprehensive criticism; and yet it is true that in America as in so many other parts of the globe, the notion of reciprocal sexual action was extended to the ideas of the creation and continuance of the world about us.

There existed a personification and deification of the passions. Apparently it was grafted upon or rose out of that of fire by the analogy I have pointed out. Thus the Mexican God of fire was supposed to govern the generative proclivities,[1] and there is good reason to believe that the sacred fire watched by unspotted virgins among the Mayas had decidedly such a signification. Certainly it was so, if we can depend upon the authority of a ballad translated from the original immediately after the conquest, cited by the venerable traveller and artist Count de Waldeck. It purports to be from the lover of one of these vestals, and referring to her occupation asks with a fine allusion to its mystic meaning—

"O vièrge, quand pourrai-je te posséder pour ma compagne cherie?
Combien de temps faut-il encore que tes vœux soient accomplis?
Dis-moi le jour qui doit devancer la belle nuit où tous deux
Alimenterons le feu qui nous fit naitre et que nous devons perpetuer."[2]

There is a bright as well as dark side to such a worship. In Mexico, Peru, and Yucatan, the women who

[1] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, i. cap. 13.
[2] *Voyage Pittoresque dans le Yucatan*, p. 49.

watched the flames must be undoubted virgins; they were usually of noble blood, and must vow eternal chastity, or at least were free to none but the ruler of the realm. As long as they were consecrated to the fire, so long any carnal ardor was degrading to their lofty duties. In the medicine dances of the Mandans only virgins were allowed to take part (Lewis and Clark).

Many of the goddesses were virgin deities, as the Aztec Coatlicue, Xochiquetzal, and Chimalman; and many of the great gods of the race, as Quetzalcoatl, Manibozho, Viracocha, and Ioskeha, were said to have been born of a virgin. Even among the low Indians of Paraguay the early missionaries were startled to find this tradition of the maiden mother of the god, so similar to that which they had come to tell.[1]

Celibacy was not unusually enjoined upon the priesthood, and complete restraint was often ordered during religious ceremonies. The medicine men of an Algonkin tribe who lived on the Hudson river were so severe in this respect that they would not so much as partake of food prepared by a married woman.[2] On the Rio Negro, Martius met a tribe whose priestly healers were scrupulous celibates, because it was believed that medicines would lose their efficacy if administered by a married man.[3] It is probably in some obscure connection with this belief that a mutilation analogous to circumcision was practiced among many tribes; it was a symbolical sacrifice of sexuality, a type of the surrender of the passions to the religious sentiments.[4]

[1] *Lettres Ed. et Curieuses*, vol. v. p. 309.

[2] *Doc. Hist. of New York*, vol. iv. p. 28.

[3] Von Martius, *Völkerschaften Braziliens*, p. 587.

[4] Gumilla asserts this of tribes on the Orinoco. *Hist. Orinoco*, p.

According to some authorities of weight, certain classes of the Aztec priesthood, doubtless carrying out the same intention, practiced complete abscission or discerption of the virile parts, and a mutilation of females was not unknown similar to that which has existed immemorially in Egypt.[1]

In both sexes the period of puberty was observed with numerous and solemn religious ceremonies, of which fasting, solitude and seclusion were prominent features. At that time in many tribes the youth or girl was believed to receive the personal guardian spirit, which should govern his or her after-life, and with it a new name known only to the family.

The woman's later career was surrounded with semi-religious observances. She was considered unclean during her recurrent illnesses and in some tribes, as the Bribri of Costa Rica, also during pregnancy. "She is supposed," writes Mr. Gabb, "at that time to infect the whole neighborhood. All the deaths and misfortunes in the vicinity are laid to her charge."[2] Among the Ottawas of the north, the Cunas of Darien, and various other tribes, childbirth was regarded as especially dangerous *to the husband,* and either he or she must keep away from the marital abode until a period of purification had passed.

Among the Mbocobis of Paraguay he must fast rigorously for fifteen days after her confinement, and pass the time in seclusion.[3]

119; Coreal of Nicaraguans and Yucatecans, *Voiages*, i., pp. 73, 291; Garcia of the Guaycurus, *Or. de los Indios*, p. 124; Mackenzie of the Hares and Dogribs, *Voyage*, p. 27; etc.

[1] Davilla Padilla, *Hist. de la Prov. de Sántiago de Mexico*, lib. ii. cap. 88 (Brusselas, 1625); Palacios, *Des. de Guatemala*, p. 40; Garcia, *Or. de los Indios*, p. 124.

[2] Gabb, *Indian Tribes of Costa Rica*, p. 505.

[3] Perrot, *Mem. de l'Amer. Sept.*, p. 12 (1665); Oviedo, *Hist de las*

Some such notion was at the bottom of the extraordinary custom of the *couvade*. This was, that when the wife was delivered of the child, the husband took to his bed, and was waited upon and treated as if he had been the sick one. He must there remain either a specified time, four or eight days, or until the navel string falls. Were he to fail in this, death or some disaster would befall the infant, with whom, in the native imagination, he is linked by mysterious bonds.[1]

The mystery which surrounds the process of reproduction centred more in the female than in the male. It was believed she could impart it even to inanimate things. When Father Gumilla asked the men of an Orinoco tribe why they did not help the women in the labors of the field, they replied: "Because women know how to bring forth and can tell it to the grain; but we do not know how they do it, and we cannot teach the grains." The wife of a Sioux, after she has planted her corn patch, will rise in the night, strip herself naked and walk around it, thus to impart to the grains the magic of her own fecundity. The Pawnees were wont to moisten their seed corn with the blood of a woman, choosing a female prisoner to supply it.[2]

As a counterpart to the occasional austerities above mentioned, there was frequent unbridled licentiousness

Indias, lib. xvii., cap. 4; Navarrete, *Viages*, iii., p. 414; Guevara, *Hist. del Paraguay*, cap. viii.

[1] The latest and most satisfactory discussion of the *couvade* is by von den Steinen in his *Naturvölker Zentral-Brasiliens*, p. 334 (1894). It was not confined to South America. Vetancurt describes it in full force among the Indians of Parras, in the State of Coahuila (*Teatro Mexicano*, i., p. 417).

[2] Gumilla, *Hist. Orinoco*, ii., p. 237; Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, vol. v., p. 70; ibid. *Oneota*, p. 20.

in the religious ceremonies. Orgies of this nature were of common occurrence among the Algonkins and Iroquois, and are often mentioned in the Jesuit relations; Venegas describes them as frequent among the tribes of Lower California; and Oviedo refers to certain festivals among the Nicaraguans, during which the women of all rank extended to whosoever wished, such privileges as the matrons of ancient Babylon used to grant even to slaves and strangers in the temple of Melitta, as one of the duties of religion. In the esoteric cult of Nagualism, which prevails widely to-day in Mexico and Central America, men and women join in the dances in a state of nudity, and the Christian priests claim with probability that these rites terminate in wild debauches.[1]

This sensual coarseness extended to their stories and poetry, and the early missionaries complained with justice of the "lascivious songs and indecent dances" (*cantares lascivos y bailes indecentes*), which in some tribes were instituted by the native priests as ceremonies of religion.[2] Collectors of Indian stories and myths to-day well know that it is rarely possible to print these in the terms in which they are told around the camp fire. They must undergo a rigid expurgation.

Such excesses passed at times into the ceremonial practice of unnatural vices, examples of which we find in abundance among the Pueblo Indians of Arizona, the natives of Paraguay, the ancient Floridians, the Guaycurus of Brazil, and elsewhere.[3] These artificial

[1] Brinton, *Nagualism*, p. 49.

[2] Guevara, *Hist. del Paraguay*, cap. xi.

[3] Von Martius, *Ethnog. und Sprach. Amerikas*, p. 75, gives many references. It has also been discussed by Dr. Wm. A. Hammond and other American writers.

hermaphrodites are repeatedly mentioned by the early writers, and their continued presence in several of our western tribes has been noted by living observers.

Doubtless in many instances such sensuality as referred to was cultivated merely under the guise of religion by those who profited by it; for example, the *jus primæ noctis*, claimed by the shamans among various Brazilian tribes and still conceded among the Tarahumaras of Northern Mexico (Lumholtz). Although it is quite possible that this custom arose from a superstitious fear that the husband would come to some ill luck unless his bride yielded herself first to another, a notion not at all uncommon in the religions of the old world, and asserted to have prevailed among the Caribs and Tupis and various tribes of Cuba and Nicaragua. Among the Mundrucus and Guaycurus of Brazil, the bridegroom remained in an adjacent lodge under arms all night.[1]

The mystery that surrounds the shedding of blood as the first step toward the creation of a new life, was one which the world over impressed the imagination of the primitive man. It was the physical sign of crossing the threshold into new and strange activities; and hence in a thousand modes it became intertwined with the symbolism of his house and his home and his pledges of faith to God and man.[2]

The emblem of the phallus with ceremonial associations has been observed in various parts of America. The women of a tribe in Paraguay carried an image of

[1] Martius, u. s. p. 113; Navarrete, *Vidges*, iii. p. 114; Oviedo, *Hist. de las Indias*, Lib. xvii.

[2] See the full and learned study of this subject by Dr. Henry Clay Trumbull, *The Threshold Convenant* (New York, 1896); also H. L. Strack, *Der Blutaberglaube* (Munich, 1892).

it as an amulet;[1] the soldiers of Cortes noted it in relief on the walls of the temples in Panuco; and other instances could be quoted. In native American art it frequently recurs in relations which authorize us to believe that it bore a religious meaning and was connected with the recognition and adoration of the reproductive principle in nature. Designs carved in stone or baked in terra cotta have been disinterred from the ancient graves in the lower Mississippi valley, Florida, Michoacan, Tabasco, Peru and elsewhere.[2] It is probable that its burial with the corpse referred to an expectation of another life hereafter.

Huge phalli of stone in the shape of pillars have been discovered among the ancient ruins of Mexico and Yucatan, and the early interpreters of Mexican pictographic manuscripts inform us that in the symbols employed for divination, this was esteemed to be the most potent of all. As in the analogous rituals of the Greeks and Romans, we have evidence that in America also this emblem was correlated or identified with the serpent.[3]

The dual division of the gods into male and female obtained in America as it does in polytheisms everywhere; but it is noteworthy how frequently we come upon bisexual or androgynous deities, those who combine in themselves the functions of both sexes. Such in Aztec myth is Tonacatecutli, God of our Life or Flesh. Such in the creation myths of the Zuñis is Awonawilona, the Maker and Container of all, among the Nava-

[1] Lafitau, *Meurs des Americains*, p. 72, quoting Father Ruis.

[2] Theobert Maler and Andree in *Globus*, 1896; *Bull. de la Soc. d'Anthrop. de Paris*, 1893; G. Tarayre, *Explor. des Reg. Mex.*, p. 233.

[3] Pedro de los Rios, *Codex Vaticanus;* Boban, *Cat. de la Coll. Goupil*, Tom. ii. p. 207; Brinton, *Nagualism*, pp. 49–50.

jos Ahsonnutli, "the turquoise hermaphrodite," and such among the Quiches was Hun Ahpu, the Master of Magic, all of them demiurgic deities of the prime, self-evolving, "self-begetting" "doubly all-mother and doubly all-father," in the words of the Quiche myth;[1] and various examples could be quoted from tribes in more primitive conditions.

This is not peculiar to the New World. Many of the gods of the orient are either epicene, or androgynous. Such avowedly were Mithras, Janus, Brahma and, in the esoteric doctrine of the cabala, Jehovah. This notion is not abnormal or monstrous. It is a natural development of deep religious meditation on the nature of the first cause. "There is something," observed Wilhelm von Humboldt, "in the traits of the divine which is opposed to the full and clear expression of sexual attributes." As I have remarked in another work: "The gods are spirits, beings of another order from man, and the cultivated ethical and æsthetic emotions protest against classifying them as of either one or the other gender. Never can the ideal of beauty, either physical or moral, be reached until the characteristics of sex are lost in the concept of the purely human."[2]

The traits and activities of the two sexes as represented in the deities which appear in American myths offer many curious subjects for investigation. The prominence and potency assigned to the female divinities are very noticeable, whether their power tends toward the benefit or the injury of man. The goddess

[1] F. H. Cushing, *Zuñi Creation Myths*, p. 281; the *Popol Vuh*, p. 1; James Stevenson, in 8th An. Rep. Bur. Eth., p. 275.

[2] W. v. Humboldt, in his essay *Ueber die Männliche und Weibliche Form* (Werke, i.); Brinton, *The Religious Sentiment*, p. 67.

Tonantzin, Our Dear Mother, was the most widely loved of Nahuatl divinities, and it is because her mantle fell upon Our Lady of Guadalupe, that the latter now can boast of the most popular shrine in Mexico. When Cortes first explored Acalan, the modern Tabasco, he found the chief temple of their greatest town dedicated to a goddess, not to a god; and the Isla de las Mugeres, off the coast of Yucatan, was so named because all its fanes were sacred to female deities.

Nothing I have found, however, better illustrates the high position of woman in the mythologies of these cultivated nations than the myths of the Tzentals, a Mayan tribe who lived and still live in the Mexican State of Chiapas. At the summit of their Olympus stood the male god Patol, whose name, from the verb *pat*, means to mould, form or fashion. He it was who gave to things their bodies or shapes. The highest of the goddesses, his spouse and helper, was Alaghom Naom, literally, "she who brings forth mind." To her was due the mental or immaterial part of nature; hence another of her names was Iztat Ix, the Mother of Wisdom.[1]

Almost equal to this *spirituel* myth of the Tzentals in the lofty position assigned to woman, was that of the Tarascas of Mechoacan, a nation ranking high among those which merited the epithet of "civilized." Their chief goddess was named in their harmonious tongue Cueravaperi. "She was held in high esteem throughout this whole province, and was constantly mentioned in their legends and orations. They spoke of her as mother of all the gods and of men as well, saying that it was she who sent them to dwell in their

[1] Domingo de Ara, *Vocabulario de la Lengua Tzeltal*, MS.

lands and gave them the grains and seeds which they cultivated." In the latter *rôle*, as another Ceres, she was the goddess of the rains, the springs and the waters. Four attendant goddesses (the spirits of the cardinal points) waited upon her, and to stand for these at her festivals, four priests were clad in the symbolic hues, white, yellow, red and black, "to represent the four colors of the clouds," which she sent forth from her dwelling place in the east.[1]

From a far distant locality, from the bleak shores of Greenland and Labrador, we may take a goddess-myth not less striking and beautiful. It tells of Sedna, a divine woman, the supreme being of the Eskimo people, creator of all things having life, protecting divinity of their tribes. She established the regulations for the purity of women, and punishes them with disease if they are negligent. In another capacity she is mistress of one of the underworlds, where she lies in wait for souls; and she it is, when the wintry storms hurl the ice masses against the rocky shores, who screams in the blast, and watches to snatch the unwary seal-hunter to her murk abode.[2]

It was said of the Tarascan goddess that she was not averse to human sacrifices, and that the blood of victims was cast into the springs sacred to her cult: but precisely the opposite is recorded of the goddess who occupied a similar lofty position in the religion of the Totonacos, a civilized tribe who lived near where Vera Cruz now is. The name that was applied to her meant "The Sustainer of our Life," and her attributes were

[1] *Relacion de los Ritos de Mechoacan*, in Coll. de Doc. para la Hist. de España, vol. 53.

[2] Dr. Franz Boas, *The Central Eskimo*, in 6th *An. Rep. Bur. of Ethnol.*, pp. 583 sqq.

similar to the Ceres of Michoacan; but no human sacrifices were allowed in her temples, and her priests were vowed to chastity, simplicity of life, silence unless addressed, and an exclusively vegetarian diet. Her shrines were built on the summits of hills, and so closely did her sweet and merciful ritual parallel that assigned by the Roman Church to the Virgin Mary, that the early missionaries declared that it could have been inspired only by the Devil with the intent of foiling their labors.[1]

By a flight of fancy inspired by a study of Oriental mythology, the worship of the reciprocal principle in America has been connected with that of the sun and the moon, as the primitive pair from whose fecund union all creatures proceeded. It is sufficient to say that this relation is rarely and vaguely expressed in the myths, and in many instances is inconsistent with the terms employed to designate these celestial bodies. The moon is often mentioned in their languages merely as the "night sun." Among the Mbocobis of Paraguay the sun was styled the female companion, *compañera*, of the moon.[2] In such important stocks as the Iroquois, Athapascas, Cherokees and Tupis, the sun is also said to be regarded as feminine. The myths represent them more frequently as brother and sister than as man and wife; nor did at least the northern tribes regard the sun as the cause of fecundity in nature at all, but solely as giving light and warmth.[3]

Almost racial in its universality was the red man's veneration of the THUNDER-STORM as a manifestation

[1] Mendieta, *Hist. Eclesiastica Indiana*, lib. ii. cap. ix.; Las Casas, *Hist. Apologetica*, cap. 121.

[2] P. Guevara, *Hist. del Paraguay*, cap. xv.

[3] Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, v. pp. 416, 417.

of divine power and as that which brings warmth and rain with the renewed vernal life of vegetation. The impressive phenomena which characterize it, the prodigious noise, the awful flash, the portentous gloom, the blast, the rain, have left a profound impression on the myths of every land. Fire from water, warmth and moisture from the destructive breath of the tempest, this was the riddle of riddles to the untutored mind. "Out of the eater came forth meat, out of the strong came forth sweetness." It was the visible synthesis of all the divine manifestations, the winds, the waters, and the flames.

The Dakotas conceived it as a struggle between the god of waters and the thunder bird for the command of their nation;[1] and as a bird, one of those which make a whirring sound with their wings, the turkey, the pheasant, or the nighthawk, it was very generally depicted by their neighbors, the Athapascas, the Iroquois, and Algonquins.[2] As the herald of the summer it was to them a good omen, and friendly power. It was the voice of the Great Spirit ot the four winds speaking from the clouds and admonishing them that the time of corn planting was at hand.[3]

The flames kindled by the lightning were of a sacred nature, properly to be employed in lighting the fires of the religious rites, but on no account to be profaned by the base uses of daily life. When the flash entered the

1 Mrs. Eastman, *Legends of the Sioux*, p. 161.

2 *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1634, p. 27; Schoolcraft, *Algic Researches*, ii. p. 116; *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 420; an article by A. F. Chamberlain on "the thunder bird among the Algonkins" is in *Amer. Anthropologist*, Jan. 1890.

3 De Smet, *Western Missions*, p. 135; Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, i. p. 319.

ground it scattered in all directions those stones, such as the flint, which betray their supernal origin by a gleam of fire when struck. These were the thunderbolts, and from such an one, significantly painted red, the Dakotas averred their race proceeded.[1] For are we not all in a sense indebted for our lives to fire?

"There is no end to the fancies entertained by the Sioux concerning thunder," observes Mrs. Eastman. They typified the paradoxical nature of the storm under the character of the giant Haokah. To him cold was heat, and heat cold; when sad he laughed, when merry groaned; the sides of his face and his eyes were of different colors and expressions; he wore horns or a forked headdress to represent the lightning, and with his hands he hurled the meteors. His manifestations were fourfold, and one of the four winds was the drumstick he used to produce the thunder.[2]

Omitting many others, enough that the sameness of this conception is illustrated by the myth of Tupa, highest god and first man of the Tupis of Brazil. During his incarnation, he taught them agriculture, gave them fire, the cane, and the pisang, and now in the form of a huge bird sweeps over the heavens, watching his children and watering their crops, admonishing them of his presence by the mighty sound of his voice, the rustling of his wings, and the flash of his eye. These are the thunder, the lightning, and the roar of

[1] Mrs. Eastman, *Legends of the Sioux*, p. 72. By another legend they claimed that their first ancestor obtained his fire from the sparks which a friendly panther struck from the rocks as he scampered up a stony hill (McCoy, *Hist. of Baptist Indian Missions*, p. 364).

[2] Mrs. Eastman, ubi sup., p. 158; Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, iv. p. 645.

the tempest. He is depicted with horns; he was one of four brothers, and only after a desperate struggle did he drive his fraternal rivals from the field. In his worship, the priests place pebbles in a dry gourd, deck it with feathers and arrows, and rattling it vigorously, reproduce in miniature the tremendous drama of the storm.[1]

As nations rose in civilization these fancies put on a more complex form and a more poetic fulness. Throughout the realm of the Incas the Peruvians venerated as creator of all things, maker of heaven and earth, and ruler of the firmament, the god Ataguju. The legend was that from him proceeded the first of mortals, the man Guamansuri, who descended to the earth and there seduced the sister of certain Guachemines, rayless ones, or Darklings, who then possessed it. For this crime they destroyed him, but their sister proved pregnant, and died in her labor, giving birth to two eggs.

From these emerged the twin brothers, Apocatequil and Piguerao. The former was the more powerful. By touching the corpse of his mother he brought her to life, he drove off and slew the Guachemines, and, directed by Ataguju, released the race of Indians from the soil by turning it up with a spade of gold. For this reason they adored him as their maker. He it was, they thought, who produced the thunder and the lightning by hurling stones with his sling; and the thunderbolts that fall, said they, are his children.

[1] Waitz, *Anthropologie*, iii. p. 417; Müller, *Am. Urrelig.*, p. 271, from various early authorities. Tupa was distinctly the god of the thunderstorm and the word is still so applied in the Tupi dialects (Adam, *Grammaire Tupi*, 1895). As the rain-god, it was he, said the Guaranis, who saved their ancestors in the universal deluge (Guevara, *Hist. del Paraguay*, cap. xix.)

Few villages were willing to be without one or more of these. They were in appearance small, round, smooth stones, but had the admirable properties of securing fertility to the fields, protecting from lightning, and, by a transition easy to understand, were also adored as gods of the Fire, as well material as of the passions, and were capable of kindling the dangerous flames of desire in the most frigid bosom. Therefore they were in great esteem as love charms.

Apocatequil's statue was erected on the mountains, with that of his mother on one hand, and his brother on the other. "He was Prince of Evil and the most respected god of the Peruvians. From Quito to Cuzco not an Indian but would give all he possessed to conciliate him. Five priests, two stewards, and a crowd of slaves served his image. And his chief temple was surrounded by a very considerable village whose inhabitants had no other occupation than to wait on him."

In memory of these brothers, twins in Peru were deemed always sacred to the lightning, and when a woman or even a llama brought them forth, a fast was held and sacrifices offered to the two pristine brothers, with a chant commencing: *A chuchu cachiqui*, "O Thou who causest twins," words mistaken by the Spaniards for the name of a deity.[1]

[1] On the myth of Catequil see particularly the *Lettre sur les Superstitions du Pérou*, p. 95 sqq., and compare Montesinos, *Ancien Pérou*, chaps. ii., xx. The letters g and j do not exist in Quichua, therefore Ataguja should doubtless read *Ata-chuchu*, which means lord, or ruler of the twins, from *ati* root of *atini*, I am able, I control, and *chuchu*, twins. The change of the root *ati* to *ata*, though uncommon in Quichua, occurs also in *atahualpa*, cock, from *ati* and *hualpa*, fowl. Apo-Catequil, or as given by Arriaga, another old writer on Peruvian idolatry, Apocatequilla, I take to be properly

Garcilasso de la Vega, a descendant of the Incas, has preserved an ancient indigenous poem of his nation, presenting the storm myth in a different form, which as undoubtedly authentic and not devoid of poetic beauty I translate, preserving as much as possible the trochaic tetrasyllabic verse of the original Quichua:—

"Beauteous princess,
Lo, thy brother
Breaks thy vessel
Now in fragments.
From the blow come
Thunder, lightning,
Strokes of lightning.
And thou, princess,
Tak'st the water,
With it rainest,
And the hail, or
Snow dispensest,
Viracocha,
World constructor,

apu-ccatec-quilla, which literally means *chief of the followers of the moon*. Acosta mentions that the native name for various constellations was *catachillay* or *catuchillay*, doubtless corruptions of *catec quilla*, literally "following the moon." Catequil, therefore, the dark spirit of the storm rack, was also appropriately enough, and perhaps primarily, lord of the night and stars. Piguerao, where the g appears again, is probably a compound of *piscu*, bird, and *uira*, white. Guachemines seems clearly the word *hauchi*, a ray of light or an arrow, with the negative suffix *ymana*, thus meaning rayless, as in the text, or *ymana* may mean an excess as well as a want of anything beyond what is natural, which would give the signification "very bright shining." (Holguin, *Arte de la Lengua Quichua*, p. 106: Cuzco, 1607.) Is this sister of theirs the Dawn, who, as in the Rig Veda, brings forth at the cost of her own life the white and dark twins, the Day and the Night, the latter of whom drives from the heavens the far-shooting arrows of light, in order that he may restore his mother again to life?

World enliv'ner,
To this office
Thee appointed,
Thee created."[1]

In this pretty waif that has floated down to us from the wreck of a literature now forever lost, there is more than one point to attract the notice of the antiquary. He may find in it a hint to decipher those names of divinities so common in Peruvian legends, Contici and Illatici. Both mean "the Thunder Vase," and both doubtless refer to the conception here displayed of the phenomena of the thunder-storm.[2]

Again, twice in this poem is the triple nature of the storm adverted to. This is observable in many of the religions of America. It constitutes a sort of Trinity, not resembling that of Christianity, nor yet the Trimurti of India, but doubtless founded on the same psychic laws. Thus in the Quiché legends we read: "The first of Hurakan is the lightning, the second the track of the lightning, and the third the stroke of the

[1] *Hist. des Incas*, liv. ii. cap. 28. It is repeated, with corrections, in the works of Von Tschudi and Middendorf.

[2] The latter is a compound of *tici* or *ticcu*, a vase, and *ylla*, the root of *yllani*, to shine, *yllupantac*, it thunders and lightens. The former is from *tici* and *cun* or *con*, whence by reduplication *cun-un-un-an*, it thunders. From *cun* and *tura*, brother, is probably derived *cuntur*, the condor, the flying thunder-cloud being looked upon as a great bird also. Von Tschudi, in his excellent study of this Peruvian myth, is not willing to connect the deity Con with the storm, the rain or fire, and denies correlation of the word to these ideas (*Beiträge zur Kenntniss des Alten Peru*, p. 179). In answer I adduce the Quichua words, *cun-pay*, the crackling of fire, *konoy*, to build a great fire, *koncha*, the fire-place, etc. (Middendorf, *Wörterbuch der Keshua-Sprache*).

lightning; and these three are Hurakan, the Heart of the Sky."[1]

It reappears with characteristic uniformity of outline in Iroquois mythology. Heno, the thunder, gathers the clouds and pours out the warm rains. Therefore he was the patron of husbandry. He was invoked at seed time and harvest; and as purveyor of nourishment he was addressed as grandfather, and his worshippers styled themselves his grandchildren. He rode through the heavens on the clouds, and the thunderbolts which split the forest trees were the stones he hurled at his enemies. *Three* assistants were assigned him, whose names have unfortunately not been recorded, and whose offices were apparently similar to those of the three companions of Hurakan.[2] Among the Tupis of Brazil, according to a careful student, their highest mythical conception was of three deities, the one representing the animal, the second the vegetable kingdom, and the third the productive union of the two, the god of love, Peruda.[3]

So also the Aztecs supposed that Tlaloc, god of rains and the waters, ruler of the terrestrial paradise and the season of summer, manifested himself under the three attributes of the flash, the thunderbolt, and the thunder.[4] Among the Dakotas, each wind or world-quarter was reckoned as three, making with the sacred centre, thirteen in all.[5]

[1] *Le Livre Sacré*, p. 9. The name of the lightning in Quiché is *cak ul ha*, literally, "fire coming from water."

[2] Morgan, *League of the Iroquois*, p. 158.

[3] Conto de Magelhaes, *O Selvagem*, vol. ii. p. 123.

[4] "El rayo, el relámpago, y el trueño." Gama, *Des. de las dos Piedras*, etc., ii. p. 76. The sacredness of the *three* was also retained by the Nagualists (Brinton, *Nagualism*, p. 41).

[5] Dorsey, *Siouan Cults*, p. 537. The Navahos believe that twelve

But this conception of three in one was above the comprehension of the masses, and consequently these deities were also spoken of as fourfold in nature, three *and* one. Moreover, as has already been pointed out, the thunder god was usually ruler of the winds, and thus another reason for his quadruplicate nature was suggested. Hurakan, Haokah, Tlaloc, and probably Heno, are plural as well as singular nouns, and are used as nominatives to verbs in both numbers. Tlaloc was appealed to as inhabiting each of the cardinal points and every mountain top. His statue rested on a square stone pedestal, facing the east, and had in one hand a serpent of gold. Ribbons of silver, crossing to form squares, covered the robe, and the shield was composed of feathers of four colors, yellow, green, red and blue. Before it was a vase containing all sorts of grain; and the clouds were called his companions, the winds his messengers.[1]

As elsewhere, the thunderbolts were believed to be flints, and thus, as the emblem of fire and the storm, this stone figures conspicuously in their myths. Tohil, the god who gave the Quichés fire by shaking his sandals, was represented by a flint-stone. Such a stone, in the beginning of things, fell from heaven to earth, and broke into 1600 pieces, each of which sprang up a god;[2] an ancient legend, which shadows forth the subjection of all things to him who gathers the clouds

men live at *each* of the cardinal points. Their duty is to hold up the heavens, to which they were assigned by the hermaphrodite demiurge, Ahsonnutli (James Stevenson, in 8th An. Rep. Bur. of Ethnol., p. 275).

[1] Torquemada, *Monarquia Indiana*, lib. vi. cap. 23. Gama, ubi sup. ii. 76, 77.

[2] Torquemada, ibid., lib. vi. cap. 41.

from the four corners of the earth, who thunders with his voice, who satisfies with his rain " the desolate and waste ground, and causes the tender herb to spring forth."

This is the germ of the adoration of stones as emblems of the fecundating rains. This is why, for example, the Navajos use as their charm for rain certain long round stones, which they think fall from the cloud when it thunders.[1] With similar imagery, the Chotas of Mexico continued to a late day the worship of their trinity, the Dawn, the Stone, and the Serpent.[2]

Mixcoatl, the Cloud Serpent, or Iztac-Mixcoatl, the White or Gleaming Cloud Serpent, said to have been the only divinity of the ancient Chichimecs, held in high honor by the Nahuas, Nicaraguans, and Otomis, and identical with Taras, supreme god of the Tarascos and Camaxtli, god of the Teo-Chichimecs, is another personification of the thunder-storm. To this day this is the familiar name of the tropical tornado in the Mexican language.[3]

He was represented, like Jove, with a bundle of arrows in his hand, the thunderbolts. Both the Nahuas and Tarascos related legends in which he figured as father of the race of man. Like other lords of the lightning he was worshipped as the dispenser of riches and the patron of traffic; and in Nicaragua his image is described as being " engraved stones,"[4] probably the supposed products of the thunder.

[1] *Senate Report on the Indian Tribes*, p. 358 (Washington, 1867).

[2] *Diccionario Universal*, App. Tom. iii. p. 11. Brinton, *Nagualism*, p. 41.

[3] Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, i. p. 201, and on the extent of his worship Waitz, *Anthropol.*, iv. p. 144.

[4] Oviedo, *Hist. du Nicaragua*, p. 47.

CHAPTER VI.

THE SUPREME GODS OF THE RED RACE.

Analysis of American culture myths.—The Manibozho or Michabo of the Algonkins shown to be an impersonation of LIGHT, a hero of the Dawn, and their highest deity.—The myths of Ioskeha of the Iroquois, Viracocha of the Peruvians, and Quetzalcoatl of the Toltecs essentially the same as that of Michabo.—Other examples.—Ante-Columbian prophecies of the advent of a white race from the east as conquerors.—Rise of later culture myths under similar forms.

THE philosopher Machiavelli, commenting on the books of Livy, lays it down as a general truth that every form and reform has been brought about by a single individual. Since a remorseless criticism has shorn so many heroes of their laurels, our faith in the maxim of the great Florentine wavers, and the suspicion is created that the popular fancy which personifies under one figure every social revolution is an illusion. It springs from that tendency to hero-worship, ineradicable in the heart of the race, which leads every nation to have an ideal, the imagined author of its prosperity, the father of his country, and the focus of its legends.

As has been hinted, history is not friendly to their renown, and dissipates them altogether into phantoms of the brain, or sadly dims the lustre of their fame. Arthur, bright star of chivalry, dwindles into a Welsh subaltern; the Cid Campeador, defender of the faith,

sells his sword as often to Moslem as to Christian, and *sells* it ever; while Siegfried and Feridun vanish into nothings.

As elsewhere the world over, so in America many tribes had to tell of such a personage, some such august character, who taught them what they knew, the tillage of the soil, the properties of plants, the art of picture writing, the secrets of magic; who founded their institutions and established their religions, who governed them long with glory abroad and peace at home; and finally, did not die, but like Frederick Barbarossa, Charlemagne, King Arthur, and all great heroes, vanished mysteriously, and still lives somewhere, ready at the right moment to return to his beloved people and lead them to victory and happiness.

Such to the Algonkins was Michabo or Manibozho, to the Iroquois Ioskeha, Wasi to the Cherokees, Tamoi to the Caribs; so the Mayas had Itzamna, the Nahuas Quetzalcoatl, the Muyscas Nemqueteba; such among the Quichuas was Viracocha, among the Mandans Numock-muckenah, among the Hidatsa Itamapisa, and among the natives of the Orinoko Amalivaca; and the catalogue could be extended indefinitely.

It is not always easy to pronounce upon these heroes, whether they belong to history or mythology, their nation's poetry or its prose. In arriving at a conclusion we must remember that a fiction built on an idea is infinitely more tenacious of life than a story founded on fact. Further, that if a striking similarity in the legends of two such heroes be discovered under circumstances which forbid the thought that one was derived from the other, then both are probably mythical. If this is the case in not two but in half a dozen instances, then the probability amounts to a certainty, and the only

task remaining is to explain such narratives on consistent mythological principles.

If after sifting out all foreign and later traits, it appears that when first known to Europeans, these heroes were assigned all the attributes of highest divinity, were the imagined creators and rulers of the world, and mightiest of spiritual powers, then their position must be set far higher than that of deified men. They must be accepted as the supreme gods of the red race, the analogues in the western continent of Jupiter, Osiris, and Odin in the eastern, and whatever opinions contrary to this may have been advanced by writers and travellers must be set down to the account of that prevailing ignorance of American mythology which has fathered so many other blunders. It would not be inconsistent with this view if along with these hero-gods there existed some vague faith in an abstract, remote Cause of All, occasionally present to the reflecting mind of the worshipper. Such an abstraction, like the metaphysical definitions of God in higher creeds, is not the active leaven of the religious emotions; this must ever be connected in some way with a personification of the divine attributes; be, as more or less crudely understood, the Word made Flesh.

To solve these knotty points I shall choose for analysis the culture myths of the Algonkins, the Iroquois, the Toltecs of Mexico, and the Quichuas or Peruvians, guided in my choice by the fact that these four families are the best known, and, in many points of view, the most important on the continent.

From the remotest wilds of the northwest to the coast of the Atlantic, from the southern boundaries of Carolina to the cheerless swamps of Hudson Bay, the Algonkins were never tired of gathering around the

winter fire and repeating the story of Manibozho or Michabo, the Great Hare. With entire unanimity their various branches, the Powhatans of Virginia, the Lenni Lenape of the Delaware, the warlike hordes of New England, the Ottawas of the far north, and the western tribes perhaps without exception, spoke of "this chimerical beast," as one of the old missionaries calls it, as their common ancestor. The totem or clan which bore his name was looked up to with peculiar respect.

In many of the tales which the whites have preserved of Michabo he seems half a wizard, half a simpleton. He is full of pranks and wiles, but often at a loss for a meal of victuals; ever itching to try his arts magic on great beasts and often meeting ludicrous failures therein; envious of the powers of others, and constantly striving to outdo them in what they do best; in short, little more than a malicious buffoon delighting in practical jokes, and abusing his superhuman powers for selfish and ignoble ends. But this is a low, modern, and corrupt version of the character of Michabo, bearing no more resemblance to his real and ancient one than the language and acts of our Saviour and the apostles in the coarse Mystery Plays of the Middle Ages do to those recorded by the Evangelists.[1]

What he really was we must seek in the accounts of older travellers, in the invocations of the jossakeeds or

[1] Another example of such modern deterioration is shown by the Brazilian stories of Curupira. They represent him as an imp and a buffoon; but the oldest travellers, De Laet for example, speak of him as *numen mentium*, and a dignified member of the pantheon. See C. F. Harrt, *O Mytho do Curupira*, in the *Aurora Brasileira*, 1873, and the excellent collection of nature myths by J. Barbosa Rodriguez, *Poranduba Amazonense*, Introd. (Rio de Janeiro, 1890).

prophets, and in the part assigned to him in the solemn mysteries of religion. In these we find him portrayed as the patron and founder of the meda worship,[1] the inventor of picture writing, the father and guardian of their nation, the ruler of the winds, even the maker and preserver of the world and creator of the sun and moon.

From a grain of sand brought from the bottom of the primeval ocean, he fashioned the habitable land and set it floating on the waters, till it grew to such a size that a strong young wolf, running constantly, died of old age ere he reached its limits. Under the name Michabo Ovisaketchak, the Great Hare who created the Earth, he was originally the highest divinity recognized by them, "powerful and beneficent beyond all others, maker of the heavens and the world."

He was the founder of the medicine hunt in which after appropriate ceremonies and incantations the Indian sleeps, and Michabo appears to him in a dream, and tells him where he may readily kill game. He himself was a mighty hunter of old; one of his footsteps measured eight leagues, the Great Lakes were the beaver dams he built, and when the cataracts impeded his progress he tore them away with his hands.

Attentively watching the spider spread its web to trap unwary flies, he devised the art of knitting nets to catch fish, and the signs and charms he tested and handed down to his descendants are of marvellous efficacy in the chase. In the autumn, in "the moon of the falling leaf," ere he composes himself to his winter's

[1] The *meda* worship is the ordinary religious ritual of the Algonkins. It consists chiefly in exhibitions of legerdemain, and in conjuring and exorcising demons. A *jossakeed* is an inspired prophet who derives his power directly from the higher spirits, and not as the *medawin*, by instruction and practice.

sleep, he fills his great pipe and takes a godlike smoke. The balmy clouds float over the hills and woodlands, filling the air with the haze of the "Indian summer."

Sometimes he was said to dwell in the skies with his brother the snow, or, like many great spirits, to have built his wigwam in the far north on some floe of ice in the Arctic Ocean; while the Chippeways localized his birthplace and former home to the Island Michilimakinac at the outlet of Lake Superior. But in the oldest accounts of the missionaries he was alleged to reside toward the east, and in the holy formulæ of the meda craft, when the winds are invoked to the medicine lodge, the east is summoned in his name, the door opens in that direction, and there, at the edge of the earth, where the sun rises, on the shore of the infinite ocean that surrounds the land, he has his house and sends the luminaries forth on their daily journeys.[1]

It is passing strange that such an insignificant creature as the rabbit should have received this apotheosis. No explanation of it in the least satisfactory has ever been offered. Some have pointed it out as a senseless, meaningless brute worship. It leads to the suspicion that there may lurk here one of those confusions of

[1] For these particulars see the *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1667, p. 12, 1670, p. 93; Charlevoix, *Journal Historique*, p. 344; Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, v. pp. 420 sqq.; Alex. Henry, *Travs. in Canada and the Ind. Territories*, pp. 212 sqq.; Nic. Perrot, *Mem. sur l'Amer. Sept.*, pp. 12, 19, 339 (1665); Blomes, *State of his Maj. Terr.*, p. 193; Strachey, *Travaile into Virginia*, p. 98, etc. Of the many modern writers who have studied the myth, I name J. G. Kohl, C. G. Leland, T. L. McKinney, J. I. Hindley, A. F. Chamberlain, S. T. Rand, W. J. Hoffman, etc. Dr. Hoffman (*American Anthropologist*, July, 1889) makes Manibozho the servant of Dje Manedo, the Great Spirit. This is a frequent, but modern, variant of the ancient myth.

words which have so often led to confusion of ideas in mythology.

Manibozho, Nanibojou, Missibizi, Michabo, Messou, all variations of the same name in different dialects rendered according to different orthographies, scrutinize them closely as we may, they all seem compounded according to well ascertained laws of Algonkin euphony from the words corresponding to *great* and *hare* or *rabbit*, or the first two perhaps from *spirit* and *hare* (*michi*, great, *wabos*, hare, *manito wabos*, spirit hare, Chipeway dialect), and so they have invariably been translated even by the Indians themselves.[1] But looking more narrowly at the second member of the word, it is clearly capable of another and very different interpretation, of an interpretation which discloses at once the origin and the secret meaning of the whole story of Michabo, in the light of which it appears no longer the incoherent fable of savages, but a true myth, instinct with nature, pregnant with matter, nowise inferior to those which fascinate in the chants of the Rig Veda, or the weird pages of the Edda.

[1] The rabbit called *wabos* is the small gray rabbit. It reappears in Iroquois and Cherokee folk-tales. In the latter it overcomes one of the demi-gods and blows him to pieces, the fragments becoming the bits of flint or chert which were found in their land (J. Mooney, in *Jour. Amer. Folk-lore*, vol. ii. No. 4). In the Siouan legends it is so cunning that it tricks Ikto, the most crafty of beings and the discoverer of human speech (Dorsey, *Study of Siouan Cults*, p. 472). Among the Nahuas the "Man in the Moon" was called a rabbit, and the calendar count began with the day named after this animal, *Tochtli*. In the mystic language of the Nagualists the rabbit represented the air or wind (De la Serna, *Manual de Ministros*, p. 223). Two gentes among the Algonkins were called from it. Many other instances could be cited of its prominent position in native mythology.

On a previous page I have emphasized with what might have seemed superfluous force, how prominent in primitive mythology is the east, the source of the morning, the day-spring on high, the cardinal point which determines and controls all others. But I did not lay as much stress on it as others have. "The whole theogony and philosophy of the ancient world," says Max Müller, "centred in the Dawn, the mother of the bright gods, of the Sun in his various aspects, of the morn, the day, the spring; herself the brilliant image and visage of immortality.[1]

Now it appears on attentively examining the Algonkin root *wab*, that it gives rise to words of very diverse meaning, that like many others in all languages, while presenting but one form it represents ideas of wholly unlike origin and application, that in fact there are two distinct roots having this sound. One is the initial syllable of the word translated hare or rabbit, but the other means *white*, and from it is derived the words for the east, the dawn, the light, the day, and the morning.[2] Beyond a doubt this is the compound in the names Michabo and Manibozho, which therefore mean the Great Light, the Spirit of Light, of the Dawn, or the East, and in the literal sense of the word the Great White One, as indeed he has sometimes been called.

[1] *Science of Language*, Second Series, p. 518.

[2] Dialectic forms in Algonkin for white, are *wabi*, *wape*, *wompi*, *waubish*, *oppai;* for morning, *wapan*, *wapaneh*, *opah;* for east, *wapa*, *waubun*, *waubamo;* for dawn, *wapa*, *waubun;* for day, *wompan*, *oppan;* for light, *oppung;* and many others similar. In the Abnaki dialect, *wanbighen*, it is white, is the customary idiom to express the breaking of the day, as it was with the Latins, *albente cælo*. Cuoq, *Lexique Algonquine*, p. 413; Lacombe, *Dict. de la Langue des Cris*, p. 635, etc.

In this sense all the ancient and authentic myths concerning him are plain and full of meaning. They divide themselves into two distinct cycles. In the one Michabo is the spirit of light who dispels the darkness; in the other as chief of the cardinal points he is lord of the winds, prince of the powers of the air, whose voice is the thunder, whose weapon the lightning, the supreme figure in the encounter of the air currents, in the unending conflict which the Dakotas described as waged by the waters and the winds.

In the first he is grandson of the moon, his father is the West Wind, and his mother, a maiden, dies in giving him birth at the moment of conception. For the moon is the goddess of light, the Dawn is her daughter, who brings forth the morning and perishes herself in the act, and the West, the spirit of darkness as the East is of light, precedes and as it were begets the latter as the evening does the morning.

Straightway, however, continues the legend, the son sought the unnatural father to revenge the death of his mother, and then commenced a long and desperate struggle. "It began on the mountains. The West was forced to give ground. Manibozho drove him across rivers and over mountains and lakes, and at last he came to the brink of this world. 'Hold,' cried he, 'my son, you know my power and that it is impossible to kill me.'"[1] What is this but the diurnal combat of light and darkness, carried on from what time "the jocund morn stands tiptoe on the misty mountain tops," across the wide world to the sunset, the struggle that knows no end, for both the opponents are immortal?

[1] Schoolcraft, *Algic Researches*, i. pp. 135–142.

In the second, and evidently to the native mind more important cycle of legends, he was represented as one of four brothers, the North, the South, the East, and the West, all born at a birth, whose mother died in ushering them into the world;[1] for hardly has the kindling orient served to fix the cardinal points than it is lost and dies in the advancing day.

Yet it is clear that he was something more than a personification of the east or the east wind, for it is repeatedly said that it was he who assigned their duties to all the winds, to that of the east as well as the others. This is a blending of his two characters. Here, too, his life is a battle. No longer with his father, indeed, but with his brother Chakekenapok, the flint-stone, whom he broke in pieces and scattered over the land, and changed his entrails into fruitful vines.

The conflict was long and terrible. The face of nature was desolated as by a tornado, and the gigantic

[1] The names of the four brothers, Wabun, Kabun, Kabibonokka, and Shawano, express in Algonkin both the cardinal points and the winds which blow from them. In another version of the legend, first reported by Father De Smet and quoted by Schoolcraft without acknowledgment, they are Nanaboojoo, Chipiapoos, Wabosso, and Chakekenapok. See for the support of the text, Schoolcraft, *Algic Res.*, ii. p. 214; De Smet, *Oregon Missions*, p. 347, and authors above mentioned. Lederer gives their names in the Virginian dialect as Pash, Sepoy, Askarin, and Maraskarin (*Discoveries*, p. 4). When Captain Argoll visited the Potomac in 1610 a chief told him: "We have five gods in all; our chief god appears often unto us in the form of a mighty great hare; the other four have no visible shape, but are indeed the four winds which keep the four corners of the earth" (Strachey, *Virginia*, p. 98). The modern connection of the Michabo legend with the cardinal points and colors is well shown in the article of Dr. Hoffman above referred to.

boulders and loose rocks found on the prairies are the missiles hurled by the mighty combatants. Or else his foe was the glittering prince of serpents whose abode was the lake; or was the shining Manito whose home was guarded by fiery serpents and a deep sea; or was the great king of fishes; all symbols of the atmospheric waters, all figurative descriptions of the wars of the elements.

In these affrays the thunder and lightning are at his command, and with them he destroys his enemies. For this reason the Chipeway pictography represents him brandishing a rattlesnake, the symbol of the electric flash,[1] and sometimes they called him the North-west Wind, which in the region they inhabit usually brings the thunder-storms.

As ruler of the winds he was, like Quetzalcoatl, father and protector of all species of birds, their symbols.[2] He was patron of hunters, for their course is guided by the cardinal points. Therefore, when the medicine hunt had been successful, the prescribed sign of gratitude to him was to scatter a handful of the animal's blood toward each of these.[3] As daylight brings vision, and to see is to know, it was no fable that gave him as the author of their arts, their wisdom, and their institutions.

In effect, his story is a world-wide truth, veiled under a thin garb of fancy. It is but a variation of that narrative which every race has to tell, out of gratitude to that beneficent Father who everywhere has cared for His children. Michabo, giver of life and light, creator and preserver, is no apotheosis of a prudent chieftain,

[1] *Narrative of John Tanner*, p. 351.
[2] Schoolcraft, *Algic Res.*, i. p. 216.
[3] *Narrative of John Tanner*, p. 354.

still less the fabrication of an idle fancy or a designing priestcraft, but in origin, deeds, and name the not unworthy personification of the purest conceptions they possessed concerning the Father of All. To Him at early dawn the Indian stretched forth his hands in prayer; and to the sky or the sun as his home, he first pointed the pipe in his ceremonies, rites often misinterpreted by travelers as indicative of sun worship.

As later observers tell us, to this day the Algonkin prophet builds the medicine lodge to face the sunrise, and in the name of Michabo, who there has his home, summons the spirits of the four quarters of the world and Gizhigooke, the day maker, to come to his fire and disclose the hidden things of the distant and the future: so the earliest explorers relate that when they asked the native priests who it was they invoked, what demons or familiars, the invariable reply was, "the Kichigouai, the genii of light, those who make the day."[1]

Our authorities on Iroquois traditions, though numerous enough, are not so satisfactory. The best, per-

[1] Compare the *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1634, p. 14, 1637, p. 46, with Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 419. *Kichigouai* is the same word as *Gizhigooke*, according to a different orthography. In the Micmac stories collected by Rev. Silas T. Rand and Mr. Leland, Michabo figures under the name Glooscap, the Deceiver, on account of his skill in foiling his enemies. This is a modern and imperfect form of the legend, as I have pointed out (*American Antiquarian*, May, 1885) allied to the Cree conception of Wisakedjak (Cuoq, *Lexique Algonquine*, p. 442, Lacombe, *Dict. Cris*, p. 653). The Indian author, John Nicolas, of Maine, has recently published the true, ancient traditions of Glooscap, whom he spells Klosekur-beh and translates "the man from (made out of) nothing." (*Life and Traditions of the Red Man*, 1893.) See also Edward Jack, in *Transactions of the Canadian Institute*, 1892, pp. 202, sqq.; Silas T. Rand, *Legends of the Micmacs*, 1894.

haps, is Father Brebeuf, a Jesuit missionary, who resided among the Hurons in 1626. Their culture myth, which he has recorded, is strikingly similar to that of the Algonkins. Two brothers appear in it, Ioskeha and Tawiscara, names which find their meaning in the Oneida dialect as the White one and the Dark one.[1] They are twins, born of a virgin mother, who died in giving them life. Their grandmother was the moon, called by the Hurons Ataensic, a word which signifies literally *she bathes herself*, and which, in the opinion of Father Bruyas, a most competent authority, is derived from the word for water.[2]

The brothers quarreled, and finally came to blows; the former using the horns of a stag, the latter the wild rose. He of the weaker weapon was very naturally

[1] The names *I8skeha* and *Ta8iscara* I venture to identify with the Oneida *owisske* or *owiska*, white, and *tetiucalas* (*tyokaras tewhgarlars*, Mohawk), dark or darkness. The prefix i to *owisske* is the impersonal third person singular; the suffix *ha* gives a future sense, so that *i-owisske-ha* or *iouskeha* means "it is going to become white." Brebeuf gives a similar example of *gaon*, old; *a-gaon-ha, il va devenir vieux* (*Rel. Nouv. France*, 1636, p. 99). But "it is going to become white," meant to the Iroquois that the dawn was about to appear, just as *wanbighen*, it is white, did to the Abnakis (see note on page 198), and as the Eskimos say, *kau ma wok*, it is white, to express that it is daylight (Erdman, *Eskimoisches Wörterbuch*).

[2] The orthography of Brebeuf is *aataentsic*. This may be analyzed as follows: root *aouen*, water; prefix *at, il y a quelque chose là dedans; ataouen, se baigner;* from which comes the form *ataouensere*. (See Bruyas, *Rad. Verb. Iroquæor.*, pp. 30, 31.) Here again the mythological role of the moon as the goddess of water comes distinctly to light. These etymologies have been attacked by Mr. J. B. N. Hewitt (*Proceedings Amer. Assoc. Adv. of Science*, 1895, pp. 241, sqq.) and others proposed; but I prefer the opinions of Brebeuf and Cuoq to those of Mr. Hewitt; although to concede his derivations would not affect the interpretation of the myth.

discomfited and sorely wounded. Fleeing for life, the blood gushed from him at every step, and as it fell turned into flint-stones. The victor returned to his grandmother, and established his lodge in the far east, on the borders of the great ocean, whence the sun comes. In time he became the father of mankind, and special guardian of the Iroquois.

The earth was at first arid and sterile, but he destroyed the gigantic frog which had swallowed all the waters, and guided the torrents into smooth streams and lakes.[1] The woods he stocked with game; and having learned from the great tortoise, who supports the world, how to make fire, taught his children, the Indians, this indispensable art. He it was who watched and watered their crops; and, indeed, without his aid, says the old missionary, quite out of patience with such puerilities, "they think they could not boil a pot." Sometimes they spoke of him as the sun, but this only figuratively.[2]

From other writers of early date we learn that the essential outlines of this myth were received by the Tuscaroras and Mohawks, and as the proper names of the two brothers are in the Oneida dialect, we cannot err in considering this the national legend of the Iroquois stock. There is strong likelihood that the

[1] This offers an instance of the uniformity which prevailed in symbolism in the New World. The Aztecs adored the goddess of water under the figure of a frog carved from a single emerald; or of human form, but holding in her hand the leaf of a water lily ornamented with frogs. In the Maya codices it appears as a symbol of the water and the rains. *Cod. Cortesianius*, pp. 12, 17, etc. Images of it cut from stone or of clay are frequent in American art. They were kept by the later Indians as talismans. B. de Alva, *Confessionario en Lengua Mexicana*, fol. 9.

[2] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1636, p. 101.

Taronhiawagon, he who comes from the Sky, of the Onondagas, who was their supreme God, who spoke to them in dreams, and in whose honor the chief festival of their calendar was celebrated about the winter solstice, was, in fact, Ioskeha under another name.[1] As to the legend of the Good and Bad Minds given by Cusic, to which I have referred in a previous chapter, and the later myth of Hiawatha, first made public by Mr. Clark in his History of Onondaga (1849), and which, in the graceful poem of Longfellow, is now familiar to the world, they are but pale reflections of the early native traditions, in which history and fancy are commingled.[2]

So strong is the resemblance Ioskeha bears to Michabo, that what has been said in explanation of the latter will be sufficient for both. Yet I do not imagine that the one was copied or borrowed from the other. We cannot be too cautious in adopting such a conclusion. The two nations were remote in everything but geographical position.

I call to mind another similar myth. In it a mother is also said to have brought forth twins, or a pair of twins, and to have paid for them with her life. Again the one is described as the bright, the other as the dark twin; again it is said that they struggled one with the other for the mastery. Scholars, likewise, have inter-

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1671, p. 17. Cusic spells it *Tarenyawagon*, and translates it Holder of the Heavens. But the name is evidently a compound of *garonhia*, sky, softened in the Onondaga dialect to *taronhia* (see Gallatin's Vocabs. under the word sky), and *wagin*, I come.

The story of Hiawatha, in so far as it pertains to history, has been carefully summed up by Horatio Hale in his *Iroquois Book of Rites*, chap. ii.

preted the mother to mean the Dawn, the twins either Light and Darkness, or the Four Winds. Yet this is not Algonkin theology; nor is it at all related to that of the Iroquois. It is the story of Sarama in the Rig Veda, and was written in Sanscrit, under the shadow of the Himalayas, centuries before Homer.

Such uniformity points not to a common source in history, but in psychology. Man, chiefly cognizant of his existence through his senses, thought with an awful horror of the night which deprived him of the use of one and foreshadowed the loss of all. Therefore *light* and *life* were to him synonymous; therefore all religions promise to lead

> "From night to light,
> From night to heavenly light;"

therefore He who rescues is ever the Light of the World; therefore it is said "to the upright ariseth light in darkness;" therefore everywhere the kindling East, the pale Dawn, is the embodiment of his hopes and the centre of his reminiscences.

Who shall say that his instinct led him here astray? For is not, in fact, all life dependent on light? Do not all those marvellous and subtle forces known to the older chemists as the imponderable elements, without which not even the inorganic crystal is possible, proceed from the rays of light? Let us beware of that shallow science so ready to shout Eureka, and reverently acknowledge a mysterious intuition here displayed which joins with the latest conquests of the human mind to repeat and emphasize that message which the Evangelist heard of the Spirit and declared unto men, that "God is Light."[1]

[1] Ὁ θεος φως εστι, The First Epistle General of John, i. 5. In

Both these heroes, let it be observed, live in the uttermost east; both are the mythical fathers of the race. To the east, therefore, should these nations have pointed as their original dwelling place. This they did in spite of history. Cusic, who takes up the story of the Iroquois a thousand years before the Christian era, locates them first in the most eastern region they ever possessed. While the Algonkins with one voice called those of their tribes living nearest the rising sun *Abnakis*, our ancestors at the east, or at the dawn; literally our *white* ancestors.[1]

I designedly emphasize this literal rendering. It reminds one of the white twin of Iroquois legend, and illustrates how the color white came to be intimately associated with the morning light and its beneficent effects. Moreover color has a specific effect on the mind; there is a music to the eye as well as to the ear; and white, which holds all hues in itself, disposes the soul to all pleasant and elevating emotions.[2] Not

curious analogy to these myths is that of the Eskimos of Greenland. In the beginning, they relate, were two brothers, one of whom said: "There shall be night and there shall be day, and men shall die, one after another." But the second said, "There shall be no day, but only night all the time, and men shall live forever." They had a long struggle, but here once more he who loved darkness rather than light was worsted, and the day triumphed. (*Nachrichten von Gronland aus einem Tagebuche vom Bischof Paul Egede*, p. 157: Kopenhagen, 1790. The date of the entry is 1738.)

[1] I accept without hesitation the derivation of this word, proposed and defended by that accomplished Algonkin scholar, the Rev. Eugene Vetromile, from *wanb*, white or east, and *naghi*, ancestors (*The Abnakis and their History*, p. 29, New York, 1866).

[2] White light, remarks Goethe, has in it something cheerful and ennobling; it possesses "eine heitere, muntere, sanft reizende Eigenschaft." *Farbenlehre*, sec's 766, 770.

fashion alone bids the bride wreathe her brow with orange flowers, nor was it a mere figure of speech that led the inspired poet to call his love "fairest among women," and to prophesy a Messiah "fairer than the children of men," fulfilled in that day when He appeared "in garments so white as no fuller on earth could white them."

No nation is free from the power of this law. "White," observes Adair of the southern Indians, "is their fixed emblem of peace, friendship, happiness, prosperity, purity, and holiness."[1] Their priests dressed in white robes, as did those of Peru and Mexico; the kings of the various species of animals were all supposed to be white;[2] the cities of refuge established as asylums for alleged criminals by the Cherokees in the manner of the Israelites were called "white towns;" and for sacrifices animals of this color were ever most highly esteemed.

All these sentiments were linked to the dawn. Language itself is a proof of it. Many Algonkin words for east, morning, dawn, day, light, as we have already seen, are derived from a radical signifying *white*. Or we can take a tongue nowise related, the Quiché, and find its words for east, dawn, morning, light, bright, glorious, happy, noble, all derived from *zak*, white. We read in their legends of the earliest men that they were "white children," "white sons," leading "a white life beyond the dawn," and the creation itself is attributed to the Dawn, the White One, the White Sacrificer of Blood.[3]

[1] *Hist. of the N. Am. Indians*, p. 159.

[2] La Hontan, *Voy. dans l'Amér. Sept.*, ii. p. 42.

[3] "Blanco pizote," Ximenes, p. 4, *Vocabulario Quiché*, s. v. *zak*. In the far north the Eskimo tongue presents the same analogy.

But why insist upon the point when in European tongues we find the daybreak called *l'aube*, *alva*, from *albus*, white? Enough for the purpose if the error of those is manifest, who, in such expressions, would seek support for any theory of ancient European immigration; enough if it displays the true meaning of those traditions of the advent of benevolent visitors of fair complexion in ante-Columbian times, which both Algonkins and Iroquois[1] had in common with many other tribes of the western continent.

Their explanation will not be found in the annals of Japan, the triads of the Cymric bards, nor the sagas of Icelandic skalds, but in the propensity of the human mind to attribute its own origin and culture to that white-shining orient where sun, moon, and stars, are daily born in renovated glory, to that fair mother, who, at the cost of her own life, gives light and joy to the world, to the brilliant womb of Aurora, the glowing bosom of the Dawn.

Even the complicated mythology of Peru yields to the judicious application of these principles of interpretation. Its peculiar obscurity arises from the policy of the Incas to blend the religions of conquered provinces with their own. Thus about 1350 the Inca Pachacutec subdued the country about Lima where the

Day, morning, bright, light, lightning, all are from the same root (*kau*), signifying white. So in Hidatsa, from *hati*, to grow light, come *ahati*, white, *amahati*, to shine, etc. (W. Matthews, *Hidatsa Grammar*).

[1] Some fragments of them may be found in Campanius, *Acc. of New Sweden*, 1650, book iii. chap. 11, and in Byrd, *The Westover Manuscripts*, 1733, p. 82. They were in both instances alleged to have been white and bearded men, the latter probably a later trait in the legend.

worship of Con and Pachacamac prevailed.[1] The local myth represented these as father and son, or brothers, children of the sun. They were without flesh or blood, impalpable, invisible, and incredibly swift of foot. Con first possessed the land, but Pachacamac attacked and drove him to the north. Irritated at his defeat he took with him the rain, and consequently to this day the sea-coast of Peru is largely an arid desert.

Now when we are informed that the south wind, that, in other words, which blows to the north, is the actual cause of the aridity of the lowlands,[2] and consider the light and airy character of these antagonists, we cannot hesitate to accept this as a myth of the winds.

The name of *Con tici*, the Thunder Vase, was indeed applied to Viracocha in later times, but they were never identical. Viracocha was the culture hero of the ancient Aymara-Quichua stock. He was more than that, for in their creed he was creator and possessor of all things. Lands and herds were assigned to other gods to support their temples, and offerings were heaped on their altars, but to him none. For, asked the Incas: "Shall the Lord and Master of the whole world need these things from us?" To him, says Acosta, "they did at-

[1] *Con* or *Cun* I have already explained (see note, p. 187) to mean thunder, *Con tici*, the mythical thunder vase. The name Pachacamac is analyzed with minuteness by Von Tschudi (*Beiträge zur Kennt. des alten Peru*, p. 121, Vienna, 1891). It may mean the creator, producer or sustainer of the world, both in space and time; or, he who animates time and space, or gives them their value and use. In actual formulas, such as have been preserved, its meaning is usually the former, *i.e.*, "the world-sustainer." In later myth he was personified as son of Con, brother of the sun or moon, etc. Middendorf prefers for Pachacama the simple meaning "Creator of the World," *Ollanta*, p. 21.

[2] Ulloa, *Memoires sur l'Amérique*, i. p. 105.

tribute the chief power and commandment over all things;" and elsewhere, "in all this realm the chief idoll they did worship was Viracocha, and *after him* the Sunne."[1]

Ere sun or moon was made, he rose from the bosom of Lake Titicaca, and presided over the erection of those wondrous cities whoso ruins still dot its islands and western shores, and whose history is totally lost in the night of time. He himself constructed these luminaries and placed them in the sky, and then peopled the earth with its present inhabitants. From the lake he journeyed westward, not without adventures, for he was attacked with murderous intent by the beings whom he had created. When, however, scorning such unequal combat, he had manifested his power by hurling the lightning on the hill sides and consuming the forests, they recognized their maker, and humbled themselves before him. He was reconciled, and taught them arts and agriculture, institutions and religion, meriting the title they gave him of *Pachayachachic*, teacher of all things. At last he disappeared in the western ocean.

Four personages, companions or sons, were closely connected with him. They rose together with him from the lake, or else were his first creations. These are the

[1] Acosta, *Hist. of the New World*, bk. v. chap. 4, bk. vi. chap. 19, Eng. trans., 1704. The Quichua culture-hero Tonapa was apparently another form or incarnation of Viracocha. In reference to his mythical cyclus see *Tres Relaciones Peruanas* (Madrid, 1879); von Tschudi, *Beiträge;* Lafone-Quevedo, *El Culto de Tonapa* (1892); Brinton, *American Hero-Myths*, chap. v. Von Tschudi recognizes in Viracocha the impersonation of Light, and places him in antithesis to Con, whom he believes to represent darkness (*Beiträge*, p. 211).

four mythical civilizers of Peru, who another legend asserts emerged from the cave Pacarin tampu, the Lodgings of the Dawn.[1] To these Viracocha gave the earth, to one the north, to another the south, to a third the east, to a fourth the west. Their names are very variously given, but as they have already been identified with the four winds, we can omit their consideration here.[2] Tradition, as has rightly been observed by the Inca Garcilasso de la Vega, transferred a portion of the story of Viracocha to Manco Capac, first of the historical Incas. King Manco, however, was a real character, the Rudolph of Hapsburg of their reigning family, and flourished about the eleventh century.

There is a general resemblance between this story and that of Michabo. Both precede and create the sun, both journey to the west, overcoming opposition with the thunderbolt, both divide the world between

[1] The name is derived from *tampu*, corrupted by the Spaniards to *tambo*, an inn, and *paccari*, morning, or *paccarin*, it dawns, which also has the figurative signification, it is born. It may therefore mean either Lodgings of the Dawn, or as the Spaniards usually translated it, House of Birth, or Production, *Casa de Producimiento*.

[2] The names given by Balboa (*Hist. du Pérou*, p. 4) and Montesinos (*Ancien Pérou*, p. 5) are Manco, Cacha, Auca, Uchu. The meaning of Manco is unknown. The others signify, in their order, messenger, enemy or traitor, and the little one. The myth of Viracocha is given in its most antique form by Juan de Betanzos, in the *Historia de los Ingas*, compiled in the first years of the conquest from the original songs and legends. It is quoted in Garcia, *Origen de los Indios*, lib. v. cap. 7. Balboa, Montesinos, Acosta, and others have also furnished me some incidents. The most scholarly study of the Viracocha legends is that by the late von Tschudi, published in his *Beiträge zur Kenntniss des Alten Peru*, Vienna, 1891. I also refer to that in my *American Hero Myths*, pp. 168–202, and the discussion of the myth by Dr. Middendorf in his introduction to the drama of *Ollanta*, Leipzig, 1890.

the four winds, both were the fathers, gods, and teachers of their nations. Nor does it cease here. Michabo, I have shown, is the white spirit of the Dawn. Viracocha, all authorities translate "the fat or foam of the sea." The idea conveyed is of whiteness, foam being called fat from its color.[1] So true is this that today in Peru white men are called *viracochas*, and the early explorers constantly received the same epithet. The name is a metaphor. The dawn rises above the horizon as the snowy foam on the surface of a lake.

As the Algonkins spoke of the Abnakis, their white ancestors, as in Mexican legends the early Toltecs were of fair complexion, so the Aymaras sometimes called the first four brothers, *viracochas*, white men.[2] It is the ancient story how

> "Light
> Sprung from the deep, and from her native east
> To journey through the airy gloom began."

The central figure of Nahuatl mythology is Quetzalcoatl. Not an author on ancient Mexico but has something to say about the glorious days when he ruled over the land. No one denies him to have been a god, the god of the air, highest deity of the Tezcucans, in

[1] It is compounded of *uira*, fat, foam (which perhaps is akin to *yurac*, white), and *cocha*, a pond or lake. This simple and ancient derivation has not pleased modern students. Von Tschudi derives *uira* from *uayra*, wind or air, and makes Viracocha originally a god of the winds (*Beiträge*, p. 196). Middendorf thinks *uira* refers to lava and translates therefore "Lord of the Lava Stream," or the fluid interior of the earth! (*Ollanta*, p. 24.) Lafone Quevedo gives a still more fanciful rendering. (*El Culto de Tonapa*, 1892.) The birth of the hero god from the fat or scum of the sea reappears in the Zuñi Creation Myths (Cushing, u. s., p. 379).

[2] Gomara, *Hist. de las Indias*, cap. 119, in Müller.

whose honor was erected the pyramid of Cholula, grandest monument of their race. But many insist that he was at first a man, some deified king. There were in truth many Quetzalcoatls, for his high priest always bore his name, but he himself is a pure creation of the fancy, and all his alleged history is nothing but a myth.

His emblematic name, the Bird-Serpent, and his connection with the wind-cross, I have already explained. Others of his titles were: Ehecatl, the air; Yolcuat, the rattlesnake; Tohil, the rumbler; Huemac, the strong hand; Nanihehecatle, lord of the four winds; Tlaviz-calpan-tecutli, lord of the light of the dawn. The same dualism reappears in him that has been noted in his analogues elsewhere. He is both lord of the eastern light and the winds.

As the former, he was born of a virgin in the land of Tula or Tlapallan, in the distant Orient, and was high priest of that happy realm. The morning star was his symbol, and the temple of Cholula was dedicated to him expressly as the author of light.[1] As by days we measure time, he was the alleged inventor of the calendar. Like all the dawn heroes, he too was represented as of white complexion, clothed in long white robes, and, as many of the Aztec gods, with a full and flowing beard.[2]

[1] Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, i. p. 302.

[2] There is no reason to lay any stress upon this feature. Beard was nothing uncommon among the Aztecs and many other nations of the New World. It was held to add dignity to the appearance, and therefore Sahagun, in his description of the Mexican idols, repeatedly alludes to their beards, and Müller quotes various authorities to show that the priests wore them long and full (*Amer. Urreligionen*, p. 429). Not only was Quetzalcoatl himself reported

When his earthly work was done he too returned to the east, assigning as a reason that the sun, the ruler of Tlapallan, demanded his presence. But the real motive was that he had been overcome by Tezcatlipoca, otherwise called Yoalliehecatl, the wind or spirit of night, who had descended from heaven by a spider's web and presented his rival with a draught pretended to confer immortality, but, in fact, producing uncontrollable longing for home. For the wind and the light both depart when the gloaming draws near, or when the clouds spread their dark and shadowy webs along the mountains, and pour the vivifying rain upon the fields.

In his other character, he was begot of the breath of Tonacateotl, god of our flesh or subsistence,[1] or (according to Gomara) was the son of Iztac Mixcoatl, the white cloud serpent, the spirit of the tornado. Messenger of Tlaloc, god of rains, he was figuratively said to sweep the road for him, since in that country violent winds are the precursors of the wet seasons. Wherever he went all manner of singing birds bore him company, emblems of the whistling breezes.

When he finally disappeared in the far east, he sent back four trusty youths who had ever shared his fortunes, "incomparably swift and light of foot," with directions to divide the earth between them and rule

to have been of fair complexion—white indeed—but the historian Ixtlilxochitl says the old legends asserted that all the Toltecs, natives of Tollan, or Tula, as their name signifies, were so likewise. Still more, Aztlan, the traditional home of the Nahuas, or Aztecs proper, means literally the white land, according to one of our best authorities (Buschmann, *Ueber die Aztekischen Ortsnamen*, p. 612).

[1] Kingsborough, *Antiquities of Mexico*, v. p. 109.

it till he should return and resume his power. When he would promulgate his decrees, his herald proclaimed them from Tzatzitepec, the hill of shouting, with such a mighty voice that it could be heard a hundred leagues around. The arrows which he shot transfixed great trees, the stones he threw levelled forests, and when he laid his hands on the rocks the mark was indelible.

Yet as thus emblematic of the thunder-storm, he possessed in full measure its better attributes. By shaking his sandals he gave fire to men, and peace, plenty, and riches blessed his subjects. Tradition says he built many temples to Mictlanteuctli, the Aztec Pluto, and at the creation of the sun that he slew all the other gods, for the advancing dawn disperses the spectral shapes of night, and yet all its vivifying power does but result in increasing the number doomed to fall before the remorseless stroke of death.[1]

His symbols were the bird, the serpent, the cross, and the flint, representing the clouds, the lightning, the four winds, and the thunderbolt. Perhaps, as Huemac, the Strong Hand, he was god of the earthquakes. The Zapotecs worshipped such a deity under the image of this member carved from a precious stone,[2] calling to mind the "Kab-ul," the Working Hand, adored by the Mayas,[3] and said to be one of the images

[1] The myth of Quetzalcoatl I have taken chiefly from Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. i. cap. 5; lib. iii. caps 3, 13, 14; lib. x. cap. 29; Torquemada, *Monarquia Indiana*, lib. vi. cap. 24; and the *Anales de Quauhtitlan*. It must be remembered that the Quiché legends identify him positively with the Tohil of Central America (*Le Livre Sacré*, p. 247).

[2] Padilla, Davila, *Hist. de la Prov. de Santiago de Mexico*, lib. ii. cap. 89.

[3] Cogolludo, *Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. 8.

of Itzamna, their hero god. The human hand, "that divine tool," as it has been called, might well be regarded by the reflective mind as the teacher of the arts and the amulet whose magic power has won for man what vantage he has gained in his long combat with nature and his fellows.

I might next discuss the culture myth of the Muyscas, whose hero Bochica or Nemqueteba bore the other name SUA, the White One, the Day, the East, an appellation they likewise gave the Europeans on their arrival. He had taught them in remotest times how to manufacture their clothing, build their houses, cultivate the soil, and reckon time. When he disappeared, he divided the land between four chiefs, and laid down many minute rules of government which ever after were religiously observed.[1]

Or I might choose that of the Caribs, whose patron Tamu called Grandfather, and Old man of the Sky, was a man of light complexion, who in the old times came from the east, instructed them in agriculture and arts, and disappeared in the same direction, promising them assistance in the future, and that at death he would receive their souls on the summit of the sacred tree, and transport them safely to his home in the sky.[2]

[1] He is also called Idacanzas and Nemterequetaba. Some have maintained a distinction between Bochica and Sua, which, however, has not been shown. The best authorities on the mythology of the Muyscas are Piedrahita, *Hist. de la Conq. del Nuevo Reyno de Granada*, 1668 (who is copied by Humboldt, *Vues des Cordillères*, pp. 246 sqq.), and Simon, *Noticias de Tierra Firme*, Parte ii. The myths are well summed up by E. Restrepo, *Aborigines de Colombia*, cap. ii. iii.

[2] D'Orbigny, *L'Homme Américain*, ii. p. 319, and Rochefort, *Hist. des Isles Antilles*, p. 482. The name has various orthographies,

Or from the more fragmentary mythology of ruder nations, proof might be brought of the well nigh universal reception of these fundamental views. As, for instance, when the Mandans of the Upper Missouri speak of their first ancestor as a son of the West, who preserved them at the flood, and whose garb was always of four milk-white wolf skins:[1] and when the Pimos, a people of the valley of the Rio Gila, relate that their birthplace was where the sun rises, that there for generations they led a joyous life, until their beneficent first parent disappeared in the heavens. From that time, say they, God lost sight of them, and they wandered west, and further west till they reached their present seats.[2]

Or I might instance the Tupis of Brazil, who were named after the first of men, Tupa, he who alone survived the flood, who was one of four brothers, who is described as an old man of fair complexion, *un vieillard blanc*,[3] and who is now their highest divinity, ruler of

Tamu, Tamõi, Tamou. Itamoulou, and is probably identical with the Zume of the Guaranis of Paraguay, and who, they said, came from the sun-rising, and was their instructor in arts N. del Techo, *Hist. Prov. Paraquariae*, lib vi. cap. iv. Dr. Ehrenreich considers him identical with the Kamu of the Arawacks, and the Kaboi of the Carayas. In the legend of the latter, he dwelt with their ancestors in the underworld until a bird, the *Dicholophos cristatus*, by its call, led them to light and life in the upper world. *Die Karayastämme*, p. 39 (1891).

[1] Catlin, *Letters and Notes*, Letter 22.

[2] Journal of Capt. Johnson, in Emory, *Reconnoissance of New Mexico*, p. 601.

[3] "Il a fait tout," says Father Ives d'Evreux, *Hist. de Marignan*, p. 280. *Tupa* now means god and thunder. Further references by M. de Charency, *Révue Américaine*, ii. p. 317. Another similar Tupi myth is that of Timondonar and Aricoute. They were brothers, the one of fair complexion, the other dark. They were

the lightning and the storm, whose voice is the thunder, and who is the guardian of their nation. But is it not evident that these and all such legends are but variations of those already analyzed?

In thus removing one by one the wrappings of symbolism, and displaying at the centre and summit of these various creeds, He who is throned in the sky, who comes with the dawn, who manifests himself in the light and the storm, and whose ministers are the four winds, I set up no new god. The ancient Israelites prayed to him who was seated above the firmament, who commanded the morning and caused the day-spring to know its place, who answered out of the whirlwind, and whose envoys were the four winds, the four cherubim described with such wealth of imagery in the introduction to the book of Ezekiel. The Mahometan adores "the clement and merciful Lord of the Daybreak," whose star is in the east, who rides on the storm, and whose breath is the wind.

The primitive man in the New World also associated these physical phenomena as products of an invisible power, conceived under human form, called by name, worshipped as one, and of whom all related the same myth differing but in unimportant passages. This was the primeval religion. It was not monotheism, for there were many other gods; it was not pantheism, for there was no blending of the cause with the effects; still less was it fetichism, an adoration of sensuous objects, for these were recognized as effects. It teaches us that the idea of God neither arose from the phenomenal world nor was sunk in it, as is the shallow theory of the day, but is as Kant long ago defined it,

constantly struggling and Aricoute, which means the cloudy or stormy day, was worsted (Fd. Denis, *Une Fete Brésilienne*, p. 88).

a conviction of a highest and first principle which binds all phenomena into one.

One point of these legends deserves closer attention for the influence it exerted on the historical fortunes of the race. The dawn heroes were conceived as of fair complexion, mighty in war, and though absent for a season, destined to return and claim their ancient power. Here was one of those unconscious prophecies, pointing to the advent of a white race from the east, that wrote the doom of the red man in letters of fire.

Historians have marvelled at the instantaneous collapse of the empires of Mexico, Peru, the Mayas, and the Natchez, before a handful of Spanish filibusters. The fact was, wherever the whites appeared they were connected with these ancient predictions of the spirit of the dawn returning to claim his own. Obscure and ominous prophecies, "texts of bodeful song," rose in the memory of the natives, and paralyzed their arms.

"For a very long time," said Montezuma, at his first interview with Cortes, "has it been handed down that we are not the original possessors of this land, but came hither from a distant region under the guidance of a ruler who afterwards left us and returned. We have ever believed that some day his descendants would come and resume dominion over us. Inasmuch as you are from that direction, which is toward the rising of the sun, and serve so great a king as you describe, we believe that he is also our natural lord, and are ready to submit ourselves to him."[1]

The gloomy words of Nezahualcoyotl, a former prince of Tezcuco, foretelling the arrival of white and

[1] Cortes, *Carta Primera*, pp. 113, 114.

bearded men from the east, who would wrest the power from the hands of the rightful rulers and destroy in a day the edifice of centuries, were ringing in his ears. But they were not so gloomy to the minds of his down-trodden subjects, for that day was to liberate them from the thralls of servitude. Therefore when they first beheld the fair complexioned Spaniards, they rushed into the water to embrace the prows of their vessels, and despatched messengers throughout the land to proclaim the return of Quetzalcoatl.[1]

The noble Mexican was not alone in his presentiments. When Hernando de Soto on landing in Peru first met the Inca Huascar, the latter related an ancient prophecy which his father Huayna Capac had repeated on his dying bed, to the effect that in the reign of the thirteenth Inca, white men (*viracochas*) of surpassing strength and valor would come from their father the Sun and subject to their rule the nations of the world. "I command you," said the dying monarch, "to yield them homage and obedience, for they will be of a nature superior to ours."[2]

The natives of Haiti told Columbus of similar predictions long anterior to his arrival.[3] The Maryland Indians said the whites were an ancient generation who had come to life again, and had returned to seize their former land;[4] and the Lenape of the Delaware told the Moravian missionaries that it was an ancient belief that divine men should come to them from the east, and for these they took the first Europeans.[5]

[1] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. xii. caps. 2, 3.

[2] La Vega, *Hist. des Incas.*, lib. ix. cap. 15.

[3] Peter Martyr, *De Reb. Oceanicis*, Dec. iii. lib. vii.

[4] Blomes, *State of his Maj. Terr.*, p. 199.

[5] Brinton, *The Lenape and their Legends*, p. 132 and authorities there quoted.

Father Lizana has preserved in the original Maya tongue several such foreboding chants. Doubtless he has adapted them somewhat to proselytizing purposes, but they seem very likely to be close copies of authentic aboriginal songs, referring to the return of Itzamna or Kukulcan, lord of the dawn and the four winds, worshipped at Cozumel and Palenque under the sign of the cross. An extract will show their character:—

"At the close of the thirteenth Age of the world,
While the cities of Itza and Tancah still flourish,
The sign of the Lord of the Sky will appear,
The light of the dawn will illumine the land,
And the cross will be seen by the nations of men.
A father to you, will He be, Itzalanos,
A brother to you, ye natives of Tancah;
Receive well the bearded guests who are coming,
Bringing the sign of the Lord from the daybreak,
Of the Lord of the Sky, so clement yet powerful."[1]

The older writers, Gomara, Cogolludo, Villagutierre, have taken pains to collect other instances of this presentiment of the arrival and domination of a white race.[2] Later historians, fashionably incredulous of what they cannot explain, have passed them over in silence. That they existed there can be no doubt, and

[1] Lizana, *Hist. de Nuestra Señora de Itzamal*, lib. ii. cap. i. in Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, ii. p. 605. The prophecies are of the priest who bore the title—not name—*chilan balam*, and whose offices were those of divination and astrology. The verse claims to date from about 1450, and was very well known throughout Yucatan, so it is said. The "Books of Chilan Balam" copied in facsimile by the late Dr. C. H. Berendt are in my possession. They contain several ancient prophecies of a similar character. I have described them in *Essays of an Americanist*, pp. 255–273.

[2] The benevolent hero-god of the Tarascos, by name Surites, was also said to have predicted the arrival of the whites (F. X. Alegre, *Hist. de la Comp. Jesus en la Nueva España*, Tom. i. p. 91).

that they arose in the way I have stated, is almost proved by the fact that in Mexico, Bogota, and Peru, the whites were at once called from the proper names of the heroes of the Dawn, *Suas*, *Viracochas*, and *Quetzalcoatls*.

When the church of Rome had crushed remorselessly the religions of Mexico and Peru, all hope of the return of Quetzalcoatl and Viracocha perished with the institutions of which they were the mythical founders. But it was only to arise under new incarnations and later names. As well forbid the heart of youth to bud forth in tender love, as that of oppressed nationalities to cherish the faith that some ideal hero, some royal man, will yet arise, and break in fragments their fetters, and lead them to glory and honor.

When the name of Quetzalcoatl was no longer heard from the teocalli of Cholula, that of Montezuma took its place. From ocean to ocean, and from the river Gila to the Nicaraguan lake, nearly every aboriginal nation still cherishes the memory of Montezuma, not as the last unfortunate ruler of a vanished state, but as the prince of their golden era, their Saturnian age, lord of the winds and waters, and founder of their institutions. When, in the depth of the tropical forests, the antiquary disinters some statue of earnest mien, the natives whisper one to the other, "Montezuma! Montezuma!"[1]

In the legends of New Mexico he is the founder of the pueblos, and intrusted to their guardianship the sacred fire. Departing, he planted a tree, and bade them watch it well, for when that tree should fall and the fire die out, then he would return from the far East, and lead his loyal people to victory and power. When

[1] Squier, *Travels in Nicaragua*, ii. p. 35.

the last generation saw their land glide, mile by mile, into the rapacious hands of the Yankees—when new and strange diseases desolated their homes—finally, when in 1846 the sacred tree was prostrated, and the guardian of the holy fire was found dead on its cold ashes, then they thought the hour of deliverance had come, and every morning at earliest dawn a watcher mounted to the house-tops, and gazed long and anxiously in the lightening east, hoping to descry the noble form of Montezuma advancing through the morning beams at the head of a conquering army.[1]

Groaning under the iron rule of the Spaniards, the Peruvians would not believe that the last of the Incas had perished an outcast and a wanderer in the forests of the Cordilleras. For centuries they clung to the persuasion that he had but retired to another mighty kingdom beyond the mountains, and in due time would return and sweep the haughty Castilian back into the ocean.

In 1781, a mestizo, Jose Gabriel Condorcanqui, of the province of Tinta, took advantage of this strong delusion, and binding around his forehead the scarlet fillet of the Incas, proclaimed himself the long lost Inca Tupac Amaru, and a true child of the sun. Thousands of Indians flocked to his standard, and at their head he took the field, vowing the extermination of every soul of the hated race. Seized at last by the Spaniards, and condemned to a public execution, so

[1] Whipple, *Report on the Indian Tribes*, p. 36. Emory, *Recon. of New Mexico*, p. 64. The latter adds that among the Pueblo Indians, the Apaches, and Navajos, the name of Montezuma is "as familiar as Washington to us." This is the more curious, as neither the Pueblo Indians nor either of the other tribes is in any way related to the Aztec race by language, as has been shown by Dr. Buschmann, *Die Voelker und Sprachen Neu Mexicos*, p. 262.

profound was the reverence with which he had inspired his followers, so full their faith in his claims, that, undeterred by the threats of the soldiery, they prostrated themselves on their faces before this last of the children of the sun, as he passed on to a felon's death.[1]

But we need not go so wide either in time or space to see how deeply this hope is rooted in the native mind. It is but a few years since the Indians on our reservations, in wild despair at the misery and deaths of those dearest to them, broke out in mad appeals, in furious ceremonies, to induce that longed for Saviour and friend to appear. The heartless whites called it a "ghost dance" and a "Messiah craze," and shot the participants in their tracks, hastening the implacable destiny against which the poor wretches had prayed in vain.[2]

These fancied reminiscences, these unfounded hopes, so vague, so child-like, let no one dismiss them as the babblings of ignorance. Contemplated in their broadest meaning as characteristics of the race of man, they have an interest higher than any history, beyond that of any poetry. They point to the recognized discrepancy between what man is, and what he feels he should be, must be; they are the indignant protests of the race against acquiescence in the world's evil as the world's law; they are the incoherent utterances of those yearnings for nobler conditions of existence, which no savagery, no ignorance, nothing but a false and lying enlightenment can wholly extinguish.

[1] Humboldt, *Essay on New Spain*, bk. ii. chap. vi., Eng. Trans; *Ansichten der Natur*, ii. pp. 357, 386.

[2] See the touching account of Warren K. Moorehead in the *American Antiquarian*, May, 1891; also Alice C. Fletcher in *Jour. Am. Folk-lore*, March, 1891.

CHAPTER VII.

THE MYTHS OF THE CREATION, THE DELUGE, THE EPOCHS OF NATURE, AND THE LAST DAY.

Cosmogonies usually portray the action of the SPIRIT on the WATERS.—Those of the Muscogees, Athapascas, Quichés, Zuñis, Mixtecs, Iroquois, Algonkins, and others.—The Flood-Myth an unconscious attempt to reconcile a creation in time with the eternity of matter.—Proof of this from American mythology.—Characteristics of American Flood-Myths.—The person saved usually the first man.—The number seven.—Their Ararats.—The rôle of birds.—The confusion of tongues.—The Aztec, Quiché, Algonkin, Tupi, and earliest Sanscrit flood-myths.—The belief in Epochs of Nature a further result of this attempt at reconciliation.—Its forms among Peruvians, Mayas, and Aztecs.—The expectation of the End of the World a corollary of this belief.—Views of various nations.

COULD the reason rest content with the belief that the universe always was as it now is, it would save much beating of brains. Such is the comfortable condition of the Eskimos, the Rootdiggers of California, the most brutish specimens of humanity everywhere. Vain to inquire their story of creation, for, like the knife-grinder of anti-Jacobin renown, they have no story to tell. It never occurred to them that the earth had a beginning, or underwent any greater changes than those of the seasons.[1] But no sooner does the

[1] So far as this applies to the Eskimos, it might be questioned on the authority of Paul Egede, whose valuable *Nachrichten von Grönland* contains several flood-myths, etc. But these Eskimos,

mind begin to reflect, the intellect to employ itself on higher themes than the needs of the body, than the law of causality exerts its power, and the man, out of such material as he has at hand, manufactures for himself a Theory of Things.

What these materials were has been shown in the last few chapters. A simple primitive substance, a divinity to mould it—these are the requirements of every cosmogony. Concerning the first no nation ever hesitated. All agree that before time began *water* held all else in solution, covered and concealed everything. The reasons for this assumed priority of water have been already touched upon. Did a tribe dwell near some great sea others can be imagined. The land is limited, peopled, stable; the ocean fluctuating, waste, boundless. It insatiably swallows all rains and rivers, quenches sun and moon in its dark chambers. and raves against its bounds as a beast of prey.

Awe and fear are the sentiments it inspires; in Aryan tongues its synonyms are the *desert* and the *night*.[1] It produces an impression of immensity, infinity, formlessness, and barren changeableness, well suited to a notion of chaos. It is sterile, receiving all things, producing nothing. Hence the necessity of a

like those of the South, had had for generations intercourse with European missionaries and sailors, and as the other tribes of their stock were singularly devoid of corresponding traditions, it is likely that in Greenland they were of foreign origin. The Eskimo highest divinity, Tornarsuk, was not presented in the ancient stories as the Creator of things. (Morillot, *Mythologie des Esquimaux*, *Actes Soc. Philol.*, iv. p. 232.)

[1] Pictet, *Origines Indo-Européennes* in Michelet, *La Mer*. The latter has many eloquent and striking remarks on the impressions left by the great ocean.

creative power to act upon it, as it were to impregnate its barren germs. Some cosmogonies find this in one, some in another personification of divinity. Commonest of all is that of the wind, or its emblem the bird, types of the breath of life.

Thus the venerable record in Genesis, translated in the authorized version "and the Spirit of God moved on the face of the waters," may with equal correctness be rendered "and a mighty wind brooded on the surface of the waters," presenting the picture of a primeval ocean fecundated by the wind as a bird.[1] The eagle that in the Finnish epic of Kalewala floated over the waves and hatched the land, the egg that in Chinese legend swam hither and thither until it grew to a continent, the giant Ymir, the rustler (as wind in trees), from whose flesh, says the Edda, our globe was made and set to float like a speck in the vast sea between Muspel and Niflheim, all are the same tale repeated by different nations in different ages. But why take illustrations from the old world when they are so plenty in the new?

Before the creation, said the Muscokis, a great body of water was alone visible. Two pigeons flew to and fro over its waves, and at last spied a blade of grass rising above the surface. Dry land gradually followed, and the islands and continents took their present shapes.[2]

Whether this is an authentic aboriginal myth, is not beyond question. No such doubt attaches to that of the Athapascas. With singular unanimity, most of

[1] "Spiritus Dei incubuit superficei aquarum" is the translation of one writer. The word for spirit in Hebrew, as in Latin, originally meant wind, as I have before remarked.

[2] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, i. p. 266.

the northwest branches of this stock trace their descent from a raven, "a mighty bird, whose eyes were fire, whose glances were lightning, and the clapping of whose wings was thunder. On his descent to the ocean, the earth instantly rose and remained on the surface of the water. This omnipotent bird then called forth all the variety of animals."[1]

Very similar, but with more of poetic finish, is the legend of the Quichés:—

"This is the first word and the first speech. There were neither men nor brutes; neither birds, fish, nor crabs, stick nor stone, valley nor mountain, stubble nor forest, nothing but the sky. The face of the land was hidden. There was naught but the salient sea and the sky. There was nothing joined, nor any sound, nor thing that stirred; neither any to do evil, nor to rumble in the heavens, nor a walker on foot; only the silent waters, only the pacified ocean, only it in its calm. Nothing was but stillness, and rest, and darkness, and the night; nothing but the Maker and Moulder, the Hurler, the Bird-Serpent. In the waters, in a limpid twilight, covered with green feathers, slept the mothers and the fathers."[2]

Over this passed Hurakan, the mighty wind, and called out Earth! and straightway the solid land was there.

Turning to the pueblo-dwelling Zuñis, we hear as follows:

"With the substance of himself did the all-father Awonawilona impregnate the great water, the world-

[1] Mackenzie, *Hist. of the Fur Trade*, p. 83; Richardson, *Arctic Expedition*, p. 239.

[2] Ximenes, *Or. de los Ind. de Guat.*, pp. 5–7. I translate freely, following Ximenes rather than Brasseur.

holding sea, so that scums rose upon its surface, waxing wide and apart, until they became the all-containing earth and the all-covering sky. From the lying together of these twain upon the great world waters, all beings of earth, men and creatures came to exist, and firstly in the fourfold womb of the world. In the nethermost of the cave-wombs of the world, the seed of men and creatures took form and life. The earth lay like a vast island, wet and shifting, amid the great waters, and the men groped about down in the murk underworld. Then arose the master magician, Janauluha, and bearing a staff plumed and covered with feathers, he guided them upward to the world of light. There, by the power of his wand, caused he to be and become birds of shining plumage, the raven and the macaw, who were indeed the spirits of the winter and the summer, and the totems of the two first clans of men."[1]

The picture writings of the Mixtecs preserved a similar cosmogony: "In the year and in the day of clouds, before ever were either years or days, the world lay in darkness; all things were orderless, and a water covered the slime and the ooze that the earth then was." By the efforts of two winds, called, from astrological associations, that of Nine Serpents and that of Nine Caverns, personified one as a bird and one as a winged serpent, the waters subsided and the land dried.[2]

In the birds that here play such conspicuous parts, we cannot fail to recognize the winds and the clouds; but more especially the dark thunder cloud, soaring in

[1] Freely transcribed from Mr. Cushing's *Zuñi Creation Myths* (1896).

[2] Garcia, *Or. de los Indios*, lib. v. cap. 4.

space at the beginning of things, most forcible emblem of the aerial powers. They are the symbols of that divinity which acted on the passive and sterile waters, the fitting result being the production of a universe. Other symbols of the divine could also be employed, and the meaning remain the same. Or were the fancy too helpless to suggest any, they could be dispensed with, and purely natural agencies take their place.

The creation myth of the Guaymis of Costa Rica related that the mysterious being Noncomala formed the world and the waters, but they were in darkness and clouds. Wading into the river he met and fecundated the water-sprite Rutbe, who bore him twins, brothers, who lived and throve with their mother for twelve years. Then they left her, one becoming the sun the other the moon, the twin lights of the world.[1]

The unimaginative Iroquois narrated that when their primitive female ancestor was kicked from the sky by her irate spouse, there was as yet no land to receive her, but that it "suddenly bubbled up under her feet, and waxed bigger, so that ere long a whole country was perceptible."[2] Or that certain amphibious animals, the beaver, the otter, and the muskrat, seeing her descent, hastened to dive and bring up sufficient mud to construct an island for her residence.[3] The muskrat is also the simple machinery in the cosmogony of the Takahlis of the northwest coast, the Osages and some Algonkin tribes.

These latter were, indeed, keen enough to perceive that there was really no *creation* in such an account. Dry land was wanting, but earth was there, though

[1] Juan Melendez, *Tesoros Verdaderos de las Yndias*, p. 4.

[2] *Doc. Hist. of New York*, iv. p. 130 (circ. 1650).

[3] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1636, p. 101.

hidden by boundless waters. Consequently, they spoke distinctly of the action of the muskrat in bringing it to the surface as a formation only. Michabo directed him, and from the mud formed islands and main land. But when the subject of creation was pressed, they replied they knew nothing of that, or roundly answered the questioner that he was talking nonsense.[1] Their myth, almost identical with that of their neighbors, was recognized by them to be not of a construction, but a reconstruction only; a very judicious distinction, but one which has a most important corollary.[2]

A reconstruction supposes a previous existence. This they felt, and had something to say about an earth anterior to this of ours, but one without light or human inhabitants. A lake burst its bounds and submerged it wholly. This is obviously nothing but a mere and meagre fiction, invented to explain the origin of the primeval ocean. But mark it well, for this is the germ of those marvellous myths of the Epochs of Nature, the catastrophes of the universe, the deluges of water and of fire, which have laid such strong hold on the human fancy in every land and in every age.

The purpose for which this addition was made to the simpler legend is clear enough. It was to avoid the dilemma of a creation from nothing on the one hand, and the eternity of matter on the other. *Ex nihilo nihil*

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1634, p. 13.

[2] Various animals take the place of the muskrat in this myth as it occurred among other tribes. Among the Uchees (or Yuchi) the crawfish brought the mud from the bottom, and the buzzard, by flapping its wings, formed the hills. A. S. Gatschet, *Amer. Anthropologist*, 1893, p. 280.

is an apothegm indorsed alike by the profoundest metaphysicians and the rudest savages.

But the other horn was no easier. To escape accepting the theory that the world had ever been as it now is, was the only object of a legend of its formation. As either lemma conflicts with fundamental laws of thought, this escape was eagerly adopted, and in the suggestive words of Prescott, men "sought relief from the oppressive idea of eternity by breaking it up into distinct cycles or periods of time."[1]

Vain but characteristic attempt of the ambitious mind of man! The Hindoo philosopher reconciles to his mind the suspension of the world in space by imagining it supported by an elephant, the elephant by a tortoise, and the tortoise by a serpent. We laugh at the Hindoo, and fancy we diminish the difficulty by explaining that it revolves around the sun, and the sun around some far-off star. Just so the general mind of humanity finds some satisfaction in supposing a world or a series of worlds anterior to the present, thus escaping the insoluble enigma of creation by removing it indefinitely in time.

The support lent to these views by the presence of marine shells on high lands, or by faint reminiscences of local geologic convulsions, I estimate very low. Savages are not inductive philosophers, and by nothing short of a miracle could they preserve the remembrance of even the most terrible catastrophe beyond a few generations.[2] Nor has any such occurred within the

[1] *Conquest of Mexico*, i. p. 61.

[2] It is regretable that such a thoughtful author as Im Thurn should content himself with the memory of local floods and fires as sufficient explanation of these cataclysmal myths. *Indians of Guiana*, p. 375.

ken of history of sufficient magnitude to make a very permanent or wide-spread impression.

Not physics, but metaphysics, is the exciting cause of these beliefs in periodical convulsions of the globe. The idea of matter cannot be separated from that of time, and time and eternity are contradictory terms. Common words show this connection. World, for example, in the old language, *waereld*, from the root to wear, by derivation means an age or cycle (Grimm).

In effect a myth of creation is nowhere found among primitive nations. It seems repugnant to their reason. Dry land and animal life had a beginning, but not matter. A series of constructions and demolitions may conveniently be supposed for these. The analogy of nature, as seen in the vernal flowers springing up after the desolation of winter, of the sapling sprouting from the fallen trunk, of life everywhere rising from death, suggests such a view.

Hence arose the belief in Epochs of Nature, elaborated by ancient philosophers into the Cycles of the Stoics, the Great Days of Brahm, long periods of time rounded off by sweeping destructions, the Cataclysms and Ekpyrauses of the universe. Some thought in these all beings perished; others that a few survived.[1]

This latter and more common view is the origin of the myth of the deluge. How familiar such specula-

[1] For instance, Epictetus favors the opinion that at the solstices of the great year not only all human beings, but even the gods are annihilated; and speculates whether at such times Jove feels lonely (*Discourses*, bk. iii. chap. 13). Macrobius, so far from coinciding with him, explains the great antiquity of Egyptian civilization by the hypothesis that that country is so happily situated between the pole and equator, as to escape both the deluge and conflagration of the great cycle (*Somnium Scipionis*, lib. ii. cap. 10).

tions were to the aborigines of America there is abundant evidence to show.[1]

The early Algonkin legends do not speak of an antediluvian race, nor of any family who escaped the waters. Michabo, the spirit of the dawn. their supreme deity, alone existed, and by his power formed and peopled it. Nor did their neighbors, the Dakotas, though firm in the belief that the globe had once been destroyed by the waters, suppose that any had escaped.[2] The same view was entertained by the Nicaraguans[3] and the Botocudos of Brazil. The latter attributed its destruction to the moon falling to the earth from time to time.[4] The Aschochimi of California told of the drowning of the world, so that no man escaped; but when the waters retired the coyote went forth and planted the feathers of various birds, which grew into the various tribes of men.[5]

Much the most general opinion, however, was that some few escaped the desolating element by one of those means most familiar to the narrator, by ascending some mountain, on a raft or canoe, in a cave, or even by climbing a tree. No doubt some of these legends have been modified by Christian teachings; but many of them are so connected with local peculiarities and ancient religious ceremonies, that no un-

[1] A general discussion of the creation myths of the world may be found in the learned work of Professor Bastian, *Vorgeschichtliche Schöpfungslieder*, Berlin, 1893; and of the deluge myths of many nations in Dr. R. Andree's *Fluthsagen;* though the analysis of their origin in the latter appears to me to be incomplete.

[2] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, iii. 263, iv. p. 230.

[3] Oviedo, *Hist. du Nicaragua*, pp. 22, 27.

[4] Müller, *Amer. Urrelig.*, p. 254.

[5] Stephen Powers, *Indians of California*, p. 200.

biased student can assign them wholly to that source, as Professor Vater and others have done, even if the authorities for many of them were less trustworthy than they are. There are no more common heirlooms in the traditional lore of the red race. Nearly every old author quotes one or more of them. They present great uniformity of outline, and rather than engage in repetitions of little interest, they can be more profitably studied in the aggregate than in detail.

By far the greater number represent the last destruction of the world to have been by water. A few, however, the Takahlis of the North Pacific coast, the Yurucares of the Bolivian Cordilleras, and the Mbocobi of Paraguay, attribute it to a general conflagration which swept over the earth, consuming every living thing except a few who took refuge in a deep cave.[1] The more common opinion of a submersion gave rise to those traditions of a universal flood so frequently recorded by travellers, and supposed by many to be reminiscences of that of Noah.

There are, indeed, some points of striking similarity between the deluge myths of Asia and America. It has been called a peculiarity of the latter that in them the person saved is always the first man. This, though not without exception, is certainly the general rule. But these first men were usually the highest deities known to their nations, the only creators of the world, and the guardians of the race.[2]

[1] Morse, *Rep. on the Ind. Tribes*, App. p. 346; D'Orbigny, *Frag. d'un Voyage dans l'Amér. Mérid.*, p. 512.

[2] When, as in the case of one of the Mexican Noahs, Coxcox, this does not seem to hold good, it is probably owing to a loss of the real form of the myth. Coxcox is also known by the name of Cipactli, Fish-god, and Huehue tonaca cipactli, Old Fish-god of Our Flesh.

Moreover, in an ancient Sanscrit legend of the flood in the Zatapatha Brahmana, Manu is also the first man, and by his own efforts creates offspring.[1]

A later Sanscrit work assigns to Manu the seven Richis or shining ones as companions. Seven was also the number of persons in the ark of Noah. Curiously enough one Mexican and one early Peruvian myth give out exactly seven individuals as saved in their floods.[2] This coincidence arises from the mystic powers attached to the number seven, derived from its frequent occurrence in astrology.

Proof of this appears by comparing the later and the older versions of this myth, either in the book of Genesis, where the latter is distinguished by the use of the word Elohim for Jehovah,[3] or the Sanscrit account in the Zatapatha Brahmana with those in the later Puranas. In both instances the number seven hardly or at all occurs in the oldest version, while it is constantly repeated in those of later dates.

In oriental mythology the seven planets are generally supposed to have conferred this sacredness on the heptad. This was not the case in America. Nor was it derived as a rule from the observation of celestial bodies. It was from terrestrial relations and mythically represented the objective universe or the All,

[1] The oldest Sanscrit reference to the flood-myth occurs in the Atharva Veda. Professor Hopkins is positive that it is indigenous to India, and not borrowed from Babylonian lore (*Religions of India*, p. 160, Boston, 1895).

[2] Prescott, *Conquest of Peru*, i. p. 88; *Codex Vaticanus*, No. 3776, in Kingsborough.

[3] And also various peculiarities of style and language lost in translation. The two accounts of the Deluge are given side by side in Dr. Smith's *Dictionary of the Bible* under the word Pentateuch.

being derived from the four quarters of the earth-plane, the zenith, the nadir, and the centre. This is shown clearly in the rituals of the Zuñis and other tribes. As thus typifying completion, it became intimately associated with the computations of the calendar in Mexico and Central America, and entered into numerous other divinatory and mythical relations, such as the seven ancestors or seven caves Chicomoztoc, from whom the Aztec claimed descent, the seven council fires of the Dakotas, the seven clans of the Cakchiquels, etc.[1]

As the mountain or rather mountain chain of Ararat was regarded with veneration wherever the Semitic accounts were known, so in America heights were pointed out with becoming reverence as those on which the few survivors of the dreadful scenes of the deluge were preserved. On the Red River near the village of the Caddoes was one of these, a small natural eminence, "to which all the Indian tribes for a great distance around pay devout homage," according to Dr. Sibley.[2] The Cerro Naztarny on the Rio Grande, the peak of Old Zuñi in New Mexico, that of Colhuacan on the Pacific coast, Mount Apoala in Upper Mixteca, and Mount Neba in the province of Guaymi, are some of many elevations asserted by the neighboring nations to have been places of refuge for their ancestors when the fountains of the great deep broke forth.

One of the Mexican traditions related by Torquemada identified this with the mountain of Tlaloc in the terrestrial paradise, and added that one of the seven demigods who escaped commenced the pyramid of

[1] Compare S. R. Riggs, *Dakota Grammar*, p. 187 (1893); *Annals of the Cakchiquels*, passim; Brinton, *Native Calendar*, p. 13.

[2] *American State Papers, Indian Affairs*, i. p. 729. Date of legend, about 1801.

Cholula in its memory. He intended that its summit should reach the clouds, but the gods, angry at his presumption, drove away the builders with lightning. This has a suspicious resemblance to Bible stories.

Equally fabulous was the retreat of the Araucanians. It was a three-peaked mountain which had the property of floating on water, called Theg-Theg, the Thunderer. This they believed would preserve them in the next as it did in the last cataclysm, and as its only inconvenience was that it approached too near the sun, they always kept on hand wooden bowls to use as parasols.[1]

The intimate connection that once existed between the myths of the deluge and those of the creation is illustrated by the part assigned to birds in so many of them. They fly to and fro over the waves ere any land appears, though they lose in great measure the significance of bringing it forth, attached to them in the cosmogonies as emblems of the divine spirit. The dove in the Hebrew account appears in that of the Algonkins as a raven, which Michabo sent out to search for land before the muskrat brought it to him from the bottom. A raven also in the Thlinkit and derived myths saved their ancestors from the general flood, and in this instance it is distinctly identified with the mighty thunder bird, who at the beginning ordered the earth from the depths. Prometheus-like, it brought fire from heaven, and saved them from a second death by cold.[2]

[1] Molina, *Hist. of Chili*, ii. p. 82.

[2] See Richardson, *Arctic Expedition*, p. 239; A. Krause, *Die Thlinkit Indianer*, chap. x.; A. G. Morice, in *Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada*, 1892, p. 124; the writings of Dr. Franz Boas, etc. The Kwakiutl called this mythic bird, *Kaneakeluh;* the Carriers, *Estas;* the Haidah, *Nikilstlas;* the Tshimshians, *Caugh.*

This wondrous bird *Yetl* was the central character of the myths of all the coast tribes from the Eskimos well into and beyond Vancouver Island; and under various names, but playing the same role in the mighty drama of the creation and destruction of things, was familiar to the Athapascan tribes far inland.

Precisely the same beneficent actions were attributed by the Natchez to the small red cardinal bird,[1] and by the Mandans and Cherokees an active participation in the event was assigned to wild pigeons. The Navajos and Aztecs thought that instead of being drowned by the waters the human race were tranformed into birds and thus escaped.

In all these and similar legends, the bird is a relic of the cosmogonal myth which explained the origin of the world from the action of the winds, under the image of the bird, on the primeval ocean.

The Mexican Codex Vaticanus No. 3738 represents after the picture of the deluge a bird perched on the summit of a tree, and at its foot men in the act of marching. This has been interpreted to mean that after the deluge men were dumb until a dove distributed to them the gift of speech. The New Mexican tribes related that all except the leader of those who escaped to the mountains lost the power of utterance by terror,[2] and the Quichés that the antediluvian race were "puppets, men of wood, without intelligence or language."

These stories, so closely resembling that of the confusion of tongues at the tower of Babel or Borsippa, are of doubtful authenticity. The first is an erroneous

[1] Dumont, *Mems. Hist. sur la Louisiane*, i. p. 163.
[2] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 686.

interpretation, as has been shown by Señor Ramirez, director of the Museum of Antiquities at Mexico. The name of the bird in the Aztec tongue was identical with the word *departure*, and this is its signification in the painting.[1]

Stories of giants in the days of old, figures of mighty proportions looming up through the mist of ages, are common property to every nation. The Mexicans and Peruvians had them as well as others, but their connection with the legends of the flood and the creation is incidental and secondary. Were the case otherwise, it would offer no additional point of similarity to the Hebrew myth, for the word rendered *giants* in the phrase, "and there were giants in those days," has no such meaning in the original. It is a blunder which crept into the Septuagint, and has been cherished ever since, along with so many others in the received text.

A few specimens will serve as examples of all these American flood myths. The Abbé Brasseur has translated one from the Codex Chimalpopoca, a work in the Nahuatl language of Ancient Mexico, written about half a century after the conquest. It is as follows:

"And this year was that of Ce-calli, and on the first day all was lost. The mountain itself was submerged in the water, and the water remained tranquil for fifty-two springs.

"Now towards the close of the year, Titlacahuan had forewarned the man named Nata and his wife named Nena, saying, 'Make no more pulque, but straightway hollow out a large cypress, and enter it when in the month Tozoztli the water shall approach the sky.' They entered it, and when Titlacahuan had closed the

[1] Desjardins, *Le Pérou avant la Conq. Espagn.*, p. 27.

door he said, 'Thou shalt eat but a single ear of maize, and thy wife but one also.'

"As soon as they had finished [eating], they went forth and the water was tranquil; for the log did not move any more; and opening it they saw many fish.

"Then they built a fire, rubbing together pieces of wood, and they roasted the fish. The gods Citlallinicue and Citlallatonac looking below exclaimed, 'Divine Lord, what means that fire below? Why do they thus smoke the heavens?'

"Straightway descended Titlacahuan Tezcatlipoca, and commenced to scold, saying, 'What is this fire doing here?' And seizing the fishes he moulded their hinder parts and changed their heads, and they were at once transformed into dogs."[1]

That found in the oft quoted legends of the Quichés is to this effect:—

"Then by the will of the Heart of Heaven the waters were swollen and a great flood came upon the manikins of wood. For they did not think nor speak of the Creator who had created them, and who had caused their birth. They were drowned, and a thick resin fell from heaven.

"The bird Xecotcovach tore out their eyes; the bird Camulatz cut off their heads; the bird Cotzbalam devoured their flesh; the bird Tecumbalam broke their bones and sinews and ground them into powder."[2]

"Because they had not thought of their Mother and

[1] Cod. Chimalpopoca, in Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, Pièces Justificatives.

[2] These four birds, whose names have lost their signification, represent doubtless the four winds, or the four rivers, which, as in so many legends, are the active agents in overwhelming the world in its great crises.

Father, the Heart of Heaven, whose name is Hurakan, therefore the face of the earth grew dark and a pouring rain commenced, raining by day, raining by night.

"Then all sorts of beings, little and great, gathered together to abuse the men to their faces; and all spoke, their mill-stones, their plates, their cups, their dogs, their hens.

"Said the dogs and hens, 'Very badly have you treated us, and you have bitten us. Now we bite you in turn.'

"Said the mill-stones, 'Very much were we tormented by you, and daily, daily, night and day, it was *squeak, squeak, screech, screech*, for your sake. Now yourselves shall feel our strength, and we will grind your flesh, and make meal of your bodies,' said the mill-stones.[1]

"And this is what the dogs said, 'Why did you not give us our food? No sooner did we come near than you drove us away, and the stick was always within reach when you were eating, because, forsooth, we were not able to talk. Now we will use our teeth and eat you,' said the dogs, tearing their faces.

"And the cups and dishes said, 'Pain and misery you gave us, smoking our tops and sides, cooking us over the fire, burning and hurting us as if we had no feeling.[2] Now it is your turn, and you shall burn,' said the cups insultingly.

[1] The word rendered mill-stones, in the original means those large hollowed stones called *metates* on which the women were accustomed to bruise the maize. The imitative sounds for which I have substituted others in English, are in Quiché, *holi, holi, huqui, huqui.*

[2] Brasseur translates "quoique nous ne sentissions rien," but Ximenes, "nos quemasteis, y sentimos el dolor." As far as I can make out the original, it is the negative conditional as I have given it in the text.

"Then ran the men hither and thither in despair. They climbed to the roofs of the houses, but the houses crumbled under their feet; they tried to mount to the tops of the trees, but the trees hurled them far from them; they sought refuge in the caverns, but the caverns shut before them.

"Thus was accomplished the ruin of this race, destined to be destroyed and overthrown; thus were they given over to destruction and contempt. And it is said that their posterity are those little monkeys who live in the woods."[1]

The Algonkin tradition has often been referred to. Many versions of it are extant, the oldest and most authentic of which is that translated from the Montagnais dialect by Father le Jeune, in 1634.

"One day as Messou was hunting, the wolves which he used as dogs entered a great lake and were detained there.

"Messou, looking for them everywhere, a bird said to him, 'I see them in the middle of this lake.'

"He entered the lake to rescue them, but the lake, overflowing its banks, covered the land and destroyed the world.

"Messou, very much astonished at this, sent out the raven to find a piece of earth wherewith to rebuild the land, but the bird could find none; then he ordered the otter to dive for some, but the animal returned empty; at last he sent down the muskrat, who came back with ever so small a piece, which, however, was enough for Messou to form the land on which we are.

"The trees having lost their branches, he shot arrows at their naked trunks, which became their

[1] *Le Livre Sacré*, p. 27; Ximenes, *Or. de los Indios*, p. 13.

limbs, revenged himself on those who had detained his wolves, and having married the muskrat, by it peopled the world."

Next may be given the meagre legend of the Tupis of Brazil, as heard by Hans Staden, a prisoner among them about 1550, and Coreal, a later voyager. Their ancient songs relate that a long time ago, a certain very powerful Mair, that is to say a stranger, who bitterly hated their ancestors, compassed their destruction by a violent inundation. Only a very few succeeded in escaping—some by climbing trees, others in caves. When the waters subsided the remnant came together, and by gradual increase populated the world.[1]

Or, it is narrated by an equally ancient authority as follows:—

"Monan (the Maker, the Begetter), without beginning or end, author of all that is, seeing the ingratitude of men, and their contempt for him who had made them thus joyous, withdrew from them, and sent upon them *tata*, the divine fire, which burned all that was on the surface of the earth. He swept about the fire in such a way that in places he raised mountains, and in others

[1] The American nations among whom a distinct and well-authenticated myth of the deluge was found are the Athapascas, Algonkins, Iroquois, Cherokees, Chikasaws, Caddos, Caraxas, Guaymis, Pumarys, Pawnees, Natchez, Dakotas, Apaches, Navajos, Mandans, Pueblo Indians, Aztecs, Mixtecs, Zapotecs, Tlascalans, Mechoacans, Toltecs, Nahuas, Mayas, Quiches, Haitians, natives of Darien and Popoyan, Muyscas, Quichuas, Tupinambas, Achaguas, Araucanians, and many others. The article by M. de Charency in the *Revue Américaine*, "*Le Deluge d'après les Traditions Indiennes de l'Amérique du Nord*," contains some valuable extracts, but offers for their existence no rational explanation. Andree's *Fluthsagen* quotes a number.

dug valleys. Of all men one alone, Irin Magé (the one who sees), was saved, whom Monan carried into the heaven. He, seeing all things destroyed, spoke thus to Monan: 'Wilt thou also destroy the heavens and their garniture? Alas! henceforth where will be our home? Why should I live, since there is none other of my kind?' Then Monan was so filled with pity that he poured a deluging rain on the earth, which quenched the fire, and, flowing from all sides, formed the ocean, which we call *parana*, the great waters."[1]

A reflection of this myth appears in that of the Mbocobis of Paraguay. The destruction of the world was due to the sun. This orb once fell from the sky, but a Mbocobi hastened to pick it up before it did any injury, and fastened it in its place with pegs. A second time it fell and burnt up the earth. Two of the tribe, a man and his wife, climbed a tree and escaped destruction, but a flash of flame reached them and they fell to the ground, where they were changed into monkeys.[2]

The Guaymis of Costa Rica, a tribe with South American affinities, told the story thus:

"Angered with the world, the mighty Noncomala poured over it a flood of water, killing every man and woman; but the kindly god Nubu had preserved the seed of a man, and when the waters had dried up he sowed it on the moist earth. From the best of it rose the race of men, and from that which was imperfect came the monkeys."[3]

[1] The original authority for this is Thevet. In other myths collected by Simon de Vasconcellos, *Tamandaré* is the Brazilian Noah. Barbosa Rodriguez gives that of the Pamerys, *Poranduba Amazonense*, p. 213.

[2] Guevara, *Hist. del Paraguay*, cap. xv.

[3] Pedro Melendez, *Tesoros Verdaderos de las Yndias*, I. p. 4.

In most of the true South American myths the peculiar machinery is that the god pours the water from a calabash or jar, while in North America he causes a lake or sea to overflow.[1]

In these narratives I have not attempted to soften the asperities nor conceal the childishness which runs through them. But there is no occasion to be astonished at these peculiarities, nor to found upon them any disadvantageous opinion of the mental powers of their authors and believers. We can go back to the cradle of our own race in Central Asia, and find traditions every whit as infantile. I cannot refrain from adding the earliest Aryan myth of the same great occurrence, as it is handed down to us in ancient Sanscrit literature. It will be seen that it is little, if at all, superior to those just rehearsed.

"Early in the morning they brought to Manu water to wash himself; when he had well washed, a fish came into his hands.

"It said to him these words: 'Take care of me; I will save thee.' 'What wilt thou save me from?' 'A deluge will sweep away all creatures; I wish thee to escape.' 'But how shall I take care of thee?'

"The fish said: 'While we are small there is more than one danger of death, for one fish swallows another. Thou must, in the first place, put me in a vase. Then, when I shall exceed it in size, thou must dig a deep ditch, and place me in it. When I grow too large for it, throw me in the sea, for I shall then be beyond the danger of death.'

"Soon it became a great fish; it grew, in fact, astonishingly. Then it said to Manu, 'In such a year the

[1] Cf. Paul Ehrenreich, *Die Karayastämme*, p. 41.

Deluge will come. Thou must build a vessel, and then pay me homage. When the waters of the Deluge mount up, enter the vessel. I will save thee.'

"When Manu had thus taken care of the fish, he put it in the sea. The same year that the fish had said, in that very year, having built the vessel, he paid the fish homage. Then the Deluge mounting, he entered the vessel. The fish swam near him. To its horn Manu fastened the ship's rope, with which the fish passed the Mountain of the North.

"The fish said, 'See! I have saved thee. Fasten the vessel to a tree, so that the water does not float thee onward when thou art on the mountain top. As the water decreases, thou wilt descend little by little.' Thus Manu descended gradually. Therefore to the mountain of the north remains the name, Descent of Manu. The Deluge had destroyed all creatures; Manu survived alone."[1]

Hitherto I have spoken only of the last convulsion which swept over the face of the globe, and of but one cycle which preceded the present. Most of the more savage tribes contented themselves with this, but it is instructive to observe how, as they advanced in culture, and the mind dwelt more intently on the great problems of Life and Time, they were impelled to remove further and further the dim and mysterious Beginning.

The Peruvians imagined that *two* destructions had taken place, the first by a famine, the second by a flood—according to some a few only escaping—but,

[1] Felix Nève, *La Tradition Indienne du Deluge;* also Hopkins, *The Religions of India*, p. 214. The original is in the Çatapatha Brahmana. There is in the oldest versions no distinct reference to an antediluvian race, and in India Manu is by common consent the Adam as well as the Noah of their legends.

after the more widely accepted opinion, accompanied by the absolute extirpation of the race. Three eggs, which dropped from heaven, hatched out the present race; one of gold, from which came the priests; one of silver, which produced the warriors; and the last of copper, source of the common people.[1]

The Mayas of Yucatan increased the previous worlds by one, making the present the *fourth*. Two cycles had terminated by devastating plagues. They were called "the sudden deaths," for it was said so swift and mortal was the pest, that the buzzards and other foul birds dwelt in the houses of the cities, and ate the bodies of their former owners. The third closed either by a hurricane, which blew from all four of the cardinal points at once, or else, as others said, by an inundation, which swept across the world, swallowing all things in its mountainous surges.[2]

As might be expected, the vigorous intellects of the

[1] Avendaño, *Sermones* (Lima, 1648), in Rivero and Tschudi, *Peruv. Antiqs.*, p. 114. In the year 1600, Oñate found on the coast of California a tribe whose idol held in one hand a shell containing three eggs, in the other an ear of maize, while before it was placed a cup of water. Vizcaino, who visited the same people a few years afterwards, mentions that they kept in their temples tame ravens, and looked upon them as sacred birds (Torquemada, *Mon. Ind.*, lib. v. cap. 40). Thus, in all parts of the continent do we find the bird, as a symbol of the clouds, associated with the rains and the harvests.

[2] The deluge was called *hun yecil*, which, according to Cogolludo, means *the inundation of the trees*, for all the forests were swept away (*Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. 5). Bishop Landa adds, to substantiate the legend, that all the woods of the peninsula appear as if they had been planted at one time, and that to look at them one would say they had been trimmed with scissors (*Rel. de las Cosas de Yucatan*, 58, 60).

Aztecs impressed upon this myth a fixity of outline nowhere else met with on the continent, and wove it intimately into their astrological reveries and religious theories. Unaware of its prevalence under more rudimentary forms throughout the continent, Alexander von Humboldt observed that, "of all the traits of analogy which can be pointed out between the monuments, manners, and traditions of Asia and America, the most striking is that offered by the Mexican mythology in the cosmogonical fiction of the periodical destructions and regenerations of the universe."[1] Yet it is but the same fiction that existed elsewhere, somewhat more definitely outlined.

There exists great discrepancy between the different authorities, both as to the number of Aztec ages or Suns, as they were called, their durations, their terminations, and their names. The preponderance of testimony is in favor of *four* antecedent cycles, the present being the *fifth*. The interval from the first creation to the commencement of the present epoch, owing to the equivocal meaning of the numeral signs expressing it in the picture writings, may have been either 15,228, 2316, or 1404 solar years. Why these numbers should have been chosen, no one has guessed. It has been looked for in combinations of numbers connected with the calendar, but so far in vain.[2]

While most authorities agree as to the character of the destructions which terminated the suns, they vary much as to their sequence. Water, winds, fire, and hunger, are the agencies, and in one Codex (Vaticanus)

[1] *Vues des Cordillères*, p. 202.

[2] The most careful modern study of the Aztec Ages or Suns is that by Dr. Ed. Seler (Berlin, 1895).

occur in this order. Gama gives the sequence, hunger, winds, fire, and water; Humboldt, hunger, fire, winds, and water; Boturini, water, hunger, winds, fire. As the cycle ending by a famine is called the Age of Earth, Ternaux-Compans, the distinguished French *Américaniste*, has imagined that the four Suns correspond mystically to the domination exercised in turn over the world by its four constituent elements. But proof is wanting that Aztec philosophers knew the theory on which this explanation reposes.

Baron Humboldt suggested that the suns were "fictions of mythological astronomy, modified either by obscure reminiscences of some great revolution suffered by our planet, or by physical hypotheses, suggested by the sight of marine petrifactions and fossil remains,"[1] while the Abbé Brasseur, in his works on ancient Mexico, interprets them as exaggerated references to historical events.

As no solution can be accepted not equally applicable to the same myth as it appears in Yucatan, Peru, and the hunting tribes, and to the exactly parallel teachings of the Edda,[2] the Stoics, the Celts, and the Brahmans, both of these must be rejected. And although the Hindoo legend is so close to the Aztec, that it, too, defines four ages, each terminating by a general catas-

[1] *Vues des Cordillères*, ii. p. 118, sq.

[2] The Scandinavians believed the universe had been destroyed nine times :—

Ni Verdener yeg husker,
Og ni Himle,

says the Voluspa (i. 2, in Klee, *Le Deluge*, p. 220). I observe some English writers have supposed from these lines that the Northmen believed in the existence of nine abodes for the blessed. Such is not the sense of the original.

trophe, and each catastrophe exactly the same in both,[1] yet this is not at all indicative of a derivation from one original, but simply an illustration how the human mind, under the stimulus of the same intellectual cravings, produces like results. What these cravings are has already been shown.

The reason for adopting four ages, thus making the present the fifth, probably arose from the sacredness of that number in general, as connected with the four cardinal points, the four quarters of the world or space, and hence an assumed fourfold period of time or duration; but directly, because this was the number of secular days in the Mexican week. A parallel is offered by the Hebrew narrative. In it six epochs or days precede the seventh or present cycle, in which the creative power rests. This latter corresponded to the Jewish Sabbath, the day of repose; and in the Mexican calendar each fifth day was also a day of repose, employed in marketing and pleasure.

Doubtless the theory of the Ages of the world was long in vogue among the Aztecs before it received the definite form in which we now have it; and as this was acquired long after the calendar was fixed, it is every way probable that the latter was used as a guide to the former. Echevarria, a good authority on such matters, says the number of the Suns was agreed upon at a congress of astrologists, within the memory of tradition.[2]

Now in the calendar, these signs occur in the order,

[1] At least this is the doctrine of one of the Shastas. The race, it teaches, has been destroyed four times; first by water, secondly by winds, thirdly the earth swallowed them, and lastly fire consumed them (Sepp, *Heidenthum und Christenthum*, i. p. 191).

[2] Echevarria y Veitia, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. i. cap. 4.

earth, air, water, fire, corresponding to the days distinguished by the symbols house, rabbit, reed, and flint. This sequence, commencing with Tochtli (rabbit, air), is that given as that of the Suns in the Codex Chimalpopoca, translated by Brasseur, though it seems a taint of European teaching, when it is added that on the *seventh* day of the creation man was formed.[1]

Neither Jews nor Aztecs, nor indeed any American nation, appear to have supposed, with some of the old philosophers, that the present was an exact repetition of previous cycles,[2] but rather that each was an improvement on the preceding, a step in endless progress. Nor did either connect these beliefs with astronomical reveries of a great year, defined by the return of the heavenly bodies to one relative position in the heavens. The latter seems characteristic of the realism of Europe, the former of the idealism of the Orient; both inconsistent with the meagre astronomy and more scanty metaphysics of the red race.

The expectation of *the end of the world* is a natural complement to the belief in periodical destructions of our globe. As at certain times past the equipoise of nature was lost, and the elements breaking the chain of laws that bound them ran riot over the universe, involving all life in one mad havoc and desolation, so in the future we have to expect that day of doom, when the ocean tides shall obey no shore, but overwhelm the continents with their mountainous billows,

[1] Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, iii. p. 495.

[2] The contrary has indeed been inferred from such expressions of the writer of the book of Ecclesiastes as, "that which hath been, is now, and that which is to be, hath already been" (chap. iii. 15), and the like, but they are susceptible of an application entirely subjective.

or the fire, now chafing in volcanic craters and smoking springs, will leap forth on the forests and grassy meadows, wrapping all things in a winding sheet of flame, and melting the very elements with fervid heat.

Then, in the language of the Norse prophetess, "shall the sun grow dark, the land sink in the waters, the bright stars be quenched, and high flames climb heaven itself."[1] These fearful forebodings have cast their dark shadow on every literature. The seeress of the north does but paint in wilder colors the terrible pictures of Seneca,[2] and the sibyl of the capitol only re-echoes the inspired predictions of Malachi. Well has the Christian poet said:—

> Dies iræ, dies illa,
> Solvet sæclum in favillâ,
> Teste David cum Sibylâ.

Savage races, isolated in the impenetrable forests of another continent, could not escape this fearful looking for of destruction to come. It oppressed their souls like a weight of lead. On the last night of each cycle of fifty-two years, the Aztecs extinguished every fire, and proceeded, in solemn procession, to some sacred spot. Then the priests, with awe and trembling, sought to kindle a new fire by friction. Momentous was the endeavor, for did it fail, their fathers had taught them on the morrow no sun would rise, and darkness, death, and the waters would descend forever on this beautiful world.

The same terror inspired the Peruvians at every eclipse, for some day, taught the Amautas, the shadow

[1] Voluspa, xiv. 51, in Klee, *Le Deluge*.
[2] *Natur. Quæstiones*, iii. cap. 27.

will veil the sun forever, and land, moon, and stars will be wrapt in a devouring conflagration to know no regeneration; or a drought will wither every herb of the field, suck up the waters, and leave the race to perish to the last creature; or the moon will fall from her place in the heavens and involve all things in her own ruin, a figure of speech meaning that the waters would submerge the land.[1]

In that dreadful day, thought the Algonkins, when in anger Michabo will send a mortal pestilence to destroy the nations, or, stamping his foot on the ground, flames will burst forth to consume the habitable land, only a pair, or only, at most, those who have maintained inviolate the institutions he ordained, will he protect and preserve to inhabit the new world he will then fabricate. Therefore they do not speak of this catastrophe as the end of the world, but use one of those nice grammatical distinctions so frequent in American aboriginal languages, and which can only be imitated, not interpreted, in ours, signifying "when it will be near its end," "when it will no longer be available for man."[2]

An ancient prophecy handed down from their ancestors warns the Winnebagoes that their nation shall be annihilated at the close of the thirteenth generation. Ten have already passed, and that now living has appointed ceremonies to propitiate the powers of heaven, and mitigate its stern decree.[3] Well may they be about it, for there is a gloomy probability that the warning came from no false prophet.

[1] Velasco, *Hist. du Royaume du Quito*, p. 105; Navarrete, *Viages*, iii. p. 444.

[2] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1637, p. 54; Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, i. p. 319, iv. p. 420.

[3] Schoolcraft, ibid., iv. p. 240.

Few tribes were destitute of such presentiments. The Chikasaw, the Mandans of the Missouri, the Pueblo Indians of New Mexico, the Muyscas of Bogota, the Botocudos of Brazil, the Araucanians of Chili, have been asserted on testimony that leaves no room for scepticism, to have entertained such forebodings from immemorial time.

Enough for the purpose if the list is closed with the prediction of a Maya priest, cherished by the inhabitants of Yucatan long before the Spaniard desolated their stately cities. It is one of those preserved by Father Lizana, curé of Itzamal, and of which he gives the original. Other witnesses inform us that this nation "had a tradition that the world would end,"[1] and probably, like the Greeks and Aztecs, they supposed the gods would perish with it.

> "At the close of the ages, it hath been decreed,
> Shall perish and vanish each weak god of men,
> And the world shall be purged with a ravening fire.
> Happy the man in that terrible day,
> Who bewails with contrition the sins of his life,
> And meets without flinching the fiery ordeal."[2]

[1] Cogolludo, *Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. 7.

[2] The Spanish of Lizana is—

> "En la ultima edad, segun esta determinado,
> Avra fin el culto de dioses vanos;
> Y el mundo sera purificado con fuego.
> El que esto viere sara llamado dichoso
> Si con dolor llorarè sus pecados."

(*Hist. de Nuestra Señora de Itzamal*, in Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, p. 603.)

CHAPTER VIII.

THE ORIGIN OF MAN.

Usually man is the EARTH-BORN, both in language and myths.—The Earth-Mother.—Illustrations from the legends of the Caribs, Apalachians, Iroquois, Quichuas, Aztecs, and others.—The underworld.—Man the product of one of the primal creative powers, the Spirit or the Water, in the myths of the Athapascas, Eskimos, Moxos, and others. Not literally derived from an inferior species.

NO man can escape the importunate question, Whence am I? The first replies framed to meet it possess an interest to the thoughtful mind, beyond that of mere fables. They illustrate the position in creation claimed by our race, and the early workings of self-consciousness. Often the oldest terms for man are synopses of these replies, and merit a more than passing contemplation.

The seed is hidden in the earth. Warmed by the sun, watered by the rain, presently it bursts its dark prison-house, unfolds its delicate leaves, blossoms, and matures its fruit. Its work done, the earth draws it to itself again, resolves the various structures into their original mould, and the unending round recommences.

This is the marvellous process that struck the primitive mind. Out of the Earth rises life, to it it returns. She it is who guards all germs, nourishes all beings. The Aztecs painted her as a woman with countless breasts, the Peruvians called her Mama Allpa, mother

earth; the Caribs addressed her as Mama Nono, "the good mother from whom all things come."[1] In the Algonkin dialects the word for earth, *ohke*, is derived from the same radical as mother and father, a verbal which means to come forth from.[2] So in the creation myths of the Zuñis we read of the "Fourfold containing Mother Earth," and of "Earth with her fourfold Womb."[3]

In the legends of the Dakotas, the female Unktahe, the invisible powers which conduct the motions of the world, dwell in the earth. It was they, indeed, who first lifted it to the surface of the primeval waters and fitted it for habitable land. They are still its vitalizers, and their cult is connected with that of the reproductive powers and the *lingam* symbol.[4]

In the legends of the western Algonkins the earth is spoken of by the tender word Nokomis, my grandmother, and from her fertile womb issued all nations of the world.

It was a curious result of this myth of the Earth-Mother that led the Passes of Brazil to the surprising conclusion that the earth moves around the sun! It is a great creature, said they, the rivers and streams are its bloodvessels, and it turns itself, first one side then the other to the sun, that it may keep itself warm.[5]

Distinctly related to the notion of the earth as the mother and matrix of men and animals was the reverse of the concept, to wit, that which regarded her as the tomb as well as the womb of all.

[1] Rochefort, *Hist. des Isles Antilles*, p. 469.
[2] Trumbull, note to Roger Williams, *Lang. of America*, p. 56.
[3] Cushing, *Creation Myths of the Zuñis*, p. 379.
[4] Riggs in Dorsey, *Siouan Cults*, pp. 438, 534.
[5] Martius, *Ethnog. und Sprach. Amerikas*, p. 508.

In the esoteric language of the Nagualists of Mexico which preserved in later days the national religion, the earth was invoked as Tonan, Our Mother, and as "the flower which contains all flowers," for from her prolific breast all come forth; but another and ominous one of her titles was, "The mouth which eats all mouths;" for she it is that at last eats all eaters.[1]

Those of Tezcuco therefore painted her in their sacred books under the figure of a wild beast with mouths at every joint, dripping with blood; for, said they, she it is who eats and swallows all things. One of her names was Ilama, "The Old Woman," to whom a woman victim was sacrificed at night, with tears and grief, for the earth-mother will be the grave of all that breathes.[2] How appropriate the name was to the native mind is seen in the Quichua language of Peru, where our expression, "to grow old," is rendered by *allpa-way*, "to become earthen," "to change to earth,"[3] and unwittingly, how correctly does it express that gradual increase of inorganic matter in the system which is the physiological cause of senile changes!

With almost the same imagery the Creeks in their national legend say that "the Earth ate up the children of the ancestors;" and they add that when the day of the final extinction of their nation shall arrive, they will disappear in "the navel of the earth," returning whence they came.[4] In the Mayan theogony the earth

[1] De la Serna, *Manual de Ministros*, p. 223.

[2] Torquemada, *Monarquia Indiana*, lib. vi. c. 44; lib. x. c. 29, etc.

[3] Middendorf, *Keshua Wörterbuch*, s. v. *allpa*.

[4] Gatschet, *Migration Legend of Creeks*, ii. 27. He cites a similar belief of the Klamaths. In Aztec legend, the temple Tlalxicco, "the Navel of the Earth," was supposed to be the entrance to the underworld of the dead (Torquemada, *Mon. Indiana*, lib. viii. cap. 12).

is, indeed, the common ancestress of the race of men; but her usual name is Ix-mucane, "the woman who buries" all things.

From the womb of the earth, therefore, figuratively or literally, did man, in the primitive thought of many races, proceed and emerge. *Homo, Adam, chamaigenēs*, what do all these words mean but the earth-born, the son of the soil, repeated in the poetic language of Attica in *anthropos*, "he who springs up as a flower?"

The word that corresponds to the Latin[1] *homo* in American languages has such singular uniformity in so many of them, that we might be tempted to regard it as a fragment of some ancient and common tongue, their parent stem. In the Eskimo it is *inuk, innuk*, plural *innuit;* in Athapasca it is *dinni, tenné;* in Pima, *tinot;* in Algonkin, *inini, lenni, inwi;* in Iroquois, *onwi, eniha;* in the Otomi of Mexico, *n-aniehe;* in Zapotec, *beni;* in the Maya, *inic, winic, winak;*—all in North America, and the number might be extended.

Of these only the last mentioned can plausibly be traced to a radical (unless the Iroquois *onwi* is from *onnha* life, *onnhe* to live). This Father Ximenes derives from *win*, meaning to grow, to gain, to increase,[2] in which the analogy to vegetable life is not far off, an analogy strengthened by the myth of that stock, which relates that the first of men were formed of the flour of maize.[3]

[1] From the root *ava, avω*, up, upward. The derivation is as likely as any other offered.

[2] *Vocabulario Quiche*, s. v., ed. Brasseur (Paris, 1862).

[3] The Eskimo *innuk*, man, means also a possessor or owner; the yolk of an egg; and the pus of an abscess (Egede, *Nachrichten von Grönland*, p. 106). From it is derived *innuwok*, to live, life. Probably *innuk* also means the *semen masculinum*, and in its identifica-

In many other instances religious legend carries out this idea. The mythical ancestor of the Caribs created his offspring by sowing the soil with stones or with the fruit of the Mauritius palm, which sprouted forth into men and women,[1] while the Yurucares clothed this crude tenet in a somewhat more poetic form, fabling that at the beginning the first of men were pegged, Ariel-like, in the knotty entrails of an enormous bole, until the god Tiri—a second Prospero—released them by cleaving it in twain.[2]

As in oriental legends the origin of man from the earth was veiled under the story that he was the progeny of some mountain fecundated by the embrace of Mithras or Jupiter, so the Indians often pointed to some height or some cavern, as the spot whence the first of men issued, adult and armed, from the womb of the All-mother Earth. The oldest name of the Alleghany Mountains is Paemotinck or Pemolnick, an Algonkin word, the meaning of which is said to be "the origin of the Indians."[3]

tion with pus, may not there be the solution of that strange riddle which in so many myths of the West Indies and Central America makes the first of men to be "the purulent one?" (See ante, p. 158.) In the Chipeway dialect the verb *miniw* means "I have a running sore," and "I beget." (Baraga, *Otchipwe Dict.*)

[1] Müller, *Amer. Urrelig.*, pp. 109, 229.

[2] D'Orbigny, *Frag. d'une Voy. dans l'Amér. Mérid.*, p. 512. It is still a mooted point whence Shakespeare drew the plot of The Tempest. The coincidence mentioned in the text between some parts of it and South American mythology does not stand alone. Caliban, the savage and brutish native of the island, is undoubtedly the word Carib, often spelt Caribani, and Calibani in older writers; and his "dam's god Setebos" was the supreme divinity of the Patagonians when first visited by Magellan. (Pigafetta, *Viaggio intorno al Globo*, Germ. Trans.: Gotha, 1801, p. 217.)

[3] Both Lederer and John Bartram assign it this meaning. Gal-

The Witchitas, who dwelt on the Red River among the mountains named after them, have a tradition that their progenitors issued from the rocks about their homes,[1] the Blackfoot legends point for the origin of their class to Nina Stahu, "chief of mountains," a bold, square-topped peak of the Rocky Mountains near Lake Omaxeen, and many other tribes, the Tahkalis, Navajos, Coyoteros, and the Haitians, for instance, set up this claim to be autochthones.

Most writers have interpreted this simply to mean that they knew nothing at all about their origin, or that they coined these fables merely to strengthen the title to the territory they inhabited when they saw the whites eagerly snatching it away on every pretext. No doubt there is some truth in this, but if they be carefully sifted, there is sometimes a deep psychological significance in these myths, which has hitherto escaped the observation of students. An instance presents itself in our own country.

All those tribes, the Creeks, Seminoles, Choctaws, Chicasaws, and Natchez, who, according to tradition, were in remote times banded into one common confederacy under the headship of the last mentioned, unanimously located their earliest ancestry near an artificial eminence in the valley of the Big Black River, in the Natchez country, whence they pretended to have emerged.

latin gives in the Powhatan dialect the word for mountain as *pomottinke*, doubtless another form of the same. This curious relationship is beautifully illustrated in the Lenape dialect. In it, *pemauchsoheen*, is "to cause to live;" *pemhakamik*, the earth; *pemhakamixit*, all living creatures; *pemhakamixitschik*, mankind. Brinton and Anthony, *Lenâpé-English Dictionary*, p. 112.

[1] Marcy, *Exploration of the Red River*, p. 69.

Fortunately we have a description, though a brief one, of this interesting monument from the pen of an intelligent traveller. It is described as "an elevation of earth about half a mile square and fifteen or twenty feet high. From its northeast corner a wall of equal height extends for near half a mile to the high land."

This was the Nunne Chaha or Nunne Hamgeh, the High Hill, or the Bending Hill, famous in Choctaw stories, and which Captain Gregg found they have not yet forgotten in their western home. The legend was that in its centre was a cave, the house of the Master of Breath. Here he made the first men from the clay around him, and as at that time the waters covered the earth, he raised the wall to dry them on. When the soft mud had hardened into elastic flesh and firm bone, he banished the waters to their channels and beds, and gave the dry land to his creatures.[1] The Muskokis call this mountain "King of Mountains," or "King of the Land," *rvne em mekko.*

It is at first sight astonishing with what uniformity the traditional lore of tribes widely sundered in North and South America repeat the story of the early men climbing up from the underworld; with what almost monotony their religions refer to the earth as the mother of living creatures as well as of the vegetable kingdom. But the explanation which would cite these similarities as examples of "borrowing," or of the "diffusion of myths," is not merely without historic support, but misses in this study the most precious fruit it brings to the science of man—the proof of his psychological unity.

[1] Compare Romans, *Hist. of Florida*, pp. 78, 71; Adair, *Hist. of the North Am. Indians*, p. 195; and Gregg, *Commerce of the Prairies*, ii. p. 235. The description of the mound is by Major Heart, in the *Trans. of the Am. Philos. Soc.*, iii. p. 216.

It is easy to multiply examples. We may turn, for instance, to the legends of the Iroquois of the north. They with one consent, if we may credit the account of Cusic, looked to a mountain near the falls of the Oswego River in the State of New York, as the locality where their forefathers first saw the light of day, and that they had some such legend the name Oneida, people of the Stone, would seem to testify.

The cave of Pacari Tampu, the Lodgings of the Dawn, was five leagues distant from Cuzco, surrounded by a sacred grove and inclosed with temples of great antiquity. From its hallowed recesses the mythical civilizers of Peru, the first of men, emerged, and in it during the time of the flood, the remnants of the race escaped the fury of the waves.[1] Viracocha himself is said to have dwelt there, though it hardly needed this evidence to render it certain that this consecrated cavern is but a localization of the general myth of the dawn rising from the deep. It refers us for its prototype to the Aymara allegory of the morning light flinging its beams like snow-white foam athwart the waves of Lake Titicaca.

An ancient legend of the Aztecs derived their nation from a place called Chicomoztoc, the Seven Caverns, located north of Mexico. Antiquaries have indulged in all sorts of speculations as to what this means. Sahagun explains it as a valley so named; Clavigero supposes it to have been a city; Hamilton Smith, and after him Schoolcraft, construed caverns to be a figure of speech for the *boats* in which the early Americans paddled across from Asia (!); the Abbé Brasseur confounds it with Aztlan, and very many have discovered

[1] Balboa, *Hist. du Pérou*, p. 4.

in it a distinct reference to the fabulous "seven cities of Cibola" and the Casas Grandes, ruins of large buildings of unburnt brick in the valley of the River Gila. From this story arose the supposed sevenfold division of the Nahuas, a division which never existed except in the imagination of Europeans.

When Torquemada adds that *seven* hero gods ruled in Chicomoztoc and were the progenitors of all its inhabitants, when one of them turns out to be Xelhua, the giant who with six others escaped the flood by ascending the mountain of Tlaloc in the terrestrial paradise and afterwards built the pyramid of Cholula, and when we remember that in one of the flood-myths *seven* persons were said to have escaped the waters, the whole narrative acquires a fabulous aspect that shuts it out from history, and brands it as one of those fictions of the origin of man from the earth so common to the race.

Fictions yet truths; for caverns and hollow trees were in fact the houses and temples of our first parents, and from them they went forth to conquer and adorn the world; and from the inorganic constituents of the soil acted on by Light, touched by Divine Force, vivified by the Spirit, did in reality the first of men proceed.

This cavern, which thus dimly lingered in the memories of nations, frequently expanded to a nether world, imagined to underlie this of ours, and still inhabited by beings of our kind, who have never been lucky enough to discover its exit.

According to a myth extensively disseminated among the Caribs, Arawacks, Warraus, Carayas and other South American tribes, in the beginning of things sky and earth were as one, and man abode within the earth in a joyous realm, where death and disease were un-

known, and even the trees never rotted but lived on forever. One day the ruler of that happy realm walking forth discovered the surface of the world as we know it, but returning warned his people that though sunlight was there, so also were decay and death. Some, however, went thither, and the present unhappy race of men are their descendants, while others still dwell in gladness far below.[1]

The Mandans and Minnetarees on the Missouri River supposed this exit was near a certain hill in their territory, and as it had been, as it were, the womb of the earth, the same power was attributed to it that in ancient times endowed certain shrines with such charms; and thither the barren wives of their nation made frequent pilgrimages when they would become mothers.[2]

The Mandans added the somewhat puerile fable that the means of ascent had been a grapevine, by which many ascended and descended, until one day an immoderately fat old lady, anxious to get a look at the upper earth, broke it with her weight, and prevented any further communication. Yet even this detail recurs with precise parallelism in the legends of the Warraus, who live a semi-aquatic life at the mouths of the Orinoco.[3]

Such tales of an under-world are very frequent among the Indians, and are a very natural outgrowth of the literal belief that the race is earth-born.

Man is indeed like the grass that springs up and soon withers away; but he is also more than this. The

[1] Ehrenreich, *Die Karayastämme*, p. 39.

[2] Long's *Expedition to the Rocky Mountains*, i. p. 274; Catlin's *Letters*, i. p. 178.

[3] Im Thurn, *Indians of Guiana*, p. 377.

quintessence of dust, he is a son of the gods as well as a son of the soil. He is a direct product of the great creative power; therefore the Northwest Coast Indians and the Athapascan tribes west of the Rocky Mountains—the Kenai, the Kolushes, and the Atnai—claim descent from a raven—from that same mighty cloud-bird, Yetl, already referred to, who in the beginning of things seized the elements and brought the world from the abyss of the primitive ocean.

The Athabascans, situate more eastwardly, the Dog-ribs, the Chepewyans, the Hare Indians, and also the west coast Eskimos, and the natives of the Aleutian Isles, all believe that they have sprung from a dog.[1] The latter animal, we have already seen, both in the old and new world was the fixed symbol of the water goddess. Therefore in these myths, which are found over so many thousand square leagues, we cannot be in error in perceiving a reflex of their cosmogonical traditions already discussed, in which from the winds and the waters, represented here under their emblems of the bird and the dog, all animate life proceeded.

Without this symbolic coloring, a tribe to the south of them, a band of the Minnetarees, had the crude tradition that their first progenitor emerged from the waters, bearing in his hand an ear of maize,[2] very much as Viracocha and his companions rose from the sacred waves of Lake Titicaca, or as the Moxos imagined that they were descended from the lakes and river on whose banks their villages were situated.

These myths, and many others, hint of general con-

[1] Richardson, *Arctic Expedition*, pp. 239, 247. It takes the place of the coyote in the myths of California. Stephen Powers, *Indians of California*, cites many.

[2] Long, *Exped to the Rocky Mountains*, i. p. 326.

ceptions of life and the world, wide-spread theories of things, such as we are not accustomed to expect among savage nations, such as may very excusably excite a doubt as to their native origin, but a doubt infallibly dispelled by a careful comparison of the best authorities. Is it that hitherto, in the pride of intellectual culture, we have never done justice to the thinking faculties of those whom we call barbarians? Or shall we accept the alternative, that these are the unappreciated heirlooms bequeathed a rude race by a period of higher civilization, long since extinguished by constant wars and ceaseless fear? Or that they have been passed from hand to hand to America from the famed and ancient centres of civilization in Asia and Egypt?[1]

With almost unanimous consent the latter has been accepted as the true solution, but rather from the preconceived theory of a state of primitive civilization from which man fell, than from ascertained facts. Let us rather prefer that explanation which has been previously urged in these pages, that the faculties of the races of men differ little, that in dealing with the problems of the unknown their resources were limited, and that often they reached the same conceptions about it, and embodied them under the same or similar figures of speech, myths and stories.

[1] I believe that most students who have long and deeply studied the psychology of the American aborigines of almost any tribe will agree with these words of H. R. Schoolcraft:—"There is a subtlety in some of their modes of thought and belief on life and the existence of spiritual and creative power, which would seem to have been eliminated from some intellectual crucible without the limits of their present sphere" (*Oneota*, p. 131). It is difficult for the civilized man to concede equal intellectual faculties to those whom he knows are beneath him in acquirements, so that it at first requires an effort to accept this statement.

It would, perhaps, be pushing symbolism too far to explain as an emblem of the primitive waters the coyote, which, according to the Root-Diggers of California, brought their ancestors into the world; or to the wolf, which the Lenni Lenape pretended released mankind from the dark bowels of the earth by scratching away the soil. They should rather be interpreted by the curious custom of the Tonkaways, a wild people in Texas, of predatory and unruly disposition. They celebrate their origin by a grand annual dance. One of them, naked as he was born, is buried in the earth. The others, clothed in wolf skins, walk over him, snuff around him, howl in lupine style, and finally dig him up with their nails. The leading wolf then solemnly places a bow and arrow in his hands, and to his inquiry as to what he must do for a living, paternally advises him "to do as the wolves do—rob, kill, and murder, rove from place to place, and never cultivate the soil."[1] Most wise and fatherly counsel!

But what is there new under the sun? Three thousand years ago the Hirpini, or Wolves, an ancient Sabine tribe, were wont to collect on Mount Soracte, and there go through certain rites in memory of an oracle which predicted their extinction when they ceased to gain their living as wolves by violence and plunder. Therefore they dressed in wolf-skins, ran with barks and howls over burning coals, and gnawed wolfishly whatever they could seize.[2]

Though hasty writers have often said that the Indian tribes claim literal descent from different wild beasts, probably in many instances, as in these, this will prove,

[1] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 683.

[2] Schwarz, *Ursprung der Mythologie*, 121; F. Granger, *The Worship of the Romans*, p. 112.

on examination, to be an error resting on a misapprehension arising from the habit of the natives of adopting as their totem or clan-mark the figure and name of some animal, or else, in an ignorance of the animate symbols employed with such marked preference by the red race, to express abstract ideas. The totemic animal is, to the native mind, by no means identical in traits with a member of the existing species.

In some cases, doubtless, the natives themselves came, in time, to confound the symbol with the idea, by that familiar process of personification and consequent debasement exemplified in the history of every religion; but I do not believe that a single example could be found where an Indian tribe had a tradition whose real purport was that man came by natural process of descent from an ancestor, a brute, regarded merely as such.

The reflecting mind will not be offended at the contradictions in these different myths, for a myth is, in one sense, a theory of natural phenomena expressed in the form of a narrative. Often several explanations seem equally satisfactory for the same fact, and the mind hesitates to choose, and rather accepts them all than rejects any. Then, again, an expression current as a metaphor by-and-by crystallizes into a dogma, and becomes the nucleus of a new mythological growth. These are familiar processes to one versed in such studies, and involve no logical contradiction, because they are never required to be reconciled.

CHAPTER IX.

THE SOUL AND ITS DESTINY.

Universality of the belief in a soul and a future state shown by the aboriginal tongues, by expressed opinions, and by sepulchral rites.—The seat of the soul.—The "name soul."—The future world never a place of rewards and punishments.—The house of the Sun the heaven of the red man.—The terrestrial paradise and the under-world.—Cupay.—Xibalba.—Mictlan.—Metempsychosis.—Preservation of Bones.—Mummies.—Belief in a resurrection of the dead almost universal.

THE missionary Charlevoix wrote several excellent works on America toward the beginning of the last century, and he is often quoted by later authors; but probably no one of his sayings has been thus honored more frequently than this: "The belief the best established among our Americans is that of the immortality of the soul."[1] His statement is emphatically supported by the expression of one of the acutest living students of American aboriginal thought when he says of the Indian: "He *knows* he will not die."[2]

The tremendous stake that every one of us has on the truth of this dogma makes it quite a satisfaction to be persuaded that no man is willing to live wholly without it. Certainly exceptions are very rare, and most of those which materialistic philosophers have

[1] *Journal Historique*, p. 351 (Paris, 1740).

[2] Von den Steinen, *Naturvölker Zentral-Braziliens*, p. 348.

taken such pains to collect, rest on misunderstandings or superficial observation.

In the New World I know of only one well authenticated instance where the notion of a future state appears to have been entirely wanting, and this in quite a small clan, the Lower Pend d'Oreilles, of Oregon. This people had no burial ceremonies, no notion of a life hereafter, no word for soul, spiritual existence, or vital principle. They thought that when they died, that was the last of them. The Catholic missionaries who undertook the unpromising task of converting them to Christianity, were at first obliged to depend upon the imperfect translations of half-breed interpreters. These "made the idea of soul intelligible to their hearers by telling them they had a gut which never rotted, and that this was their living principle!" Yet even they were not destitute of religious notions. No tribe was more addicted to the observance of charms, omens, dreams, and guardian spirits, and they believed that illness and bad luck generally were the effects of the anger of a fabulous old woman.[1]

The aborigines of the Californian peninsula were as near beasts as men ever become. The missionaries likened them to "herds of swine, who neither worshipped the true and only God, nor adored false deities." Yet they must have had some vague notion of an afterworld, for the writer who paints the darkest picture of their condition remarks, "I saw them frequently putting shoes on the feet of the dead, which seems to indicate that they entertain the idea of a journey after death."[2]

[1] *Rep. of the Commissioner of Ind. Affairs*, 1854, pp. 211, 212. The old woman is once more a personification of the water and the moon.

[2] Bægert, *Acc. of the Aborig. Tribes of the Californian Peninsula*,

Proof of Charlevoix's opinion may be derived from three independent sources. The aboriginal languages may be examined for terms corresponding to the word soul; the opinions of the Indians themselves may be quoted; and the significance of sepulchral rites as indicative of a belief in life after death may be determined.

The most satisfactory is the first of these. *We* call the soul a ghost or spirit, and often a shade. In these words the *breath* and the *shadow* are the sensuous perceptions transferred to represent the immaterial object of our thought. Why the former was chosen I have already explained; and for the latter, that it is man's intangible image, his constant companion, and is of a nature akin to darkness, earth, and night, are sufficiently obvious reasons.

These same tropes recur in American languages in the same connection. The New England tribes called the soul *chemung*, the shadow, and in Quiché *natub*, in Eskimo *tarnak*, in Dakota *nagi* express both these ideas. In Mohawk *atonritz*, the soul, is from *atonrion*, to breathe, and other examples to the same purpose have already been given.[1]

translated by Chas. Rau, in Ann. Rep. Smithson. Inst., 1866, p. 387. Mr. James Mooney (*Sacred Formulas of the Cherokees*, p. 319) seems to deny that the Cherokees had any belief in a life hereafter; but many of their rites and expressions appear distinctly to imply such a faith.

[1] Of the Nicaraguans, Oviedo says: "Ce n'est pas leur cœur qui va en haut, mais ce qui les faisait vivre; c'est-à-dire, le souffle qui leur sort par la bouche, et que l'on nomme *Julio*" (*Hist. du Nicaragua*, p. 36). The word should be *yulia*, kindred with *yoli*, to live (Buschmann, *Uber die Aztekischen Ortsnamen*, p. 765). In the Aztec and cognate languages we have already seen that *ehecatl* means both *wind*, *soul* and *shadow* (Buschmann, *Spuren der Aztek. Spr. in*

Of course, no one need demand that a strict immateriality be attached to these words. Such a colorless negative abstraction never existed for them, neither does it for us, though we delude ourselves into believing that it does. The soul was to them the invisible man, material as ever, but lost to the appreciation of the senses.

Nor let any one be astonished if its unity was doubted, and several supposed to reside in one body. This is nothing more than a somewhat gross form of a doctrine upheld by most creeds and most philosophies. It seems the readiest solution of certain psychological enigmas, and may, for aught we know, be an instinct of fact. The Rabbis taught a threefold division—*nephesh*, the animal, *ruah*, the human, and *neshamah*, the divine soul, which corresponds to that of Plato into *thumos*, *epithumia*, and *nous*. And even Saint Paul seems to have recognized such inherent plurality when he distinguishes between the bodily soul, the intellectual soul, and the spiritual gift, in his Epistle to the Romans.

No such refinements, of course, as these are to be expected among the red men; but it may be looked upon either as the rudiments of these teachings, or as a gradual debasement of them to gross and material expression, that an old and wide-spread notion was found among both Iroquois and Algonkins, that man has two souls, one of a vegetative character, which gives bodily life, and remains with the corpse after death, until it is called to enter another body; another of more ethereal texture, which in life can depart from the body in sleep

Nördlichen Mexico, 74). See also S. R. Riggs, *Dakota Grammar*, p. 213.

or trance, and wander over the world, and at death goes directly to the land of spirits.[1]

The Sioux extended it to Plato's number, and are said to have looked forward to one going to a cold place, another to a warm and comfortable country, while the third was to watch the body. Certainly a most impartial distribution of rewards and punishments.[2] Some other Dakota tribes shared their views on this point, but more commonly, doubtless owing to the sacredness of the number, imagined *four* souls, with separate destinies, one to wander about the world, one to watch the body, the third to hover around the village, and the highest to go to the spirit land.[3]

Even this number is multiplied by certain Oregon tribes, who imagine one in every member; and by the Caribs of Martinique, who, wherever they could detect a pulsation, located a spirit, all subordinate, however, to a supreme one throned in the heart, which alone would be transported to the skies at death.[4] For the heart that so constantly sympathizes with our emotions and actions, is, in most languages and most nations, regarded as the seat of life; and when the priests of bloody religions tore out the heart of the victim and offered it to the idol, it was an emblem of the life that was thus torn from the field of this world and consecrated to the rulers of the next.

In many of the native tongues the compound words

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1636, p. 104; Keating's *Narrative*, i. pp. 232, 410. The Iroquoian concept of the double soul is carefully explained by J. N. B. Hewitt, in *Jour. of Amer. Folk-lore*, 1895, pp. 107, sqq.

[2] French, *Hist. Colls. of Louisiana*, iii. p. 26.

[3] Mrs. Eastman, *Legends of the Sioux*, p. 129.

[4] *Voy. à la Louisiane fait en* 1720, p. 155 (Paris, 1768).

formed with its name indicate that various motions and conditions feelings were supposed to arise from its conditions.

The seat of the soul was, however, variously located. The Costa Rica Indians place the powers of thought and memory in the liver; and a Thlinkit legend relates that the first of all men came into being "when the liver came out from below," showing that this tribe also regarded that viscus as the seat of life.[1] Frequently the head was regarded as the vital member. Roger Williams remarks of the New England Indians: "In the braine theire opinion is that the soule keeps her chiefe seate and residence."[2] By an easy metonymy, exemplified in all the classical languages, the head represents the man, and in this meaning appears in the picture writing, in the usage of preserving heads and skulls, and in the custom of scalping which was encountered by the early explorers in both North and South America.

Between these various souls there was a clear distinction made by most of the aboriginal philosophers. In their meditations on the principle of personality, on the Ego, they had reached certain subtle distinctions not unworthy a Hegelian dialectician, and which the most astute of students of their thoughts fails completely to grasp. For example, Dr. Washington Matthews, a most competent scholar, in explaining this doctrine as it exists among the Navajos, says that the personal soul is neither the vital force which animates the body, nor yet the mental power, but a *tertium quid*, "a sort of spiritual body," which has the uncomfortable habit of

[1] Gabb, *Ind. Tribes of Costa Rica*, p. 538; A. Krause, *Die Thlinkit Indianer*, 1885.

[2] Roger Williams, *Language of America*, p. 86.

sometimes leaving its owner, or getting lost, much to his pain and peril. Just such an unstable ghost do the Chinook Indians believe belongs to every one; and the recognition of it was common in North and South America. Among the Nahuas it bore the name *tonal*, which is probably from a root meaning (divine) knowledge, or else light.[1]

In many tribes this third soul, or "astral body," bore a relation to the private personal name. Among the Mayas and Nahuas, it was conferred or came into existence with the name, and for this reason the personal name was sacred and rarely uttered. It was part of the individuality, and through it this capricious element of the I could be injured.

What Miss Fletcher remarks of the Dakotas is true generally: "The personal name among Indians indicates the protecting presence of a deity, and must therefore partake of the ceremonial character of the Indian's religion."[2] From almost any part of the continent I might choose examples to illustrate this. Let us go to the east coast of Greenland, among people who a dozen years ago had never seen or heard of a white man. They believe that the person consists of three components, his living body, his thinking faculty and his name (*atekata*). This last enters the body when the child is named. It survives physical death, whereas the body and the thinking faculty die, the first certainly, the latter sometimes. After the death of a person, his private name is not mentioned, and if it is a common noun, the tribe devise some other term in its place.[3]

[1] Matthews in *Amer. Anthropologist*, May, 1888; Boas, in *Jour. Amer. Folk-lore*, March, 1893; Brinton, *Nagualism*, p. 11.

[2] *Rep. Peabody Museum*, 1884.

[3] Holm, *Com. sur. le Grönland*, p. 373.

In many of the invocations of the Shamans, we find the object to be the recovery or restitution to the individual of this soul, or, as Dr. Rink says of the Eskimo *angekoks*, the "repairing the soul." Father de la Serna cites a long prayer for this special purpose and Dr. Matthews gives another. It is through their malevolent influence on this that the evil spirits and unfriendly sorcerers cast sickness or misfortune upon one, and they can go so far as to capture this soul or drive it away; wicked intentions, to be counteracted by the more potent spells of the friendly shaman summoned for the purpose.[1]

Various motives impel the living to treat with respect the body from which life has departed. Lowest of them is a superstitious dread of death and the dead. The stoicism of the Indian, especially the northern tribes, in the face of death, has often been the topic of poets, and has been interpreted to be a fearlessness of that event. This is by no means true. Savages have an awful horror of death; it is to them the worst of ills; and for this very reason was it that they thought to meet it without flinching was the highest proof of courage.

Everything connected with the deceased was, in many tribes, shunned with superstitious terror. His name was not mentioned, his property left untouched, all reference to him was sedulously avoided. A Tupi tribe used to hurry the body at once to the nearest water, and toss it in; the Akanzas left it in the lodge and burned over it the dwelling and contents; and the

[1] Serna, *Manual de Ministros*, p. 223; Matthews, *ubi suprá*. The mystical relations of Indian personal names has been discussed by many writers, as Garrick Mallery (*Pictographs*), J. G. Bourke, J. W. Powell, F. Boas, etc. Also Rink, *Tales of the Eskimo*, p. 60.

Algonkins carried it forth by a hole cut opposite the door, and beat the walls with sticks to fright away the lingering ghost. Burying places were always avoided, and every means taken to prevent the departed spirits exercising a malicious influence on those remaining behind.

These craven fears do but reveal the natural repugnance of the animal to a cessation of existence, and arise from the instinct of self-preservation essential to organic life. Other rites, undertaken avowedly for the behoof of the soul, prove and illustrate a simple but unshaken faith in its continued existence after the decay of the body.

None of these is more common or more natural than that which attributes to the emancipated spirit the same wants that it felt while on earth, and with loving foresight provides for their satisfaction. Clothing and utensils of war and the chase were, in ancient times, uniformly placed by the body, under the impression that they would be of service to the departed in his new home. Some few tribes in the far west still retain the custom, but most were soon ridiculed into its neglect, or were forced to omit it by the violation of tombs practised by depraved whites in hope of gain.

To these harmless offerings the northern tribes often added a dog slain on the grave; and doubtless the skeletons of these animals in so many tombs in Mexico and Peru point to similar customs there. It had no deeper meaning than to give a companion to the spirit in its long and lonesome journey to the far off land of shades. The peculiar appropriateness of the dog arose not only from the guardianship it exerts during life, but further from the symbolic signification it so often had as representative of the goddess of night and the grave.

Where a despotic form of government reduced the subject almost to the level of a slave and elevated the ruler almost to that of a superior being, not animals only, but men, women and children were frequently immolated at the tomb of the cacique.

The territory embraced in our own country was not without examples of this sad custom. On the lower Mississippi the Natchez Indians practised it in all its ghastliness. When a sun or chief died, one or several of his wives and his highest officers were knocked on the head and buried with him, and at such times the barbarous privilege was allowed to any of the lowest caste to at once gain admittance to the highest by the deliberate murder of their own children on the funeral pyre —a privilege of which respectable writers tell us human beings were found base enough to take advantage.[1]

Oviedo relates that in the province of Guataro, in Guatemala, an actual rivalry prevailed among the people to be slain at the death of their cacique, for they had been taught that only such as went with him would ever find their way to the paradise of the departed.[2] Theirs was therefore somewhat of a selfish motive, and only in certain parts of Peru, where polygamy prevailed, and the rule was that only one wife was to be sacrificed, does the deportment of husbands seem to have been so creditable that their widows actually disputed one with another for the pleasure of being buried alive with the dead body, and bearing their spouse company to the other world.[3] Wives who

[1] Dupratz, *Hist. of Louisiana*, ii. p. 219; Dumont, *Mems. Hist. sur la Louisiane*, i. chap. 26.

[2] *Rel. de la Prov. de Cueba*, p. 140.

[3] Coreal, *Voiages aux Indes Occidentales*, ii. p. 94 (Amsterdam, 1722).

have found few parallels since the famous matron of Ephesus!

The fire built nightly on the grave was to light the spirit on his journey. By a coincidence to be explained by the universal sacredness of the number, both Algonkins and Mexicans maintained it for *four* nights consecutively. The former related the tradition that one of their ancestors returned from the spirit land and informed their nation that the journey thither consumed just *four* days, and that collecting fuel every night added much to the toil and fatigue the soul encountered, all of which could be spared it by the relatives kindling nightly a fire on the grave. Or as Longfellow has told it:

> "Four days is the spirit's journey
> To the land of ghosts and shadows,
> Four its lonely night encampments.
> Therefore when the dead are buried,
> Let a fire as night approaches
> Four times on the grave be kindled,
> That the soul upon its journey
> May not grope about in darkness."

The same length of time, say the Navajos, does the departed soul wander over a gloomy marsh ere it can discover the ladder leading to the world below, where are the homes of the setting and the rising sun, a land of luxuriant plenty, stocked with game and covered with corn. To that land, say they, sink all lost seeds and germs which fall on the earth and do not sprout. There below they take root, bud, and ripen their fruit.[1] The Nahuas held that the journey of the soul lasted four years before it reached its final resting-place.[2]

[1] *Senate Rep. on the Indian Tribes*, p. 358 (Wash. 1867).

[2] *Codex Telleriano-Remensis.*

After four days, once more, in the superstitions of the Greenland Eskimos, does the soul, for that term after death confined in the body, at last break from its prison-house and either rise in the sky to dance in the aurora borealis or descend into the pleasant land beneath the earth, according to the manner of death.[1]

That there are logical contradictions in this belief and these ceremonies, that the fire is always in the same spot, that the weapons and utensils are not carried away by the departed, and that the food placed for his sustenance remains untouched, is very true. But those who would therefore argue that they were not intended for the benefit of the soul, and seek some more recondite meaning in them as "unconscious emblems of struggling faith or expressions of inward emotions,"[2] are led astray by the very simplicity of their real intention. Where is the faith, where the science, that does not involve logical contradictions just as gross as these? They are tolerable to us merely because we are used to them. What value has the evidence of the senses anywhere against a religious faith? None whatever. A stumbling block though this be to the materialist, it is the universal truth, and as such it is well to accept it as an experimental fact.

The preconceived opinions that saw in the meteorological myths of the Indian a conflict between the Spirit of Good and the Spirit of Evil, have with like unconscious error falsified his doctrine of a future life, and almost without an exception drawn it more or less in the likeness of the Christian heaven, hell, and purgatory. Very faint traces of any such belief except

[1] Egede, *Nachrichten von Grönland*, p. 145.

[2] Alger, *Hist. of the Doctrine of a Future Life*, p. 76.

where derived from the missionaries are visible in the New World. Nowhere was any well-defined doctrine that moral turpitude was judged and punished in the next world. No contrast is discoverable between a place of torments and a realm of joy; at the worst but a negative castigation awaited the liar, the coward, or the niggard.

The typical belief of the tribes of the United States was well expressed in the reply of Esau Hajo, great medal chief and speaker for the Creek nation in the National Council, to the question, Do the red people believe in a future state of rewards and punishments? "We have an opinion that those who have behaved well are taken under the care of Esaugetuh Emissee, and assisted; and that those who have behaved ill are left to shift for themselves; and that there is no other punishment."[1]

Neither the delights of a heaven on the one hand, nor the terrors of a hell on the other, were ever held out by priests or sages as an incentive to well-doing, or a warning to the evil-disposed. Different fates, indeed, awaited the departed souls, but these rarely, if ever, were decided by their conduct while in the flesh, but by the manner of death, the punctuality with which certain sepulchral rites were fulfilled by relatives, or other similar arbitrary circumstance beyond the power of the individual to control.

This view, which I am aware is at variance with that of all previous writers, may be shown to be that natural to the uncultivated intellect everywhere, and the real interpretation of the creeds of America.[2] Whether

[1] Hawkins, *Sketch of the Creek Country*, p. 80.

[2] These words of the first edition I retain, although now the

these arbitrary circumstances were not construed to signify the decision of the Divine Mind on the life of the man, is a deeper question, which there is no means at hand to solve.

Those who have complained of the hopeless confusion of American religions have but proven the insufficiency of their own means of analyzing them. The uniformity which they display in so many points is nowhere more fully illustrated than in the unanimity with which they all point to the *sun* as the land of the happy souls, the realm of the blessed, the scene of the joyous hunting-grounds of the hereafter.

Its perennial glory, its comfortable warmth, its daily analogy to the life of man, marked its abode as the pleasantest spot in the universe. It matters not whether the eastern Algonkins pointed to the south, others of their nation, with the Iroquois and Creeks, to the west, or many tribes to the east, as the direction taken by the spirit; all these myths but mean that its bourn is the home of the sun, which is perhaps in the Orient whence he comes forth, in the Occident where he makes his bed, or in the south whither he retires in the chilling winter.

Where the sun lives, they informed the earliest foreign visitors, were the villages of the deceased, and the milky way which nightly spans the arch of heaven, was, in their opinion, the road that led thither, and was called the path of souls (*le chemin des ames*).[1] To *hueyu ku*, the mansion of the sun, said the Caribs, the soul passes when death overtakes the body.[2] To the warm

opinion of the text is that of many scholars who have carefully studied the subject.

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1634, pp. 17, 18.

[2] Müller, *Amer. Urreligionen*, p. 229.

southwest, whence blows the wind which brings the sunny days and the ripening corn, said the New England natives to Roger Williams, will all souls go.[1]

Our knowledge is scanty of the doctrines taught by the Incas concerning the soul, but this much we do know, that they looked to the sun, their recognized lord and protector, as he who would care for them at death, and admit them to his palaces. There—not indeed, exquisite joys—but a life of unruffled placidity, void of labor, vacant of strong emotions, a sort of material Nirvana, awaited them.[2] For these reasons, they, with most other American nations, interred the corpse lying east and west, and not as the traveller Meyen has suggested,[3] from the reminiscences of some ancient migration.

Beyond the Cordilleras, quite to the coast of Brazil, the innumerable hordes who wandered through the sombre tropical forests of that immense territory, also pointed to the west, to the region beyond the mountains, as the land where the souls of their ancestors lived in undisturbed serenity; or, in the more brilliant imaginations of the later generations, in a state of perennial inebriety, surrounded by infinite casks of rum, and with no white man to dole it out to them.[4]

The natives of the extreme south, of the Pampas and Patagonia, suppose the stars are the souls of the departed. At night they wander about the sky, but the moment the sun rises they hasten to the cheerful light, and are seen no more until it disappears in the

[1] *Language of America*, p. 148.

[2] La Vega, *Hist. des Incas*, lib. ii. cap. 7.

[3] *Ueber die Ureinwohner von Peru*, p. 41.

[4] Coreal, *Voy. aux Indes Occident.*, i. p. 224; Müller, *Amer. Urrelig.*, p. 289.

west. So the Eskimo of the distant north, in the long winter nights, when the aurora bridges the sky with its changing hues and arrowy shafts of light, believes he sees the spirits of his ancestors clothed in celestial raiment, disporting themselves in the absence of the sun, and calls the phenomenon *the dance of the dead.*

The home of the sun was the heaven of the red man; but to this joyous abode not every one without distinction, no miscellaneous crowd, could gain admittance. The conditions were as various as the national temperaments. As the fierce gods of the Northmen would admit no soul to the banquets of Walhalla but such as had met the "spear-death" in the bloody play of war, and shut out pitilessly all those who feebly breathed their last in the "straw-death" on the couch of sickness, so the warlike Aztec race in Nicaragua held that the shades of those who died in their beds went downward and to naught; but of those who fell in battle for their country to the east, "to the place whence comes the sun."[1]

In ancient Mexico not only the warriors who were thus sacrificed on the altar of their country, but with a delicate and poetical sense of justice that speaks well for the refinement of the race, also those women who perished in child-birth, were admitted to the home of the sun. For are not they also heroines in the battle of life? Are they not also its victims? And do they not lay down their lives for country and kindred?

Every morning, it was imagined, the heroes came forth in battle array, and with shout and song and the ring of weapons, accompanied the sun to the zenith, where at every noon the souls of the mothers, the

[1] Oviedo, *Hist. de Nicaragua*, p. 22.

Cihuapipilti, received him with dances, music, and flowers, and bore him company to his western couch.[1] Except these, none—unless it may be the victims sacrificed to the gods, and this is doubtful—was deemed worthy of the highest heaven.

A mild and unwarlike tribe of Guatemala, on the other hand, were persuaded that to die by any other than a natural death was to forfeit all hope of life hereafter, and therefore left the bodies of the slain to the beasts and vultures.

The Mexicans had another place of happiness for departed souls, not promising perpetual life as the home of the sun, but unalloyed pleasure for a certain term of years. This was Tlalocan, the realm of the god of rains and waters, the terrestrial paradise, whence flowed all the rivers of the earth, and all the nourishment of the race. The diseases of which persons died marked this destination. Such as were drowned, or struck by lightning, or succumbed to humoral complaints, as dropsies and leprosy, were by these tokens known to be chosen as the subjects of Tlaloc.

To such, said the natives, "death is the commencement of another life, it is as waking from a dream, and the soul is no more human but divine (*teotl*)." Therefore they addressed their dying in terms like these: "Sir, or lady, awake, awake; already does the dawn appear; even now is the light approaching; already do the birds of yellow plumage begin their songs to greet thee; already are the gayly-tinted butterflies flitting around thee."[2]

[1] Torquemada, *Monarquia Indiana*, lib. vi. cap. 27.

[2] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. x. cap. 29.

Before proceeding to the more gloomy portion of the subject, to the destiny of those souls who were not chosen for the better part, I must advert to a curious coincidence in the religious reveries of many nations which finds its explanation in the belief that the house of the sun is the home of the blessed, and proves that this was the first conception of most natural religions.

It is seen in the events and obstacles of the journey to the happy land. We everywhere hear of a water which the soul must cross, and an opponent, either a dog or an evil spirit, which it has to contend with. We are all familiar with the dog Cerberus (called by Homer simply "the dog"), which disputed the passage of the river Styx, over which the souls must cross; and with the custom of the vikings, to be buried in a boat so that they might cross the waters of Ginunga-gap to the inviting strands of Godheim.

Relics of this belief are found in the Koran which describes the bridge *el Sirat*, thin as a hair and sharp as a scimitar, stretched in a single span from heaven to earth; in the Persian legend, where the rainbow arch Chinevad is flung across the gloomy depths between this world and the home of the happy; and even in the current Christian allegory which represents the waters of the mythical Jordan rolling between us and the Celestial City.

How strange at first sight does it seem that the Hurons and Iroquois should have told the earliest missionaries that after death the soul must cross a deep and swift river on a bridge formed by a single slender tree most lightly supported, where it had to defend itself against the attacks of a dog?[1] If only they had

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1636, p. 105.

expressed this belief, it might have passed for a coincidence merely. But the Athapascas (Chepewyans) also told of a great water, which the soul must cross in a stone canoe; the Algonkins and Dakotas, of a stream bridged by an enormous snake, or a narrow and precipitous rock, and the Araucanians of Chili of a sea in the west, in crossing which the soul was required to pay toll to a malicious old woman. Were it unluckily impecunious, she deprived it of an eye.[1]

With the Aztecs this water was called Chicunoapa, the Nine Rivers. It was guarded by a dog and a green dragon, to conciliate which the dead were furnished with slips of paper by way of toll.[2] The Greenland Eskimos thought that the waters roared through an unfathomable abyss over which there was no other bridge than a wheel slippery with ice, forever revolving with fearful rapidity, or a path narrow as a cord with nothing to hold on by. On the other side sits a horrid old woman gnashing her teeth and tearing her hair with rage. As each soul approaches she burns a feather under its nose; if it faints she seizes it for her prisoner, but if the soul's guardian spirit can overcome her, it passes through in safety.[3]

The similarity to the passage of the soul across the Styx, and the toll of the obolus to Charon is in the

[1] Molina, *Hist. of Chili*, ii. p. 81, and others in Waitz, *Anthropologie*, iii. p. 197.

[2] I have given a detailed comparison of the "journey of the soul" as recorded in Aztec, Egyptian, Greek and Teutonic beliefs, showing their remarkable similarities, in *Essays of an Americanist*, pp. 135–147. How anachronistic to find Dr. E. B. Tylor as late as 1894 (*Proc. Brit. Assoc. Adv. Science*) quoting such parallelisms as evidence of the Asiatic origin of Mexican culture!

[3] *Nachrichten von Grönland aus dem Tagebuche von Bischof Paul Egede*, p. 104 (Kopenhagen, 1790).

Aztec legend still more striking, when we remember that the Styx was the ninth head of Oceanus (omitting the Cocytus, often a branch of the Styx). The Nine Rivers probably refer to the nine Lords of the Night, ancient Aztec deities guarding the nocturnal hours, and introduced into their calendar. The Tupis and Caribs, the Mayas and Creeks, entertained very similar expectations.

We are to seek the explanation of these widespread theories of the soul's journey in the equally prevalent tenet that the sun is its destination, and that that luminary has his abode beyond the ocean stream, which in all primitive geographies rolls its waves around the habitable land. This ocean stream is the water which all have to attempt to pass, and woe to him whom the spirit of the waters, represented either as the old woman, the dragon, or the dog of Hecate, seizes and overcomes. In the lush fancy of the Orient, the spirit of the waters becomes the spirit of evil, the ocean stream the abyss of hell, and those who fail in the passage the damned, who are foredoomed to evil deeds and endless torture.

No such ethical bearing as this was ever assigned the myth by the red race before they were taught by Europeans. Father Brebeuf could only find that the souls of suicides and those killed in war were supposed to live apart from the others; "but as to the souls of scoundrels," he adds, "so far from being shut out, they are the welcome guests, though for that matter if it were not so, their paradise would be a total desert, as Huron and scoundrel (*Huron et larron*) are one and the same."[1]

When the Minnetarees told Major Long and the

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1636, p. 105.

Mannicicas of the La Plata the Jesuits,[1] that the souls of the bad fell into the waters and were swept away, this was, beyond doubt, attributable either to a false interpretation, or to Christian instruction. No such distinction is probable among savages. The Brazilian natives divided their dead into classes, supposing that the drowned, those killed by violence, and those yielding to disease, lived in separate regions, but no ethical reason whatever seems to have been connected with this.[2]

If the conception of a place of moral retribution was known at all to the race, it should be found easily recognizable in Mexico, Yucatan, or Peru. But the so-called "hells" of their religions have no such significance, and the spirits of evil, who were identified by early writers with Satan, no more deserve the name than does the Greek Pluto.

Çupay or Supay, the Shadow, in Peru was supposed to rule the land of shades in the centre of the earth. To him went all souls not destined to be the companions of the Sun. This is all we know of his attributes; and the assertion of Garcilasso de la Vega, that he was the analogue of the Christian devil, and that his name was never pronounced without spitting and muttering a curse on his head, may be invalidated by the testimony of an earlier and better authority on the religion of Peru, who calls him the god of rains, and adds that the famous Inca, Huyana Capac, was his high priest.[3]

[1] Long's *Expedition*, i. p. 280; Waitz, *Anthropologie*, iii. p. 531.

[2] Müller, *Amer. Urreligionen*, p. 287.

[3] Compare Garcilasso de la Vega, *Hist. des Incas*, liv. ii. chap. ii., with *Lett. sur les Superstitions du Pérou*, p. 104. Çupay is undoubtedly a personal form from *Çupan*, a shadow. (See Holguin, *Vocab. de la Lengua Quichua*, p. 80: Cuzco, 1608.)

"The devil," says Cogolludo of the Mayas, "is called by them Xibilha, which means he who disappears or vanishes."[1] In the legends of the Quichés, the name Xibalba is given as that of the under-world ruled by the grim lords One Death and Seven Deaths. The derivation of the name is from a root meaning to fear, from which comes the term in Maya dialects for a ghost or phantom.[2]

Under the influence of a century of Christian catechizing, the Quiché legends portray this really as a place of torment, and its rulers as malignant and powerful; but as I have before pointed out, they do so, protesting that such was not the ancient belief, and they let fall no word that shows that it was regarded as the destination of the morally bad. The original meaning of the name given by Cogolludo points unmistakably to the simple fact of disappearance from among men, and corresponds in harmlessness to the true sense of those words of fear, Scheol, Hades, Hell, all signifying hidden from sight, and only endowed with more grim associations by the imaginations of later generations.[3]

Mictlanteuctli, Lord of Mictlan, from a word meaning to kill, was the Mexican Pluto. Like Çupay, he dwelt in the subterranean regions, and his palace was named Tlalxicco, the navel of the earth. Yet he was

[1] "El que desparece ó desvanece," *Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap. 7.

[2] Ximenes, *Vocab. Quiché*, p. 224. The attempt of the Abbé Brasseur to make of Xibalba an ancient kingdom of renown, with Palenque as its capital, is so unsupported as to justify the humorous flings which have so often been cast at antiquaries.

[3] Scheol is from a Hebrew word, signifying to dig, to hide in the earth. Hades signifies the *unseen* world. Hell Jacob Grimm derives from *hilan*, to conceal in the earth, and it is cognate with *hole* and *hollow*.

also located in the far north, and that point of the compass and the north wind were named after him. Those who descended to him were oppressed by the darkness of his abode, but were subjected to no other trials; nor were they sent thither as a punishment, but merely from having died of diseases unfitting them for Tlalocan.

Doubtless in many instances the darkened abode of the dead was regarded with that natural fear and horror which everywhere environ the fact of death. Among the Nahuas it bore the ominous name, "the valley of Ximohuayan," eternal oblivion, and Apochquiahuayan, "where there are neither tracks nor trails." Both with them, with the Mayas and with the Caribs of the South, its principal deity was represented by the bat, the ill-omened bird of darkness.[1]

Mictlanteuctli was said to be the most powerful of the gods. For who is stronger than Death? And who dare defy the Grave? As the skald lets Odin say to Bragi: "Our lot is uncertain; even on the hosts of the gods gazes the gray Fenris wolf."[2]

These various abodes to which the incorporeal man took flight were not always his everlasting home. It will be remembered that where a plurality of souls was believed, one of these, soon after death, entered another body to recommence life on earth. Acting under this persuasion, the Algonkin women who desired to become mothers, flocked to the couch of those about to die, in hope that the vital principle, as it passed from the body, would enter theirs, and fertilize their sterile

[1] Tezozomoc, *Cronica Mexicana*, cap. 81; Sahagun, *Historia*, lib. iii. App. cap. 1. On the Bat God, Dr. Seler has written an excellent monograph in *Verhand. der Berlin. Anthrop. Gesell.*, Dec. 1894.

[2] Pennock, *Religion of the Northmen*, p. 148.

wombs; and when, among the Seminoles of Florida, a mother died in childbirth, the infant was held over her face to receive her parting spirit, and thus acquire strength and knowledge for its future use.[1]

So among the Takahlis, the priest is accustomed to lay his hand on the head of the nearest relative of the deceased, and to blow into him the soul of the departed, which is supposed to come to life in his next child.[2] Probably, with a reference to the current tradition that ascribes the origin of man to the earth, and likens his life to that of the plant, the Mexicans were accustomed to say that at one time all men have been stones, and that at last they would all return to stones;[3] and, acting literally on this conviction, they interred with the bones of the dead a small green stone, which was called the principle of life.

Whether any nations accepted the doctrine of metempsychosis, and thought that "the souls of their grandams might haply inhabit a partridge," we are without the means of knowing. La Hontan denies it positively of the Algonkins; but the natives of Popoyan refused to kill doves, says Coreal,[4] because they believe them inspired by the souls of the departed. And Father Ignatius Chomé relates that he heard a woman of the Chiriquanes in Buenos Ayres say of a fox: "May that not be the spirit of my dead daughter?"[5]

But before accepting such testimony as decisive, we must first inquire whether these tribes believed in a

[1] La Hontan, *Voy. dans l'Am. Sept.*, i. p. 232; *Narrative of Oceola Nikkanoche*, p. 75.

[2] Morse, *Rep. on the Ind. Tribes*, App. p. 345.

[3] Garcia, *Or. de los Indios*, lib. iv. cap. 26, p. 310.

[4] *Voiages aux Indes Oc.*, ii. p. 132.

[5] *Lettres Edif. et Cur.*, v. p. 203.

multiplicity of souls, whether these animals had a symbolical value, and if not, whether the soul was not simply presumed to put on this shape in its journey to the land of the hereafter: inquiries which are unanswered. Leaving, therefore, the question open, whether the sage of Samos had any disciples in the new world, another and more fruitful topic is presented by their well-ascertained notions of the resurrection of the dead.

This seemingly extraordinary doctrine, which some have asserted was entirely unknown and impossible to the American Indians,[1] was in fact one of their most deeply-rooted and wide-spread convictions, especially among the tribes of the eastern United States. It is indissolubly connected with their highest theories of a future life, their burial ceremonies, and their modes of expression.

The Moravian Brethren give the grounds of this belief with great clearness: "That they hold the soul to be immortal, and perhaps think the body will rise again, they give not unclearly to understand when they say, 'We Indians shall not for ever die; even the grains of corn we put under the earth, grow up and become living things.' They conceive that when the soul has been a while with God, it can, if it chooses, return to earth and be born again.'"[2]

This is the highest and typical creed of the aborigines. But instead of simply being born again in the ordinary sense of the word, they thought the soul would return to the bones, that these would clothe themselves with flesh, and that the man would rejoin his tribe. That this was the real, though often doubtless the dimly understood reason of the custom of

[1] Alger, *Hist. of the Doctrine of a Future Life*, p. 72.

[2] Loskiel, *Ges. der Miss. der evang. Brüder*, p. 49.

preserving the bones of the deceased, can be shown by various arguments.

This practice was almost universal. East of the Mississippi nearly every nation was accustomed, at stated periods—usually once in eight or ten years—to collect and clean the osseous remains of those of its number who had died in the intervening time, and inter them in one common sepulchre, lined with choice furs, and marked with a mound of wood, stone, and earth. Such is the origin of those immense tumuli filled with the mortal remains of nations and generations which the antiquary, with irreverent curiosity, has so frequently chanced upon in all portions of our territory.

Throughout Central America the same usage obtained in various localities, as early writers and existing monuments abundantly testify. Instead of interring the bones, were they those of some distinguished chieftain, they were deposited in the temples or the council-houses, usually in small chests of canes or splints. Such were the charnel-houses which the historians of De Soto's expedition so often mentioned, and these are the "arks" which Adair and other authors, who have sought to trace the descent of the Indians from the Jews, have likened to that which the ancient Israelites bore with them on their migrations. A widow among the Tahkalis was obliged to carry the bones of her deceased husband wherever she went for four years, preserving them in such a casket handsomely decorated with feathers.[1]

The Caribs of the mainland adopted the custom for all without exception. About a year after death the

[1] Richardson, *Arctic Expedition*, p. 260.

bones were cleaned, bleached, painted, wrapped in odorous balsams, placed in a wicker basket, and kept suspended from the door of their dwellings.[1] When the quantity of these heirlooms became burdensome, they were removed to some inaccessible cavern, and stowed away with reverential care. Such was the cave Ataruipe, a visit to which has been so eloquently described by Alexander von Humboldt in his "Views of Nature."

So great was the filial respect for these remains by the Indians, that on the Mississippi, in Peru, and elsewhere, no tyranny, no cruelty, so embittered the indigenes against the white explorers as the sacrilegious search for treasures perpetrated among the sepulchres of past generations. Unable to understand the meaning of such deep feeling, so foreign to the European who, without a second thought, turns a cemetery into a public square, or seeds it down in wheat, the Jesuit missionaries in Paraguay accuse the natives of worshipping the skeletons of their forefathers, and the English of Virginia repeated it of the Powhatans.[2]

In a certain sense this may be regarded as a development of the worship of ancestors. In America, however, ancestral worship in its true sense, as it has long existed in China for example, was not prominent. The Knisteneaux on Nelson River were accustomed to strangle their parents when old; yet each master of a

[1] Gumilla, *Hist. del Orinoco*, i. pp. 199, 202, 204.

[2] Much light is thrown upon the native beliefs in the destiny of the soul and the after life, by an intelligent analysis of funeral rites and ceremonies. Excellent material for this is furnished by the essays of Dr. H. C. Yarrow, *Introduction to the Study of Mortuary Customs among the American Indians* (Washington, 1880), and a "*Further Contribution*" in 1st An. Rep. Bureau of Ethnology.

family, the deed performed, kept by him a bunch of feathers tied with a string, called it "his father's head," and regarded it with superstitious reverence.[1]

The Aztecs celebrated a feast to the dead once in each year, at which time they gazed to the north and called upon their ancestors to "come soon, for we wait you." The Quiches of Guatemala had a similar annual festival when they recited the names of their deceased ancestors, and when also each person visited the spot where his or her navel-string had been buried.[2] The Tupis worshipped Tamoin and the Incas Pacarina, alleged ancestors of their nation, but only in the recondite sense well explained by Mr. Markham, "as the forefathers of the clan idealized in the soul or essence of his descendants."[3]

In some of the gentes in various parts of the continent there prevailed a belief that the soul would somehow return to the eponymous ancestor; that is, that those of the buffalo gens, for example, would at death either enter buffaloes, or go where dwells the great original buffalo.[4] For the totemic eponym, or original forefather of the gens was not considered to be a brute merely, but one of the mighty primal spirits to whom was given or who had assumed the brute form.[5]

The question has been debated and variously answered, whether the art of mummification was known and practised in America. Without entering into the

[1] J. Robson, *Ac. of Res. in Hudson Bay*, p. 48.

[2] *Codex Telleriano-Remensis*, p. 192; Brinton, *Native Calendar*, p. 17.

[3] C. R. Markham, *Jour. Roy. Geog. Soc.*, 1871, p. 291.

[4] J. O. Dorsey, *Siouan Cults*, p. 542.

[5] As Dr. W. J. Hoffman reports of the Menomonis, *Amer. Anthropologist*, July, 1890.

discussion, it is certain that preservation of the corpse by a long and thorough process of exsiccation over a slow fire was nothing unusual, not only in Peru, Popoyan, the Carib countries, and Nicaragua, but among many of the tribes north of the Gulf of Mexico, as I have elsewhere shown.[1] The object was essentially the same as when the bones alone were preserved; and in the case of rulers, the same homage was often paid to their corpses as had been the just due of their living bodies.[2]

The opinion underlying all these customs was, that a part of the soul, or one of the souls, dwelt in the bones; that these were the seeds which, planted in the earth, or preserved unbroken in safe places, would, in time, put on once again a garb of flesh, and germinate into living human beings. Language illustrates this not unusual theory. The Iroquois word for bone is *esken*—for soul, *atisken*, literally that which is within the bone.[3] In an Athapascan dialect bone is *yani*, soul *i-yune*.[4] The Hebrew Rabbis taught that in the bone *lutz*, the coccyx, remained at death the germ of a second life, which, at the proper time would develop into the purified body, as the plant from the seed.

But mythology and superstitions add more decisive testimony. One of the Aztec legends of the origin of man was, that after one of the destructions of the world the gods took counsel together how to renew the species. It was decided that one of their number, Xolotl, should descend to Mictlan, the realm of the dead, and bring thence a bone of the perished race. The frag-

[1] *Notes on the Floridian Peninsula*, pp. 191 sqq.

[2] See Dr. H. C. Yarrow, 1st *Rep. Bur. Ethnology*, pp. 130–137.

[3] Bruyas, *Rad. Verborum Iroquæorum.*

[4] Buschmann, *Athapask. Sprachstamm*, pp. 182, 188.

ments of this they sprinkled with blood, and on the fourth day it grew into a youth, the father of the present race.[1]

The profound mystical significance of this legend is reflected in one told by the Quichés, in which the hero gods Hunahpu and Xblanque succumb to the rulers of Xibalba, the darksome powers of death. Their bodies are burned, but their bones are ground in a mill and thrown in the waters, lest they should come to life. Even this precaution is insufficient—"for these ashes did not go far; they sank to the bottom of the stream, where, in the twinkling of an eye, they were changed into handsome youths, and their very same features appeared anew. On the fifth day they displayed themselves anew, and were seen in the water by the people,"[2] whence they emerged to overcome and destroy the powers of death and hell (Xibalba).

The strongest analogies to these myths are offered by the superstitious rites of distant tribes. Some of the Tupis of Brazil were wont on the death of a relative to dry and pulverize his bones and then mix them with their food, a nauseous practice they defended by asserting that the soul of the dead remained in the bones and lived again in the living.[3] Even the lower animals were supposed to follow the same law. Hardly any of the hunting tribes, before their original manners were vitiated by foreign influence, permitted the bones of game slain in the chase to be broken, or left carelessly about the encampment. They were collected in heaps, or thrown into the water. Mrs. Eastman observes that even yet the Dakotas deem it an omen of

[1] Torquemada, *Monarquia Indiana*, lib. vi. cap. 41.
[2] *Le Livre Sacré des Quichés*, pp. 175–177.
[3] Müller, *Amer. Urrelig.*, p. 290, after Spix.

ill luck in the hunt, if the dogs gnaw the bones or a woman inadvertently steps over them; and the Chipeway interpreter, John Tanner, speaks of the same fear among that tribe.

The Yurucares of Bolivia carried it to such an inconvenient extent, that they carefully put by even small fish bones, saying that unless this is done the fish and game will disappear from the country.[1] The traveller on our western prairies often notices the buffalo skulls, countless numbers of which bleach on those vast plains, arranged in circles and symmetrical piles by the careful hands of the native hunters. The explanation they offer for this custom gives the key to the whole theory and practice of preserving the osseous relics of the dead, as well human as brute. They say that "the bones contain the spirits of the slain animals, and that some time in the future they will rise from the earth, re-clothe themselves with flesh, and stock the prairies anew."[2]

This explanation, which comes to us from indisputable authority, sets forth in its true light the belief of the red race in a resurrection. It is not possible to trace it out in the subtleties with which theologians have surrounded it as a dogma. The very attempt would be absurd. They never occurred to the Indian. He thought that the soul now enjoying the delights of the happy hunting grounds would some time return to the bones, take on flesh, and live again.

Such is precisely the much discussed statement that Garcilasso de la Vega says he often heard from the native Peruvians. He adds that so careful were they lest any of the body should be lost that they preserved

[1] D'Orbigny, *Annuaire des Voyages*, 1845, p. 77.

[2] Long's *Expedition*, i. p. 278.

even the parings of their nails and clippings of the hair.[1] In contradiction to this the writer Acosta has been quoted, who says that the Peruvians embalmed their dead because they "had no knowledge that the bodies should rise with the soul."[2] But, rightly understood, this is a confirmation of La Vega's account. Acosta means that the Christian doctrine of the body rising from the dust being unknown to the Peruvians (which is perfectly true), they preserved the body just as it was, so that the soul when it returned to earth, as all expected, might not be at a loss for a house of flesh.

The notions thus entertained by the red race on the resurrection are peculiar to it, and stand apart from those of any other. They did not look for the second life to be either better or worse than the present one; they regarded it neither as a reward nor a punishment to be sent back to the world of the living; nor is there satisfactory evidence that it was ever distinctly connected with a moral or physical theory of the destiny of the universe, or even with their prevalent expectation of recurrent epochs in the course of nature.

It is true that a writer whose personal veracity is above all doubt, Mr. Adam Hodgson, relates an ancient tradition of the Choctaws, to the effect that the present world will be consumed by a general conflagration, after which it will be reformed pleasanter than it now is, and that then the spirits of the dead will return to the bones in the bone mounds, flesh will knit together their loose joints, and they shall again inhabit their ancient territory.[3]

There was also a similar belief among the Eskimos.

[1] *Hist. des Incas*, lib. iii. chap. 7.
[2] *Hist. of the New World*, bk. v. chap. 7.
[3] *Travels in North America*, p. 280.

They said that in the course of time the waters will overwhelm the land, purify it of the blood of the dead, melt the icebergs, and wash away the steep rocks. A wind will then drive off the waters, and the new land will be peopled by reindeers and young seals. Then will He above blow once on the bones of the men and twice on those of the women, whereupon they will at once start into life, and lead thereafter a joyous existence.[1]

But though there is nothing in these narratives alien to the course of thought in the native mind, yet as the date of the first is recent (1820), as they are not supported (so far as I know) by similar traditions elsewhere, and as they may have arisen from Christian doctrines of a millennium, I leave them for future investigation.

What strikes us the most in this analysis of the opinions entertained by the red race on a future life is the clear and positive hope of a hereafter, in such strong contrast to the feeble and vague notions of the ancient Israelites, Greeks and Romans, and yet the entire inertness of this hope in leading them to a purer moral life. It offers another proof that the fulfilment of duty is in its nature nowise connected with or derived from a consideration of ultimate personal consequences. It is another evidence that the religious is wholly distinct from the moral sentiment, and that the origin of ethics is not to be sought in connection with the ideas of divinity and responsibility.

[1] Egede, *Nachrichten von Grönland*, p. 156. Further on the Eskimo belief is given by Dr. Henry Rink, *Tales and Traditions of the Eskimo*, p. 32 sq.; Dr. Franz Boas, *The Central Eskimo*, pp. 588, 589.

CHAPTER X.

THE NATIVE PRIESTHOOD.

Their titles.—Practitioners of the healing art by supernatural means.—Their power derived from natural magic and the exercise of the clairvoyant and mesmeric faculties.—Examples.—Epidemic hysteria.—Their social position.—Their duties as religious functionaries.—Terms of admission to the Priesthood.—Inner organization in various nations.—Their esoteric languages and secret societies.

THUS picking painfully amid the ruins of a race gone to wreck centuries ago, thus rejecting much foreign rubbish and scrutinizing each stone that lies around, if we still are unable to rebuild the edifice in its pristine symmetry, yet we can at least discern and trace the ground plan and outlines of the fane it raised to God. Before leaving the field to the richer returns of more fortunate workmen, it will not be inappropriate to add a sketch of the ministers of these religions, the servants in this temple.

Shamans, conjurers, sorcerers, medicine men, wizards, and many another hard name have been given them, but I shall call them *priests*, for in their poor way, as well as any other priesthood, they set up to be the agents of the gods, and the interpreters of divinity. No tribe was so devoid of religious sentiment as to be without them. Their power was terrible, and their use of it unhesitating. Neither men nor gods, death nor life, the winds nor the waves, were beyond their control.

Like Old Men of the Sea, they have clung to the neck of their nations, throttling all attempts at progress, binding them to the thraldom of superstition and profligacy, dragging them down to wretchedness and death. Christianity and civilization meet in them their most determined, most implacable foes.

But what is this but the story of priestcraft and intolerance everywhere, which Old Spain can repeat as well as New Spain, the white race as well as the red? Blind leaders of the blind, dupers and duped fall into the ditch.

In their own languages they are variously called; by the Algonkins and Dakotas, "those knowing divine things" and "dreamers of the gods" (*manitousiou, wakanwacipi*); in Mexico, "masters or guardians of the divine things" (*teopixqui, teotecuhtli*) and *nanahualtin*, "those who know;" in Cherokee, their title means "possessed of the divine fire" (*atsilung kelawhi*); in Iroquois, "keepers of the faith" (*honundeunt*); in Quichua, "the learned" (*amauta*); in Maya, "the listeners" (*cocome*); in Eskimo, *angakok*, "the ancient ones" (those possessing the elder knowledge); in Apache, *diyi*, the "wise ones."[1]

The popular term in French and English of "medicine men" is not such a misnomer as might be supposed. The noble science of medicine is connected with divinity not only by the rudest savage but the profoundest philosopher, as has been already adverted to. When sickness is looked upon as the effect of the anger of a god, or as the malicious infliction of a sorcerer, it

[1] The article on "The Medicine Men of the Apache," in 9th *An. Rep. Bur. Ethnology*, by the late Captain John G. Bourke, contains much general as well as special information on the position and practice of these native priests.

is natural to seek help from those who assume to control the unseen world, and influence the fiats of the Almighty.

The recovery from disease is the kindliest exhibition of divine power. Therefore the earliest canons of medicine in India and Egypt are attributed to no less distinguished authors than the gods Brahma and Thoth;[1] therefore the earliest practitioners of the healing art are universally the ministers of religion.

But, however creditable this origin is to medicine, its partnership with theology was no particular advantage to it. These mystical doctors shared the disrespect still so prevalent among ourselves for a treatment based on experiment and reason, and regarded the administration of emetics and purgatives, baths and diuretics, with a contempt quite equal to that of the disciples of Hahnemann. The practitioners of the rational school formed a separate class among the Indians, and had nothing to do with amulets, powwows, or spirits.[2] They were of different name and standing, and though held in less estimation, such valuable additions to the pharmacopœia as guaiacum, cinchona, and ipecacuanha, were learned from them.

The priesthood scorned such ignoble means. Were they summoned to a patient, they drowned his groans in a barbarous clangor of instruments in order to fright away the demon that possessed him; they sucked and blew upon the diseased organ; they sprinkled him with water, and catching it again threw it on the ground, thus drowning out the disease; they rubbed the part with their hands, and exhibiting a bone or splinter asserted that they drew it from the body, and that it

[1] Haeser, *Geschichte der Medicin*, pp. 4, 7 (Jena, 1845).

[2] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 440.

had been the cause of the malady; they manufactured a little image to represent the spirit of sickness, and spitefully knocked it to pieces, thus vicariously destroying its prototype; they sang doleful and monotonous chants at the top of their voices, screwed their countenances into hideous grimaces, twisted their bodies into unheard of contortions, and by all accounts did their utmost to merit the honorarium they demanded for their services.[1]

A double motive spurred them to spare no pains. For if they failed, not only was their reputation gone, but the next expert called in was likely enough to hint, with that urbanity so traditional in the profession, that the illness was in fact caused or much increased by the antagonistic nature of the remedies previously employed, whereupon the chances were that the doctor's life fell into greater jeopardy than that of his quondam patient.

Considering the probable result of this treatment, we may be allowed to doubt whether it redounded on the whole very much to the honor of the fraternity. Their strong points are rather to be looked for in the real knowledge gained by a solitary and reflective life, by an earnest study of the appearances of nature, and of those hints and forest signs which are wholly lost on the white man and beyond the ordinary insight of a native. Travellers often tell of changes of the weather predicted by them with astonishing foresight, and of information of singular accuracy and extent gleaned from most meagre materials.

There is nothing in this to shock our sense of proba-

[1] Judge Im Thurn gives an interesting account of his own experience with such a physician. *Among the Indians of Guiana*, p. 337.

bility—much to elevate our opinion of the native sagacity. They were also adepts in tricks of sleight of hand, and had no mean acquaintance with what is called natural magic. They would allow themselves to be tied hand and foot with knots innumerable, and at a sign would shake them loose as so many wisps of straw; they would spit fire and swallow hot coals, pick glowing stones from the flames, walk with naked feet over live ashes, and plunge their arms to the shoulder in kettles of boiling water with apparent impunity.[1]

Nor was this all. With a skill not inferior to that of the jugglers of India, they could plunge knives into vital parts, vomit blood, or kill one another out and out to all appearances, and yet in a few minutes be as well as ever; they could set fire to articles of clothing and even houses, and by a touch of their magic restore them instantly as perfect as before. Says Father Bautista: "They can make a stick look like a serpent, a mat like a centipede, and a piece of stone like a scorpion."[2] If it were not within our power to see most of these miracles performed any night in our great cities by a well dressed professional, we should at once deny their possibility. As it is they astonish us but little.

One of the most peculiar and characteristic exhibitions of their power, was to summon a spirit to answer inquiries concerning the future and the absent. A great similarity marked this proceeding in all northern

[1] Carver, *Travels in North America*, p. 73 (Boston, 1802); *Narrative of John Tanner*, p. 135, etc.

[2] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. x. cap. 20; *Le Livre Sacré des Quichés*, p. 177; *Lett. sur les Superstit. du Pérou*, pp, 89, 91; Bautista, *Advertencia para los Confesores*, fol. 112 (1600).

tribes from the Eskimos to the Mexicans. A circular or conical lodge of stout poles four or eight in number planted firmly in the ground, was covered with skins or mats, a small aperture only being left for the seer to enter. Once in, he carefully closed the hole and commenced his incantations. Soon the lodge trembles, the strong poles shake and bend as with the united strength of a dozen men, and strange, unearthly sounds, now far aloft in the air, now deep in the ground, anon approaching near and nearer, reach the ears of the spectators.

At length the priest announces that the spirit is present, and is prepared to answer questions. An indispensable preliminary to any inquiry is to insert a handful of tobacco, or a string of beads, or some such douceur under the skins, ostensibly for the behoof of the celestial visitor, who would seem not to be above earthly wants and vanities. The replies received, though occasionally singularly clear and correct, are usually of that profoundly ambiguous purport which leaves the anxious inquirer little wiser than he was before.

For all this, ventriloquism, trickery, and shrewd knavery are sufficient explanations. Nor does it materially interfere with this view, that converted Indians, on whose veracity we can implicitly rely, have repeatedly averred that in performing this rite they themselves did not move the medicine lodge; for nothing is easier than in the state of nervous excitement they were then in to be self-deceived, as the now familiar phenomenon of table-turning illustrates.

But there is something more than these vulgar arts now and then to be perceived. There are statements supported by unquestionable testimony, which ought

not to be passed over in silence, and yet I cannot but approach them with hesitation. They are so revolting to the laws of exact science, so alien, I had almost said, to the experience of our lives. Yet is this true, or are such experiences only ignored and put aside without serious consideration? Are there not in the history of each of us passages which strike our retrospective thought with awe, almost with terror? Are there not in nearly every community individuals who possess a mysterious power, concerning whose origin, mode of action, and limits, we and they are alike in the dark?

I refer to such organic forces as are popularly summed up under the words clairvoyance, mesmerism, rhabdomancy, animal magnetism, physical spiritualism. Civilized thousands stake their faith and hope here and hereafter, on the truth of these manifestations; rational medicine recognizes their existence, and while she attributes them to morbid and exceptional influences, confesses her want of more exact knowledge, and refrains from barren theorizing. Let us follow her example, and hold it enough to show that such powers, whatever they are, were known to the native priesthood as well as the modern spiritualists and the miracle mongers of the Middle Ages.

Their highest development is what our ancestors called "second sight." That under certain conditions knowledge can pass from one mind to another otherwise than through the ordinary channels of the senses, is shown by the examples of persons *en rapport*. The limit to this we do not know, but it is not unlikely that clairvoyance or second sight is based upon it.

In his autobiography, the celebrated Sac chief Black Hawk, relates that his great grandfather "was inspired

by a belief that at the end of four years, he should see a white man, who would be to him a father." Under the direction of this vision he travelled eastward to a certain spot, and there, as he was forewarned, met a Frenchman, through whom the nation was brought into alliance with France.[1]

No one at all versed in the Indian character will doubt the implicit faith with which this legend was told and heard. But we may be pardoned our scepticism, seeing there are so many chances of error. It is not so with an anecdote related by Captain Jonathan Carver, a cool-headed English trader, whose little book of travels is an unquestioned authority. In 1767 he was among the Killistenoes at a time when they were in great straits for food, and depending upon the arrival of the traders to rescue them from starvation. They persuaded the chief priest to consult the divinities as to when the relief would arrive. After the usual preliminaries, this magnate announced that the next day, precisely when the sun reached the zenith, a canoe would arrive with further tidings. At the appointed hour, the whole village, together with the incredulous Englishman, was on the beach, and sure enough, at the minute specified, a canoe swung round a distant point of land, and rapidly approaching the shore brought the expected news.[2]

Charlevoix is nearly as trustworthy a writer as Carver. Yet he deliberately relates an equally singular instance.[3]

But these examples are surpassed by one described in the *Atlantic Monthly* of July, 1866, the author of which, the late Col. John Mason Brown, has assured

[1] *Life of Black Hawk*, p. 13.
[2] *Travs. in North America*, p. 74.
[3] *Journal Historique*, p. 362.

me of its accuracy in every particular. Some years since, at the head of a party of voyageurs, he set forth in search of a band of Indians somewhere on the vast plains along the tributaries of the Copper-mine and Mackenzie rivers. Danger, disappointment, and the fatigues of the road, induced one after another to turn back, until of the original ten only three remained. They also were on the point of giving up the apparently hopeless quest, when they were met by some warriors of the very band they were seeking. These had been sent out by one of their medicine men to find three whites, whose horses, arms, attire, and personal appearance he minutely described, which description was repeated to Col. Brown by the warriors before they saw his two companions. When afterwards, the priest, a frank and simple-minded man, was asked to explain this extraordinary occurrence, he could offer no other explanation than that "he saw them coming, and heard them talk on their journey."[1]

Many tales such as these have been recorded by travellers, and however much they may shock our sense

[1] Sometimes facts like this can be explained by the quickness of perception acquired by constant exposure to danger. The mind takes cognizance unconsciously of trifling incidents, the sum of which leads it to a conviction which the individual regards almost as an inspiration. This is the explanation of *presentiments*. But this does not apply to cases like that of Swedenborg, who described a conflagration going on at Stockholm, when he was at Gottenberg, two hundred miles away. Psychologists who scorn any method of studying the mind but through physiology, are at a loss in such cases, and take refuge in refusing them credence. Theologians call them inspirations either of devils or angels, as they happen to agree or disagree in religious views with the person experiencing them. True science reserves its opinion until further observation enlightens it.

of probability, as well-authenticated exhibitions of a power which sways the Indian mind, and which has ever prejudiced it so unchangeably against Christianity and civilization, they cannot be disregarded. Whether they too are but specimens of refined knavery, whether they are instigations of the Devil, or whether they must be classed with other facts as illustrating certain obscure and curious mental faculties, each may decide as the bent of his mind inclines him, for science makes no decision.

Those nervous conditions associated with the name of Mesmer were nothing new to the Indian magicians. Rubbing and stroking the sick, and the laying on of hands, were very common parts of their clinical procedures, and at the initiations to their societies they were frequently exhibited. Observers have related that among the Nez Percés of Oregon, the novice was put to sleep by songs, incantations, and "certain passes of the hand," and that with the Dakotas he would be struck lightly on the breast at a preconcerted moment, and instantly "would drop prostrate on his face, his muscles rigid and quivering in every fibre."[1]

There is no occasion to suppose deceit in this. It finds its parallel in every race and every age, and rests on a characteristic trait of certain epochs and certain men, which leads them to seek the divine, not in thoughtful contemplation on the laws of the universe and the facts of self-consciousness, but in an entire immolation of the latter, a sinking of their own individuality in that of the spirits whose alliance they seek.

This is an outgrowth of that ignoring of the universality of Law, which belongs to the lower stages of en-

[1] Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, iii. p. 287; v. p. 652.

lightenment.[1] And as this is never done with impunity, but with certainty brings punishment with it, the study of the mental conditions thus evoked, and the results which follow them, offer a salutary subject of reflection to the theologian as well as the physician. For these examples of nervous pathology are identical in kind, and alike in consequences, whether witnessed in the primitive forests of the New World, among the convulsionists of St. Medard, or in the excited scenes of a religious revival in one of our own churches.

Sleeplessness and abstemiousness, carried to the verge of human endurance—seclusion, and the pertinacious fixing of the mind on one subject—the swallowing or inhalation of cerebral intoxicants—obstinate gloating on some morbid fancy, these rarely failed to bring about hallucinations with all the garb of reality. Physicians are well aware that the more frequently these diseased conditions of the mind are sought, the more readily they are found.

They were often induced by intoxicating and narcotic herbs. Tobacco, the maguey, coca; in California the chucuaco; among the Mexicans the snake plant, *ololiuhqui*, and the *peyotl;* and among the southern tribes of our own country the cassine yupon and iris versicolor,[2] were used; and, it is even said, were cultivated for this purpose.

[1] "The progress from deepest ignorance to highest enlightenment," remarks Herbert Spencer in his *Social Statics*, "is a progress from entire unconsciousness of law, to the conviction that law is universal and inevitable."

[2] The Creeks had, according to Hawkins, not less than seven sacred plants; chief of them were the cassine yupon, called by botanists *Ilex vomitoria*, or *Ilex cassina*, of the natural order Aquifoliaceæ; and the blue flag, *Iris versicolor*, natural order Iridaceæ.

The seer must work himself up to a prophetic fury, or speechless lie in apparent death before the mind of the gods would be opened to him. Trance and ecstasy were the two avenues he knew to divinity; fasting and seclusion the means employed to discover them. His ideal was of a prophet who dwelt far from men, without need of food, in constant communion with divinity.

Such an one, in the legends of the Tupis, resided on a mountain glittering with gold and silver, near the river Uaupe, his only companion a dog, his only occupation dreaming of the gods. When, however, an eclipse was near, his dog would bark; and then, taking the form of a bird, he would fly over the villages, and learn the changes that had taken place.[1]

But man cannot trample with impunity on the laws of his physical life, and the consequences of these deprivations and morbid excitements of the brain show themselves in terrible pictures. Not unfrequently they were carried to the pitch of raving mania, reminding one of the worst forms of the Berserker fury of the Scandinavians, or the Bacchic rage of Greece.

The enthusiast, maddened with the fancies of a disordered intellect, would start forth from his seclusion in an access of demoniac frenzy. Then woe to the dog, the child, the slave, or the woman who crossed his

The former is a powerful diuretic and mild emetic, and grows only near the sea. The latter is an active emeto-cathartic, and is abundant on swampy grounds throughout the Southern States. From it was formed the celebrated "black drink," with which they opened their councils, and which served them in place of spirits. On the various plants used by the ancient Mexicans to produce the divine delirium see my *Nagualism*, pp. 6–8.

[1] Martius, *Von dem Rechtzustande unter den Ureinwohnern Brasiliens*, p. 32.

path; for nothing but blood could satisfy his inappeasable craving, and they fell instant victims to his madness. But were it a strong man, he bared his arm, and let the frenzied hermit bury his teeth in the quivering flesh. Such is a scene to a recent day not uncommon on the northwest coast, and few of the natives around Milbank Sound are without the scars the result of this horrid custom.[1]

This frenzy, terrible enough in individuals, had its most disastrous effects when with that peculiar facility of contagion which marks hysterical maladies, it swept through whole villages, transforming them into bedlams filled with unrestrained madmen. Those who have studied the strange and terrible mental epidemics that visited Europe in the middle ages, such as the tarantula dance of Apulia, the chorea Germanorum, and the great St. Vitus' dance, will be prepared to appreciate the nature of a scene at a Huron village, described by Father le Jeune in 1639.

A festival of three days and three nights had been in progress to relieve a woman who, from the description, seems to have been suffering from some obscure nervous complaint. Toward the close of this vigil, which throughout was marked by all sorts of debaucheries and excesses, all the participants seemed suddenly seized by ten thousand devils. They ran howling and shrieking through the town, breaking everything destructible in the cabins, killing dogs, beating the women and children, tearing their garments, and scattering the fires in every direction with bare hands and feet. Some of them dropped senseless, to remain long or permanently insane, but the others continued until worn out with exhaustion.

[1] Mr. Anderson, in the *Am. Hist. Mag.*, vii. p. 79.

The Father learned that during these orgies not unfrequently whole villages were consumed, and the total extirpation of some families had resulted. No wonder that he saw in them the diabolical workings of the prince of evil, but the physician is rather inclined to class them with those cases of epidemic hysteria, the common products of violent and ill-directed mental stimuli.[1] Precisely analogous is the epidemic madness which at times raged among the Guaranis of Paraguay. Bands of men and women would roam the country at night, seizing, tearing with their teeth, and sometimes killing the wayfarers they would encounter.[2]

These various considerations prove beyond a doubt that the power of the priesthood did by no means rest exclusively on deception. They indorse and explain the assertions of converted natives, that their power as prophets was something real, and entirely inexplicable to themselves. And they make it easily understood how those missionaries failed who attempted to persuade them that all this boasted power was false.

More correct views than these ought to have been

[1] Such spectacles were nothing uncommon. They are frequently mentioned in the Jesuit Relations, and they were the chief obstacles to missionary labor. In the debauches and excesses that excited these temporary manias, in the recklessness of life and property they fostered, and in their disastrous effects on mind and body, are depicted more than in any other one trait the thorough depravity of the race and its tendency to ruin. In the quaint words of one of the Catholic fathers, "If the old proverb is true that every man has a grain of madness in his composition, it must be confessed that this is a people where each has at least half an ounce" (De Quen, *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, 1656, p. 27). For the instance in the text see *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1639, pp. 88-94.

[2] Antonio Ruiz, *Conquista Espiritual de Paraguay*, fol. 90.

suggested by the facts themselves, for it is indisputable that these magicians did not hesitate at times to test their strength on each other. In these strange duels *à l'outrance*, one would be seated opposite his antagonist, surrounded with the mysterious emblems of his craft, and call upon his gods, one after another, to strike his enemy dead. Sometimes one, "gathering his medicine," as it was termed, feeling within himself that hidden force of will which makes itself acknowledged even without words, would rise in his might, and in a loud and severe voice command his opponent to die! Straightway the latter would drop dead, or yielding in craven fear to a superior volition, forsake the implements of his art, and with an awful terror at his heart, creep to his lodge, refuse all nourishment, and presently perish.

Still more terrible was the tyranny they exerted on the superstitious minds of the masses. Let an Indian once be possessed of the idea that he is bewitched, and he will probably reject all food, and sink under the phantoms of his own fancy.

How deep the superstitious veneration of these men has struck its roots in the soul of the Indian, it is difficult for civilized minds to conceive. Their power is currently supposed to be without any bounds, "extending to the raising of the dead and the control of all laws of nature."[1] The grave offers no escape from their omnipotent arms. The Sacs and Foxes, Algonkin tribes, think that the soul cannot leave the corpse until set free by the medicine men at their great annual feast;[2] and the Puelches of Buenos Ayres guard a pro-

[1] Schoolcraft, *Indian Tribes*, v. p. 423.

[2] J. M. Stanley, in the *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Contributions*, ii. p. 38.

found silence as they pass by the tomb of some redoubted necromancer, lest they should disturb his repose, and suffer from his malignant skill.[1]

While thus investigating their real and supposed power over the physical and mental world, their strictly priestly functions, as performers of the rites of religion, have not been touched upon. Among the ruder tribes these, indeed, were of the most rudimentary character. Sacrifices, chiefly in the form of feasts, where every one crammed to his utmost, dances, often winding up with wild scenes of licentiousness, the repetition of long and monotonous chants, the making of the new fire, these are the ceremonies that satisfy the religious wants of savages.

The priest finds a further sphere for his activity in manufacturing and consecrating amulets to keep off ill luck,[2] in interpreting dreams, and especially in lifting the veil of the future. In Peru, for example, they were divided into classes, who made the various means of divination specialties. Some caused the idols to speak, others derived their foreknowledge from words spoken by the dead, others predicted by leaves of tobacco or the grains and juice of coca, while to still other classes, the shapes of grains of maize taken at random, the appearance of animal excrement, the forms assumed by the smoke rising from burning victims, the entrails and viscera of animals, the course taken by a certain species of spider, the visions seen in

[1] D'Orbigny, *L'Homme Américain*, ii. p. 81.

[2] The amulet is a sort of personal fetich, and has been so called (Dorsey, *Siouan Cults*, p. 515). Their classes and origin are discussed by Frank H. Cushing, *Zuñi Fetiches*, p. 44, sq.; J. G. Bourke, *Medicine Men of the Apaches*, p. 587; John Murdoch, *The Point Barrow Eskimo*, p. 434, and others.

drunkenness, the flights of birds, and the direction in which fruits would fall, all offered so many separate fields of prognostication, the professors of which were distinguished by different ranks and titles.[1]

As the intellectual force of the nation was chiefly centred in this class, they became the acknowledged depositaries of its sacred legends, the instructors in the art of preserving thought; and from their duty to regulate festivals, sprang the observation of the motions of the heavenly bodies, the adjustment of the calendars, and the pseudo-science of judicial astrology. The latter was carried to as subtle a pitch of refinement in Mexico as in the old world; and large portions of the ancient writers are taken up with explaining the method adopted by the native astrologers to cast the horoscope, and reckon the nativity of the newly-born infant.[2]

How was this superior power obtained? What were the terms of admission to this privileged class? In the ruder communities the power was strictly personal. It was revealed to its possessor by the character of the visions he perceived at the ordeal he passed through on arriving at puberty; and by the northern nations was said to be the manifestation of a more potent personal spirit than ordinary. It was not a faculty, but an inspiration; not an inborn strength, but a spiritual gift.

The curious theory of the Dakotas, as recorded by the Rev. Mr. Pond, was that the necromant first wakes to consciousness as a winged seed, wafted hither and thither by the intelligent action of the Four Winds. In this form he visits the homes of the different classes

[1] See Balboa, *Hist. du Pérou*, pp. 28–30.

[2] See especially Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, who devotes two books of his work to the ancient Mexican astrology and divination.

of divinities, and learns the chants, feasts, and dances, which it is proper for the human race to observe, the art of omnipresence or clairvoyance, the means of inflicting and healing diseases, and the occult secrets of nature, man, and divinity. This is called "dreaming of the gods." When this instruction is completed, the seed enters one about to become a mother, assumes human form, and in due time manifests its powers. *Four* such incarnations await it, each of increasing might, and then the spirit returns to its original nothingness.

The same necessity of death and resurrection was entertained by the Eskimos. To become of the highest order of priests, it was supposed requisite, says Bishop Egede, that one of the lower order should be drowned and eaten by sea monsters. Then, when his bones, one after another, were all washed ashore, his spirit, which meanwhile had been learning the secrets of the invisible world, would return to them, and, clothed in flesh, he would go back to his tribe.

At other times a vague and indescribable longing seizes a young person, a morbid appetite possesses them, or they fall a prey to an inappeasable and aimless restlessness, or a causeless melancholy. These signs the old priests recognize as the expression of a personal spirit of the higher order. They take charge of the youth, and educate him to the mysteries of their craft. For months or years he is condemned to entire seclusion, receiving no visits but from the brethren of his order. At length he is initiated with ceremonies of more or less pomp into the brotherhood, and from that time assumes that gravity of demeanor, sententious style of expression, and general air of mystery and importance, everywhere deemed so eminently becoming

in a doctor and a priest. A peculiarity of the Moxos was, that they thought none designated for the office but such as had escaped from the claws of the South American tiger, which, indeed, it is said they worshipped as a god.[1]

Occasionally, in very uncultivated tribes, some family or totem claimed a monopoly of the priesthood. Thus, among the Nez Percès of Oregon, it was transmitted in one family from father to son and daughter, but always with the proviso that the child at the proper age reported dreams of a satisfactory character.[2] Perhaps alone of the Algonkin tribes the Shawnees confined it to one totem, but it is remarkable that the greatest of their prophets, Elskataway, brother of Tecumseh, was not a member of this clan.

From the most remote times, the Cherokees have had one family set apart for the priestly office. This was when first known to the whites that of the Nicotani, but its members, puffed up with pride, abused their birthright so shamefully, and prostituted it so flagrantly to their own advantage, that with savage justice they were massacred to the last man. Another was appointed in their place who to this day officiates in all religious rites. They have, however, the superstition, possibly borrowed from Europeans, that the *seventh* son is a natural born prophet, with the gift of healing by touch.[3]

Adair states that their former neighbors, the Choctaws, permitted the office of high priest, or Great Beloved Man, to remain in one family, passing from

[1] D'Orbigny, *L'Homme Américain*, ii. p. 235.

[2] Schoolcraft, *Ind. Tribes*, v. p. 652.

[3] Dr. MacGowan, in the *Amer. Hist. Mag.*, x. p. 139; Whipple, *Rep. on the Ind. Tribes*, p. 35.

father to eldest son, and the very influential *piaches* of the Carib tribes very generally transmitted their rank and position to their children.

In ancient Anahuac the prelacy was as systematic and its rules as well defined, as in the Church of Rome. Except those in the service of Huitzilopochtli, and perhaps a few other gods, none obtained the priestly office by right of descent, but were dedicated to it from early childhood. Their education was completed at the *Calmecac*, a sort of ecclesiastical college, where instruction was given in all the wisdom of the ancients, and the esoteric lore of their craft. The art of mixing colors and tracing designs, the ideographic writing and phonetic hieroglyphs, the songs and prayers used in public worship, the national traditions and the principles of astrology, the hidden meaning of symbols and the use of musical instruments, all formed parts of the really extensive course of instruction they there received.

When they manifested a satisfactory acquaintance with this curriculum, they were appointed by their superiors to such positions as their natural talents and the use they had made of them qualified them for, some to instruct children, others to the service of the temples, and others again to take charge of what we may call country parishes. Implicit subordination of all to the high priest of Huitzilopochtli, hereditary *pontifex maximus*, chastity, or at least temperate indulgence in pleasure, gravity of carriage, and strict attention to duty, were laws laid upon all.

The state religion of Peru was conducted under the supervision of a high priest of the Inca family, and its ministers, as in Mexico, could be of either sex, and hold office either by inheritance, education, or election. For

political reasons, the most important posts were usually enjoyed by relatives of the ruler, but this was usage, not law. It is stated by Garcilasso de la Vega[1] that they served in the temples by turns, each being on duty the fourth of a lunar month at a time. Were this substantiated it would offer the only example of the regulation of public life by a week of seven days to be found in the New World.

In the religions of the red man, as above intimated, sex erected no barriers to the admission of the inspired into the arcana of the divine. In nearly all tribes there were "medicine women" as well as "medicine men." Nor were their activities confined to ministering to the sick. The most potent *angakok* of the Innuit might be a woman; and among the Algonkins the mysteries of the secret society of the Mediwiwin were open to both sexes alike.[2]

Still more prominent was this feature elsewhere. The one only member of the Zuñi tribe who had the key to all the secret sodalities was a woman (Cushing), and in the far south, in Chiapas, when the master Votan hollowed out of the rock his cave-temple by blowing with his breath, and in it stored the potent implements of his magical craft, he placed in charge of the sacred trust, not a priest but a priestess. In the chronicles of Mexican *nagualism* it is recorded that the marvellous power of the adepts in transforming themselves into the brute form of their guardian spirit (*tonal*) was first taught them by a mighty enchantress, who herself could assume at will any one of four forms.[3]

[1] *Comentarios Reales*, lib. iii. cap. 22.

[2] W. J. Hoffman, *The Midewiwin of the Ojibway*, p. 159. Captain Bourke says the same of the Apaches, u. s., p. 468.

[3] Brinton, *Nagualism*, pp. 33 sqq.

This explains why in the later revolts of these tribes, even down to that in Guatemala in 1885, we find so often that the moving spirit, the prompter and leader of the rebellion, is some warrior woman, driven by a divine energy to seek the independence of her tribe from the hated yoke of the whites. Such was Maria Candelaria, the heroine of the Tzental insurrection of 1712, a girl of twenty summers, but fired by an eloquence and a resolution that summoned to her banners fifteen thousand fighting men, and for many months bade defiance to the arms of Spain. Nor would she then have failed, had it not been for cowardice and treachery in her own camp.

In every country there is perceptible a desire in the priestly class to surround themselves with mystery, and to concentrate and increase their power by forming an intimate alliance among themselves. They affected singularity in dress and a professional costume.

Bartram describes the junior priests of the Creeks as dressed in white robes and carrying on their head or arm "a great owlskin, stuffed very ingeniously, as an insignia of wisdom and divination. These bachelors are also distinguishable from the other people by their taciturnity, grave and solemn countenance, dignified step, and singing to themselves songs or hymns, in a low sweet voice, as they stroll about the towns."[1] The priests of the civilized nations adopted various modes of dress to typify the divinity which they served, and their appearance was often in the highest degree unprepossessing.

To add to their self-importance they pretended to converse in a tongue different from that used in ordinary life, and the chants containing the prayers and

[1] *Travels in the Carolinas*, p. 504.

legends were often in this esoteric dialect. Fragments of one or two of these have floated down to us from the Aztec priesthood. The travellers Balboa and Coreal mention that the temple services of Peru were conducted in a language not understood by the masses,[1] and the incantations of the priests of Powhatan were not in ordinary Algonkin, but some obscure jargon.[2]

The same peculiarity has been observed among the Dakotas and Eskimos, and in these nations, fortunately, it fell under the notice of competent linguistic scholars, who have submitted it to a searching examination. The results of their labors prove that in these two instances the supposed foreign tongues were nothing more than the ordinary dialects of the country modified by an affected accentuation, by the introduction of a few cabalistic terms, and by the use of descriptive circumlocutions and figurative words in place of ordi-

[1] *Hist. du Pérou*, p. 128; *Voiages aux Indes Occidentales*, ii. p. 97.

[2] Beverly, *Hist. de la Virginie*, p. 266. The dialect he specifies is "celle d'Occaniches," and on page 252 he says, "On dit que la langue universelle des Indiens de ces Quartiers est celle des *Occaniches*, quoiqu'ils ne soient qu'une petite Nation, depuis que les Anglois connoissent ce Pais; mais je ne sais pas la difference qui'l y a entre cette langue et celle des Algonkins." (French trans., Orleans, 1707.) This is undoubtedly the same people that Johannes Lederer, a German traveller, visited in 1670, and calls *Akenatzi*. They dwelt on an island, in a branch of the Chowan River, the Sapona, or Deep River (Lederer's *Discovery of North America*, in Harris, Voyages, p. 20). Thirty years later the English surveyor, Lawson, found them in the same spot, and speaks of them as the *Acanechos* (see *Am. Hist. Mag.*, i. p. 163). Their totem was that of the serpent. Mr. James Mooney identifies them with the Occaneechi, a tribe of Siouan affinities. *Siouan Tribes of the East*, p. 29.

nary expressions, a slang, in short, such as rascals and pedants invariably coin whenever they associate.[1]

Numerous other examples have been added of recent years to these. The secret or sacred language of the Guaymis, the Chahtas, the Cherokees, the Zuñis, have been learned and analyzed by competent scholars. They all prove, as we might expect, to be modifications of the ordinary speech. Sometimes they contain words unknown in the idiom of daily life; and these we may regard as archaisms, or as borrowed from other stocks along with the ceremonies or myths to which they have reference.

Frequently they are metaphorical in a high degree, the most striking example of which is that of the Mexican Nagualists, curious specimens of which were collected by Father de la Serna about the middle of the seventeenth century, and the sacred formulas of the Cherokees which have been published by Mr. James Mooney. There is much analogy in the modes of thought and the figurative expressions which are presented.[2]

All these stratagems were intended to shroud with impenetrable secrecy the mysteries of the brotherhood. With the same motive, the priests formed societies of different grades of illumination, only to be entered by those willing to undergo trying ordeals, whose secrets were not to be revealed under the severest penalties. The Algonkins had three such grades, the *wabeno*, the

[1] Riggs, *Gram. and Dict. of the Dakota*, p. ix.; Kane, *Second Grinnell Expedition*, ii. p. 127. Paul Egede gives a number of words and expressions in the dialect of the sorcerers, *Nachrichten von Grönland*, p. 122.

[2] Jacinto de la Serna, *Manual de Ministros;* James Mooney, *Sacred Formulas of the Cherokees*, Brinton, *Nagualism*, etc.

mide, and the *jossakeed*, the last being the highest. To this no white man was ever admitted.[1]

All tribes appear to have been controlled by these secret societies. Alexander von Humboldt mentions one, called that of the Botuto or Holy Trumpet, among the Indians of the Orinoko, whose members must vow celibacy and submit to severe scourgings and fasts. The Collahuayas of Peru were a guild of itinerant quacks and magicians, who never remained permanently in one spot.

Withal, there was no class of persons who so widely and deeply influenced the culture and shaped the destiny of the Indian tribes as their priests. In attempting to gain a true conception of the race's capacities and history, there is no one element of their social life which demands closer attention than the power of these teachers. Hitherto, they have been spoken of with a contempt which I hope this chapter shows is unjustifiable. However much we may deplore the use they made of their skill, we must estimate it fairly, and grant it its due weight in measuring the influence of the religious sentiment on the history of man.

[1] A full description of these important bodies, as they are to-day, is given by Dr. W. J. Hoffman, in his essay "The Midewiwin of the Ojibwa," in. *7th An. Rep. Bur. Ethnology.*

CHAPTER XI.

THE INFLUENCE OF THE NATIVE RELIGIONS ON THE MORAL AND SOCIAL LIFE OF THE RACE.

Natural religions hitherto considered of Evil rather than of Good. —Distinctions to be drawn.—Morality not derived from religion. —The positive side of natural religions in incarnations of divinity.—Examples.—Prayers as indices of religious progress. —Religion and social advancement.—Conclusion.

DRAWING toward the conclusion of my essay, I am sensible that the vast field of American mythology remains for the most part untouched—that I have but proved that it is not an absolute wilderness, pathless as the tropical jungles which now conceal the temples of the race; but that, go where we will, certain landmarks and guide-posts are visible, revealing uniformity of design and purpose, and refuting, by their presence, the oft-repeated charge of entire incoherence and aimlessness.

It remains to examine the subjective power of the native religions, their influence on those who held them, and the place they deserve in the history of the race. What are their merits, if merits they have? what their demerits? Did they purify the life and enlighten the mind, or the contrary? Are they in short of evil or of good?

The problem is complex—its solution most difficult. An author who some years ago studied profoundly the savage races of the globe, expressed the discouraging

conviction: "Their religions have not acted as levers to raise them to civilization; they have rather worked, and that powerfully, to impede every step in advance, in the first place by ascribing everything unintelligible in nature to spiritual agency, and then by making the fate of man dependent on mysterious and capricious forces, not on his own skill and foresight."[1]

It would ill accord with the theory of mythology which I have all along maintained if this verdict were final. But in fact these false doctrines brought with them their own antidotes, at least to some extent, and while we give full weight to their evil, let us also acknowledge their good. By substituting direct divine interference for law, belief for knowledge, a dogma for a fact, the highest stimulus to mental endeavor was taken away.

Nature, to the heathen, is no harmonious whole swayed by eternal principles, but a chaos of causeless effects, the meaningless play of capricious ghosts. He investigates not, because he doubts not. All events are to him miracles. Therefore his faith knows no bounds, and those who teach that doubt is sinful must contemplate him with admiration.

The damsels of Nicaragua destined to be thrown into the seething craters of volcanoes, went to their fate, says Pascual de Andagoya, "happy as if they were going to be saved,"[2] and doubtless believing so. The subjects of a Central American chieftain, remarks Oviedo, "look upon it as the crown of favors to be permitted to die with their cacique, and thus to acquire immortality."[3] The terrible power exerted by the priests

[1] Waitz, *Anthropologie der Naturvoelker*, i. p. 459.
[2] Navarrete, *Viages*, iii. p. 415.
[3] *Relation de Cueba*, p. 140. Ed. Ternaux-Compans.

rested, as they themselves often saw, largely on the implicit acceptance of their dicta.

In some respects the contrast here offered to enlightened nations is not always in favor of the latter. Borrowing the pointed antithesis of the poet, the mind is often tempted to exclaim—

> "This is all
> The gain we reap from all the wisdom sown
> Through ages: Nothing doubted those first sons
> Of Time, while we, the schooled of centuries,
> Nothing believe."—*Lytton.*

But the complaint is unfounded. Faith is dearly bought at the cost of knowledge; nor in a better sense has it yet gone from among us. Far more sublime than any known to the barbarian is the faith of the astronomer, who spends the nights in marking the seemingly wayward motions of the stars, or of the anatomist, who studies with unwearied zeal the minute fibres of the organism, each upheld by the unshaken conviction that from least to greatest throughout this universe, purpose and order everywhere prevail.

Natural religions rarely offer more than this negative opposition to reason. They are tolerant to a degree. The savage, void of any clear conception of a supreme deity, sets up no claim that his is the only true church. If he is conquered in battle, he imagines that it is owing to the inferiority of his own gods to those of his victor, and he rarely therefore requires any other reasons to make him a convert.

Acting on this principle, the Incas, when they overcame a strange province, sent its most venerated idol for a time to the temple of the Sun at Cuzco, thus proving its inferiority to their own divinity, but

took no more violent steps to propagate their creeds.[1] So in the city of Mexico there was a temple appropriated to the idols of conquered nations in which they were shut up, both to prove their weakness and prevent them from doing mischief.

A nation, like an individual, was not inclined to patronize a deity who had manifested his incompetence by allowing his charge to be gradually worn away by constant disaster. As far as can now be seen, in matters intellectual, the religions of ancient Mexico and Peru were far more liberal than that introduced by the Spanish conquerors, which, claiming the monopoly of truth, sought to enforce its claim by inquisitions and censorships.

In this view of the relative powers of deities lay a potent corrective to the doctrine that the fate of man was dependent on the caprices of the gods. For no belief was more universal than that which assigned to each individual a guardian spirit. This invisible monitor was an ever present help in trouble. He suggested expedients, gave advice and warning in dreams, protected in danger, and stood ready to foil the machinations of enemies, divine or human.

With unlimited faith in this protector, attributing to him the devices suggested by his own quick wits and the fortunate chances of life, the savage escaped the oppressive thought that he was the slave of demoniac forces, and dared the dangers of the forest and the war path without anxiety.

By far the darkest side of such a religion is that which it presents to morality. The religious sense is by no means the voice of conscience. The Takahli

[1] La Vega, *Commentarios Reales*, liv. v. cap. 12.

Indian when sick makes a full and free confession of sins, but a murder, however unnatural and unprovoked, he does not mention, not counting it a crime.[1] Scenes of licentiousness were approved and sustained throughout the continent as acts of worship; maidenhood was in many parts freely offered up or claimed by the priests as a right; in central America twins were slain for religious motives; human sacrifice was common throughout the tropics, and was not unusual in higher latitudes; cannibalism was often enjoined; and in Peru, Florida, and Central America it was not uncommon for parents to slay their own children at the behest of a priest.[2]

The philosophical moralist, contemplating such spectacles, has thought to recognize in them one consoling trait. All history, it has been said, shows man living under an irritated God, and seeking to appease him by sacrifice of blood; the essence of all religion, it has been added, lies in that of which sacrifice is the symbol, namely, in the offering up of self, in the rendering up of our will to the will of God.[3]

[1] Morse, *Rep. on the Ind. Tribes*, App. p. 345.

[2] Ximenes, *Origen de los Indios de Guatemala*, p. 192; Acosta, *Hist. of the New World*, lib. v., chap. 18.

[3] Joseph de Maistre, *Eclaircissement sur les Sacrifices;* Trench, *Hulsean Lectures*, p. 180. The famed Abbé Lammennais and Professor Sepp, of Munich, with these two writers, may be taken as the chief exponents of a school of mythologists, all of whom start from the theories first laid down by Count de Maistre in his *Soirées de St. Petersbourg*. To them the strongest proof of Christianity lies in the traditions and observances of heathendom. For these show the wants of the religious sense, and Christianity, they maintain, purifies and satisfies them all. The rites, symbols, and legends of every natural religion, they say, are true and not false; all that is required is to assign them their proper places and their

But sacrifice, when not a token of gratitude, cannot be thus explained. It is not a rendering up, but a *substitution* of our will for God's will. A deity is angered by neglect of his dues; he will revenge, certainly, terribly, we know not how or when. But as punishment is all he desires, if we punish ourselves he will be satisfied; and far better is such self-inflicted torture than a fearful looking for of judgment to come. Craven fear, not without some dim sense of the implacability of nature's laws, is at its root.

Looking only at this side of religion, the ancient philosopher averred that the gods existed solely in the apprehensions of their votaries, and the moderns have asserted that "fear is the father of religion, love her late-born daughter;"[1] that "the first form of religious belief is nothing else but a horror of the unknown," and that "no natural religion appears to have been able to develop from a germ within itself anything whatever of real advantage to civilization."[2]

Far be it from me to excuse the enormities thus committed under the garb of religion, or to ignore their disastrous consequences on human progress. Yet this question is a fair one—If the natural religious belief has in it no germ of anything better, whence comes the manifest and undeniable improvement occasionally

real meaning. Therefore the strange resemblances in heathen myths to what is revealed in the Scriptures, as well as the ethical anticipations which have been found in ancient philosophies, all, so far from proving that Christianity is a natural product of the human mind, in fact, are confirmations of it, unconscious prophecies, and presentiments of the truth.

[1] Alfred Maury, *La Magie et l'Astrologie dans l'Antiquité et au Moyen Age*, p. 8.

[2] Waitz, *Anthropologie*, i. pp. 325, 465.

witnessed—as, for example, among the Aztecs, the Peruvians, and the Mayas?

The reply is, by the influence of great men, who cultivated within themselves a purer faith, lived it in their lives, preached it successfully to their fellows, and, at their death, still survived in the memory of their nation, unforgotten models of noble qualities.[1]

Where, in America, is any record of such men? We are pointed, in answer, to Quetzalcoatl, Viracocha, Itzamna, and their congeners. But these august figures I have shown to be wholly mythical, creations of the religious fancy, parts and parcels of the earliest religion itself. The entire theory falls to nothing, therefore, and we discover a positive side to natural religions—one that conceals a germ of endless progress, which vindicates their lofty origin, and proves that He "is not far from every one of us."

I have already analyzed these figures under their physical aspect. Let it be observed in what antithesis they stand to most other mythological creations. Let it be remembered that they primarily correspond to the stable, the regular, the cosmical phenomena, that they are always conceived under human form, not as giants, fairies, or strange beasts; that they were said at one time to have been visible leaders of their nations, that they did not suffer death, and that, though absent, they are ever present, favoring those who remain mindful of their precepts.

I touched but incidentally on their moral aspects. This was likewise in contrast to the majority of inferior deities. The worship of the latter was a tribute extorted by fear. The Indian deposits tobacco on the

[1] So says Dr. Waitz, *ibid.* p. 465, and various later Euhemerists.

rocks of a rapid, that the spirit of the swift waters may not swallow his canoe; in a storm he throws overboard a dog to appease the siren of the angry waves. He used to tear the hearts from his captives to gain the favor of the god of war. He provides himself with talismans to bind hostile deities. He fees the conjurer to exorcise the demon of disease. He loves none of them, he respects none of them; he only fears their wayward tempers. They are to him mysterious, invisible, capricious goblins.

But in his highest divinity, he recognized a Father and a Preserver, a benign Intelligence, who provided for him the comforts of life—man, like himself, yet a god—God of All. "Go and do good," was the parting injunction of his father to Michabo in Algonkin legend;[1] and in their ancient and uncorrupted stories such is ever his object. "The worship of Tamu," the culture hero of the Guaranis, says the traveller D'Orbigny, "is one of reverence, not of fear."[2] They were ideals, summing up in themselves the best traits, the most approved virtues of whole nations, and were adored in a very different spirit from other divinities.

None of them has more humane and elevated traits than Quetzalcoatl. He was represented of majestic stature and dignified demeanor. In his train came skilled artificers and men of learning. He was chaste and temperate in life, wise in council, generous of gifts, conquering rather by arts of peace than of war; delighting in music, flowers, and brilliant colors, and so averse to human sacrifices that he shut his ears with both hands when they were even mentioned.[3]

[1] Schoolcraft, *Algic Researches*, i. p. 143.

[2] *L'Homme Américain*, ii. p. 319.

[3] Brasseur, *Hist. du Mexique*, liv. iii. chaps. 1 and 2.

Such was the ideal man and supreme god of a people who even a Spanish monk of the sixteenth century felt constrained to confess were "a good people, attached to virtue, urbane and simple in social intercourse, shunning lies, skilful in arts, pious toward their gods."[1] Is it likely, is it possible, that with such a model as this before their minds, they received no benefit from it? Was not this a lever, and a mighty one, lifting the race toward civilization and a purer faith?

Transfer the field of observation to Yucatan, and we find in Itzamna, to New Granada and in Nemqueteba, to Peru and in Viracocha, or his reflex Tonapa, the lineaments of Quetzalcoatl—modified, indeed, by difference of blood and temperament, but each combining in himself all the qualities most esteemed by their several nations.

They are credited with an ethical elevation in their teachings which needs not blush before the loftiest precepts of Old World moralists. According to the earliest and most trustworthy accounts, the doctrines of Tonapa were filled with the loving kindness and the deep sense of duty which characterized the purest Christianity. "Nothing was wanting in them," says a historian, "save the name of God and that of his son, Jesus Christ."[2]

In the numerous ancient formulas or *huehuetlatolli*, collected by the first missionaries to Mexico, we perceive a constant tendency toward inculcating purity of life, kindness to companions, and control of the appetites, which would not be out of place in the most civilized communities.[3]

[1] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. vi. cap. 29.

[2] Pachacuti in *Tres Relaciones Peruanas*, p. 237.

[3] For these valuable documents see Sahagun, *Historia*, Lib. vi.,

The Iroquois sage, Hiawatha, probably an historical character, made it the noble aim of his influence and instruction to abolish war altogether and establish the reign of universal peace and brotherhood among men.[1]

Were one or all of these proved to be historial personages, still the fact remains that the primitive religious sentiment, investing them with the best attributes of humanity, dwelling on them as its models, worshipping them as gods, contained a kernel of truth potent to encourage moral excellence. But if they were mythical, then this truth was of spontaneous growth, self-developed by the growing distinctness of the idea of God, a living witness that the religious sense, like every other faculty, has within itself a power of endless evolution.

If we inquire the secret of the happier influence of this element in natural worship, it is all contained in one word—its *humanity*. "The Ideal of Morality," says the contemplative Novalis, "has no more dangerous rival than the Ideal of the Greatest Strength, of the most vigorous life, the Brute Ideal (*das Thier-Ideal*)."[2] Culture advances in proportion as man recognizes what faculties are peculiar to him *as man*, and devotes himself to their education.

The moral value of religions can be very precisely estimated by the human or the brutal character of their gods. The worship of Quetzalcoatl in the city of Mexico was subordinate to that of lower conceptions, and consequently the more sanguinary and immoral were the

who assures his readers that they are genuine; Olmos, *Gram. de la Langue Nahuatl*, p. 231 sq.; Juan de Bautista, *Huehuetlatolli*, a scarce work published in Mexico in 1599.

[1] Hale, *The Iroquois Book of Rites*, Introduction.

[2] Novalis, *Schriften*, i. p. 244 (Berlin, 1837).

rites there practised. The Algonkins, who knew no other meaning for Michabo than the Great Hare, had lost, by a false etymology, the best part of their religion.

Looking around for other standards wherewith to measure the progress of the knowledge of divinity in the New World, *prayer* suggests itself as one of the least deceptive. "Prayer," to quote again the words of Novalis,[1] "is in religion what thought is in philosophy. The religious sense prays, as the reason thinks." Guizot, carrying the analysis farther, thinks that it is prompted by a painful conviction of the inability of our will to conform to the dictates of reason.[2]

Originally it was connected with the belief that divine caprice, not divine law, governs the universe, and that material benefits rather than spiritual gifts are to be desired. The gradual recognition of its limitations and proper objects marks religious advancement. The Lord's Prayer contains seven petitions, only one of which is for a temporal advantage, and it the least that can be asked for.

What immeasurable interval between it and the prayer of the Nootka Indian on preparing for war!—

"Great Quahootze, let me live, not be sick, find the enemy, not fear him, find him asleep, and kill a great many of him."[3]

Or again, between it and a petition of a Huron to a local god, heard by Father Brebeuf:—

"Oki, thou who livest in this spot, I offer thee tobacco. Help us, save us from shipwreck, defend us

[1] Ibid., p. 267.

[2] *Hist. de la Civilisation en France*, i. pp. 122, 130.

[3] *Narrative of J. R. Jewett among the Savages of Nootka Sound*, p. 121.

from our enemies, give us a good trade and bring us back safe and sound to our villages."[1]

This is a fair specimen of the supplications of the lowest religions. Another equally authentic is given by Father Allouez.[2] In 1670 he penetrated to an outlying Algonkin village, never before visited by a white man. The inhabitants, startled by his pale face and long black gown, took him for a divinity. They invited him to the council lodge, a circle of old men gathered around him, and one of them, approaching him with a double handful of tobacco, thus addressed him, the others grunting approval:—

"This, indeed, is well, Blackrobe, that thou dost visit us. Have mercy upon us. Thou art a Manito. We give thee to smoke.

"The Naudowessies and Iroquois are devouring us. Have mercy upon us.

"We are often sick; our children die; we are hungry. Have mercy upon us. Hear me, O Manito, I give thee to smoke.

"Let the earth yield us corn; the rivers give us fish; sickness not slay us; nor hunger so torment us. Hear us, O Manito, we give thee to smoke."

In this rude but touching petition, wrung from the heart of a miserable people, nothing but their wretchedness is visible. Not the faintest trace of an aspiration for spiritual enlightenment cheers the eye of the philanthropist, not the remotest conception that through suffering we are purified can be detected.

By the side of these examples we may place the prayers of Peru and Mexico, forms composed by the

[1] *Rel. de la Nouv. France*, An. 1636, p. 109.

[2] Ibid., An. 1670, p. 99.

priests, written out, committed to memory, and repeated at certain seasons. They are not less authentic, having been collected and translated in the first generation after the conquest. One to Viracocha Pachacamac was as follows:

"O Pachacamac, thou who hast existed from the beginning and shalt exist unto the end, powerful and pitiful; who createdst man by saying, let man be; who defendest us from evil and preservest our life and health; art thou in the sky or in the earth, in the clouds or in the depths? Hear the voice of him who implores thee, and grant him his petitions. Give us life everlasting, preserve us, and accept this our sacrifice."[1]

In the voluminous specimens of Aztec prayers preserved by Sahagun, moral improvement, the "spiritual gift," is not generally the object desired, as it is not in many Christian liturgies. Health, harvests, propitious rains, release from pain, preservation from dangers, illness, and defeat, these are the almost unvarying themes.

But here and there we catch a glimpse of something better, some sense of the divine beauty of suffering, some glimmering of the grand truth so nobly expressed by the poet:—

> aus des Busens Tiefe strömt Gedeihn
> Der festen Duldung und entschlossner That.
> Nicht Schmerz ist Unglück, Glück nicht immer Freude;
> Wer sein Geschick erfüllt, Dem lächeln beide.

"Is it possible," says one of them, "that this scourge,

[1] Geronimo de Ore, *Symbolo Catholico Indiano*, chap. ix. De Ore was a native of Peru and held the position of Professor of Theology in Cuzco in the latter half of the sixteenth century. He was a man of great erudition, and there need be no hesitation in accepting this extraordinary prayer as genuine.

this affliction, is sent to us not for our correction and improvement, but for our destruction and annihilation? O Merciful Lord, let this chastisement with which thou hast visited us, thy people, be as those which a father or mother inflicts on their children, not out of anger, but to the end that they may be free from follies and vices."

Another formula, used when a chief was elected to some important position, reads: "O Lord, open his eyes and give him light, sharpen his ears and give him understanding, not that he may use them to his own advantage, but for the good of the people whom he rules. Lead him to know and to do thy will, let him be as a trumpet which sounds thy words. Keep him from the commission of injustice and oppression."[1]

At first, good and evil are identical with pleasure and pain, luck and ill-luck. "The good are good warriors and hunters," said a Pawnee chief,[2] which would also be the opinion of a wolf, if he could express it. Gradually the eyes of the mind are opened, and it is perceived that "whom He loveth, He chastiseth," and physical give place to moral ideas of good and evil. Finally, as the idea of God rises more distinctly before the soul, as "the One by whom, in whom, and through whom all things are," evil is seen to be the negation, not the opposite of good, and itself "a porch oft opening on the sun."

The influence of these religions on art, science, and

[1] Sahagun, *Hist. de la Nueva España*, lib. vi. caps. 1, 4. Many other examples of prayers might be quoted from the works of de la Serna, Dr. Washington Matthews, James Mooney, etc., but those in the text will be sufficient to illustrate their usual character.

[2] Morse, *Rep. on the Ind. Tribes*, App. p. 250.

social life, must also be weighed in estimating their value.

Nearly all the remains of American plastic art, sculpture, and painting, were obviously designed for religious or, what is practically the same, divinatory purposes. Idols of stone, wood, or baked clay, were found in every Indian tribe, without exception, so far as I can judge; and in only a few directions do these arts seem to have been applied to secular purposes.

The most ambitious attempts of architecture, it is plain, were inspired by religious fervor. The great pyramid of Cholula, the enormous mounds of the Mississippi valley, the elaborate edifices on artificial hills in Yucatan, were miniature representations of the mountains hallowed by tradition, the "Hill of Heaven," the peak on which their ancestors escaped in the flood, or that in the terrestrial paradise from which flow the rains. Their construction took men away from war and the chase, encouraged agriculture, peace, and a settled disposition, and fostered the love of property, of country, and of the gods.

The priests were also close observers of nature, and were the first to discover its simpler laws. The Aztec sages were as devoted star-gazers as the Chaldeans, and their civil calendar bears unmistakable marks of native growth, and of its original purpose to fix the annual festivals. Writing by means of pictures and symbols was cultivated chiefly for religious ends, and the word *hieroglyph* is a witness that the phonetic alphabet was discovered under the stimulus of the religious sentiment.

Most of the aboriginal literature was composed and taught by the priests, and most of it refers to matters connected with their superstitions. As the gifts of

votaries and the erection of temples enriched the sacerdotal order individually and collectively, the terrors of religion were lent to the secular arm to enforce the rights of property. Music, poetic, scenic, and historical recitations formed parts of the ceremonies of the more civilized nations, and national unity was strengthened by a common shrine. An active barter in amulets, lucky stones, and charms existed all over the continent, to a much greater extent than we might think.

As experience demonstrates that nothing so efficiently promotes civilization as the free and peaceful intercourse of man with man, I lay particular stress on the common custom of making pilgrimages.

The temple on the island of Cozumel in Yucatan was visited every year by such multitudes from all parts of the peninsula, that roads, paved with cut stones, had been constructed from the neighboring shore to the principal cities of the interior.[1] Each village of the Muyscas is said to have had a beaten path to Lake Guatavita, so numerous were the devotees who journeyed to the shrine there located.[2]

In Peru the temples of Pachacamà, Rimac, and other famous gods, were repaired to by countless numbers from all parts of the realm, and from other provinces within a radius of three hundred leagues around. Houses of entertainment were established on all the principal roads, and near the temples, for their accommodation; and when they made known the object of

[1] Cogolludo, *Hist. de Yucathan*, lib. iv. cap 9. Compare Stephens, *Travs. in Yucatan*, ii. p. 122, who describes the remains of these roads as they now exist.

[2] Rivero and Tschudi, *Antiqs. of Peru*, p. 162.

their journey, they were allowed a safe passage even through an enemy's territory.[1]

The more carefully we study history, the more important in our eyes will become the religious sense. It is almost the only faculty peculiar to man. It concerns him nearer than aught else. It holds the key to his origin and destiny. As such it merits in all its developments the most earnest attention, an attention we shall find well repaid in the clearer conceptions we thus obtain of the forces which control the actions and fates of individuals and nations.

[1] La Vega, *Hist. des Incas*, lib. vi. chap. 30; Xeres, *Rel. de la Conq. du Pérou*, p. 151; *Let. sur les Superstit. du Pérou*, p. 98, and others.

THE END.

INDICES.

I.—AUTHORS.

Abbott, C. C., 49.
Acosta, J., 72, 149, 186, 211, 302, 333.
Adam, L., 36, 46, 101, 184.
Adair, J., 89, 208, 263.
Agassiz, A., 133.
Alcazar, F., 77.
Alegre, F. X., 222.
Alger, W. R., 282, 295.
Allen, G. A., 166.
Allouez, P., 340.
Alva, B. de, 204.
Ameghino, F., 49.
Andagoya, P., 330.
Anderson, Mr., 316.
Andree, R., 177, 235, 245.
Annals of the Cakchiquels, 238.
Anthony, A. S., 63, 262.
Ara, D., 179.
Arriaga, P., 185.
Atharva Veda, the, 237.
Avendaño, P., 249.

Bægert, P., 272.
Baer, von, 37.
Balboa, M. C., 72, 212, 264, 320, 326.
Bancroft, H. H., 58, 65.
Baraga, F., 63, 261.
Bartram, J., 261.
Bartram, W., 89, 128, 151, 325.
Basanier, M., 152.
Bastian, A., 55, 235.
Bautista, J., 308, 338.
Berendt, C. H., 222.
Bergen, F. D., 168.
Betanzos, J. de, 212.
Beverly, R. B., 124, 326.
Blomes, R., 95, 138, 196, 221.
Boas, F., 54, 55, 161, 180, 239, 277, 278, 303.
Boban, E., 177.
Boggiani, G., 154.
Borde, de la, H., 126, 136, 138.
Boscana, G., 67, 127.
Boturini, B., 251.
Bourke, J. G., 131, 278, 305, 319, 324.
Bressani, P., 110.
Bradford, A., 160.
Brasseur, E. C., 42, 57, 74, 81, 106, 214, 222, 251, 336.
Brébeuf, P., 80, 203, 290.
Brown, J. M., 115, 311.
Bruyas, J., 65, 76, 203, 299.
Burmeister, H., 49.
Buschmann, J. E., 36, 38, 42, 52, 74, 106, 128, 156, 215, 224, 273, 299.
Buteux, F., 135.
Byington, C., 165.
Byrd, W., 209.

Cabrera, F., 57, 106.
Campanius, T., 209.
Carriere, Prof., 15, 62.
Carver, J., 308, 311.
Catlin, G., 88, 102, 112, 129, 218, 266.
Chamberlain, A. F., 161, 166, 182, 196.
Charencey, H. de, 106, 117, 218, 245.
Charlevoix, P., 159, 162, 196, 271, 311.
Chavero, A., 59.
Chilan Balam, Books of, 222.

Clark, J. V. H., 205.
Clavigero, F. S., 106, 264.
Codex Chimàlpopoca, 158, 241, 253.
Telleriano-Remensis, 281, 298.
Vaticanus, 237 240, 250.
Cortesianus, 204.
Cogolludo, F., 64, 102, 110, 131, 216, 249, 256, 292, 344.
Cope, E. D., 162.
Copway, G., 29, 164.
Coreal, F., 124, 173, 280, 285, 326.
Cortes, H., 220.
Culin, S., 113.
Cunow, H., 100.
Cuoq, M., 20, 63, 65, 121.
Cushing, F. H., 31, 40, 113, 127, 131, 145, 164, 178, 213, 230, 258, 319, 324.
Cusic, D., 79, 130, 139, 205. 264.

Dawson, G. M., 161.
Deans, J., 166.
Denis, F., 219.
Desjardins, E., 27, 241.
D'Évreux, Y., 78, 147, 218.
D'Orbigny, A., 118, 122, 146, 217, 236, 301, 319.
Dorsey, J. O., 58, 109, 113, 116, 132, 188, 197, 319.
Dumont, M., 28, 149, 240.
Duponceau, S., 74, 77, 155.
Dupratz, le P., 280.
Duran, D., 117.

Eastman, Mrs., 125, 139, 182, 275.
Echevarria y Veitia, 252.
Egede, H., 67, 93, 124, 207, 226, 260, 289, 321. 327.
Ehrenreich, P., 55, 59, 154, 218, 247, 266, 282.
Eliot, J., 67.
Emory, W. H., 169, 218, 224.
Epictetus, 234.
Erdman, F., 203.

Fewkes, J. W., 51, 85, 113, 131.
Fletcher, A. C., 119, 225, 277.
Förstemann, E., 26,
Fowke, G., 49.
French, B. F., 275.
Frobenius, L. V., 152.

Gabb, W. M., 149, 173, 276.
Gallatin, A., 36, 65, 68, 132, 155, 205.
Gama, A. L., 90, 154, 157, 162, 188.
Garcia, G., 69, 107, 154, 163, 230.
Gatschet, A. S., 65, 67, 76, 95, 232, 259.
Gilbar, N., 26.
Goeken, H., 55.
Goethe, J. W., 207.
Gomara, F., 34, 213.
Granger, F., 269.
Grasserie, R., 40.
Gregg, J., 263.
Grimm, J., 22, 108, 110, 234.
Grinnell, G. B., 166.
Guevara, P., 101, 118, 174, 246.
Guigniaut, M., 99.
Gumilla, J., 154, 172, 174.

Haebler, K., 26.
Haeser, Dr., 306.
Hale, H., 30, 205, 338.
Hammond, W. A., 175.
Harris, 36.
Harrt, C. F., 194.
Harshberger, J. W., 35, 51.
Hartland, E. S., 55.
Hawkins, B., 89, 95, 110, 138, 283, 314.
Hazard, S., 119.
Heart, I., 263.
Heckewelder, J., 74, 123.
Helmont, A., 155.
Hennepin, P., 131.
Henry, A., 133, 142, 196.
Hewitt, J. N. B., 70, 132, 203, 275.
Hindley, J. I., 196.
Hodge, F. C., 131.
Hodgson, A., 302.
Hoffman, W. J., 196, 200, 298, 324, 328.
Holm, G., 277.
Holguin, D. G., 186, 291.
Holmes, W. H., 49, 87, 117, 130.
Hontan, La, 31, 112, 164, 208.
Hopkins, E. W., 237, 248.
Humboldt, W., 19, 32, 44, 178.
Humboldt, A., 27, 32, 87, 101, 111, 156, 225, 250, 297, 328.

Ihering, 162.
Im Thurn, E. F., 233, 266, 307.
Ixtlilxochitl, 73, 74, 114.

Jack, E., 202.
Jacobs, J., 55.
Jarvis, S. F., 55, 77.
Jesuit Relations, 58, 70, 317.
Jeune, P. C., 81.
Jewitt, J. R., 339.
Johnson, Capt., 218.
Jones, J. A., 136.
Jones, P., 136.
Joutel, M., 71.

Kalewala, the, 228.
Kane, E. K., 327.
Kant, I., 60, 219.
Keating, W. H., 157, 275.
Kingsborough, L., 90, 103, 214.
Klee, M., 251.
Kohl, J. G., 196.
Krause, A., 239, 276.

Lacombe, A., 198, 202.
Laet, de, J., 194.
Lafitau, J. F., 65, 117, 119.
Lafone-Quevedo, S., 59, 211.
Landa, D., 97, 116, 148, 249.
Lapham, I., 116.
Las Casas, B., 96, 114.
Lawson, J., 326.
Lederer, J., 36, 101, 200, 326.
Leland, C. G., 55, 196.
Leon, M. de, 165.
Lewis and Clark, 172.
Lizana, P., 222, 256.
Long, S. H., 266, 290, 301.
Longfellow, H. W., 205, 281.
Loskiel, G. H., 63, 78, 115, 295.
Lovisato, D., 49, 61.
Lumholtz, C., 176.
Lyell, C., 50.

McCoy, I., 182.
McGee, W. J., 49.
MacGowan, Dr., 322.
McKinney, T. L., 196.
Mackenzie, A., 173, 229.
Macrobius, 234.
Magelhaes, C., 188.
Maistre, J. de, 119, 333.
Maler, T., 177.
Mallery, G., 23, 278.
Marcy, Lt., 262.
Markham, C., 100, 298.
Martius, C. F. P. von, 51, 53, 75, 160, 315.
Martyr, P., 23, 74, 95, 96, 104, 221.
Matthews, W., 31, 75, 76, 209, 276, 278, 342.
Maury, A., 334.
Maya Chronicles, the, 43.
Meigs, J. A., 49.
Melendez, J., 231, 246.
Mendieta, G., 181.
Meyen, H., 44, 152, 285.
Middendorf, E. W., 44, 59, 63, 111, 187, 210.
Milfort, G., 89.
Molina, A., 67.
Molina, I., 65, 74, 239, 289.
Montesinos, F., 185, 212.
Mooney, J., 41, 151, 197, 273, 326, 327, 342.
Moore, C., 40.
Moorehead, W. K., 225.
Morgan, L., 70, 188.
Morice, A. G., 54, 55, 239.
Morillot, P., 227.
Morse, J., 31, 101, 236, 294, 333, 342.
Morton, S. G., 49, 139.
Motul, Dicc. de, 148.
Müller, J. G., 56, 76, 78, 214, 284.
Müller, Max, 198.
Murdoch, J., 319.

Navarrete, M. F., 104, 174, 255, 330.
Nelson, W., 133.
Neve, F., 248.
Newell, W. W., 168.
Nicolas, J., 202.
Nikkanoche, O., 147, 294.
Noldeke, H., 132.
Novalis, 338, 339.
Nuttall, T., 88.
Nuttall, Z., 115.

Olmos, A., 338.
Ore, G. de, 341.
Orozco y Berra, M., 112.
Oviedo, F. de, 68, 154, 173, 273, 280, 285.

Pachacuti, J. S., 334.
Padilla, D., 173, 216.
Palacios, D. G., 90, 173.
Pandosy, C., 67.
Pane, R., 96.
Paul, St., 13, 274.
Payne, Mr., 151.

Peñafiel, A., 25.
Penn, W., 162.
Pennock, J., 293.
Perrot, N., 173, 196.
Petitot, E., 55, 58, 59.
Pictet, M., 227.
Piedrahita, L. F., 217.
Pigafetta, A., 261.
Pond, G. H., 79, 89.
Powell, J. W., 59, 121, 278.
Powers, S., 58, 161, 235, 267.
Pratz, du L., 127.
Prescott, W. H., 73, 78, 128, 233, 237.
Putnam, F. W., 49.
Pythagoras, 86.

Quen, P. de, 317.

Ramirez, J. F., 241.
Rand, S. T., 196, 202.
Rau, C., 273.
Restrepo, E., 101, 115, 147, 217.
Reville, A., 59.
Richardson, J., 37, 38, 229, 239.
Riggs, S. R., 62, 67, 92, 116, 258, 274, 327.
Rink, H., 278, 303.
Rios, P. de los, 177.
Rivero and Tschudi, 249, 344.
Robson, J., 298.
Rochefort, C., 217, 258.
Rodriguez, B. J., 59, 194, 246.
Roman, B., 121, 263.
Rogel, P., 76.
Rothen, E., 36.
Ruiz, A., 317.

Sagard, G. T., 156.
Sagas, the, 36.
Sahagun, B., 93, 103, 105, 106, 114, 150, 157, 216, 287, 320, 337.
Sanchez, J., 152.
Schellhas, Dr., 26, 59.
Scherzer, C., 58.
Schoolcraft, H. R., 39, 56, 70, 94, 160, 200, 255, 268, 318.
Schwartz, F. L. W., 135, 139, 269.
Seler, E., 25, 26, 59, 250, 293.
Sepp, Prof., 86, 98, 252, 333.
Sergi, G., 49.
Serna, J., 169, 197, 259, 278, 327, 342.
Shea, J. G., 65, 70, 80, 151.
Sibley, Dr., 238.
Simon, P., 217.
Smet, P. de, 89, 110, 182, 200.
Smith, B., 85.
Smith, E. A., 130.
Smith, H., 264
Smith, J., 64.
Smith, W., 237.
Smith, W., 119.
Spencer, H., 314.
Sprague, J. P., 152.
Spix, J. B. von, 157.
Sprengel, K., 157.
Squier, E. G., 56, 80, 116, 131, 223.
Staden, H., 101, 245.
Stanley, J. M., 318.
Stephens, J. L., 344.
Stevenson, J., 178, 189.
Stevenson, M. C., 131.
Strachey, W., 196, 200.
Strack, H. L., 176.
Steinen, C. v. d., 45, 55, 59, 68, 123, 166, 174, 271.
Steinthal, H., 19.

Tanner, J., 142, 163, 169, 201, 301, 308.
Tarayre, G., 177.
Ternaux-Compans, 90, 150, 251.
Techo, N. de, 218.
Tezozomoc, H. A., 293.
Thevet, A., 246.
Thomas, C., 26, 55, 91.
Thomas, G., 153.
Timberlake, Lt., 127, 137.
Tonty, S. de, 127.
Torquemada, J., 25, 136, 142, 189, 216, 249, 259, 287,
Trumbull, H. C., 176.
Trumbull, J. H., 63, 121, 164, 258.
Tschudi, V. J., 59, 63, 160, 162, 187, 210, 211, 212, 213.
Turner, W. W., 36, 38.
Tylor, E. B., 289.

Uhle, M., 27.
Ulloa, A. de, 210.

Vasconcellos, S., 246.
Vater, J. S., 236.
Vega, G. de la, 44, 72, 87, 100, 161, 186, 285, 301, 324, 332, 345.
Vega, N. de la, 141.
Velasco, J. D., 150, 255.

Venegas, M., 110.
Vetancurt, 174.
Vetromile, E., 207.
Villagutierre Sotomayor, 115.
Virchow, R., 41, 49.
Volney, 155.
Voluspa, the, 251, 254.

Waitz, T., 14, 25, 57, 184, 289, 330, 335.
Wake, C.S., 121.
Waldeck, de, 171.
Whipple, A. W., 78, 151, 224, 322.
Williams, R., 63, 77, 164, 276, 285.
Wilson, T., 49.
Winkler, H., 20.
Winslow, 77.
Wright, A., 165.
Wright, F. W., 49.

Xeres, F. de, 73, 345.
Ximenes, F., 29, 57, 68, 81, 102, 208, 229, 243, 260, 292, 333.

Yarrow, H. C., 297, 299.

Zatapatha Brahmana, the, 237, 248.

II.—SUBJECTS.

Abenakis, the, 119, 198, 207.
Acagchemem, tribe, 127.
Acalan, the province of, 179.
Achaguas, tribe, 245.
Age of Man in America, 48 sq.
Ages of the World, 251.
Agriculture, native, 35.
  gods of, 152, 157.
Ahsonnutli, a deity, 178, 189.
Air, children of the, 106.
  Lord of the, 213.
  rabbit as symbol of, 197.
  kissing the, 69.
Akakanet, a deity, 78.
Akbal, the holy or cosmic vase, 152.
Akanzas, tribe, 127, 278.
Alaghom Naom, a deity, 179.
Aleutian Islanders, 267.
Algonkins, location, 39.
  language, 63.
  prayers, 340.
  mythology, 77, 78, 80, 94, 118, 125, 130, 142, 159, 169, 193 sq., 235, 239, 244, 255, 274, 278, 281, 293, 318, 327, 336, etc.
Alligator, a sacred animal, 121.
Aluberi, an Arawack deity, 75
Amalivaca, a hero-god, 192.
Amulets, 136–139, 319, 344.
Ancestors, worship of, 297 sq.
  mythical, of the race, 96, 101, 218, 238.
Androgynous deities, 177 sq.
Angont, a deity, 159.
Antediluvian people, 235, 240.
Apaches, tribe, 37, 224.
  medicine men of, 305, 319.
Apocatcquil, a deity, 184.
Apochquiahuayan, an Aztec Hades, 293.
Ararats, the, of America, 238.
Arawacks, location, 45, 47.
  myths, 75, 165, 265.
Araucanians, 46, 65, 74, 78, 239, 245.
Architecture, religious, 343.
Arickarees, the, 128.
Aricoute, a deity, 218.
"Arks" of the Indians, 296.
Arrows, as thunderbolts, 190, 216.
  in divination, 90.
Aschochimi, tribe, 235.
Aspergillum, the, 147.
Astrology, the science of, 320.
Ataensic, a deity, 145, 153, 156, 203 sq.
Ataguju, a deity, 184.
Atatarho, a deity, 140.
Athapascas, location, 37, 47.
  myths, 126, 181, 182, 228, 267, 289.

Atius Tirawa, a deity, 166.
Atl, a deity, 153.
Atlatl, as a symbol, 115.
Atnai, tribe, 267.
Augurs, college of, 124.
Aurora, myths of, 158, 209. *See* Dawn.
  borealis, in myths, 282, 286.
Awoniwilona, a deity, 177, 229.
Aymaras, location, 43–4, 47.
  myths, 62, 210, 264.
  See Peruvians, Quichuas, Incas.
Aztec writing, 23.
  language, 23, 41, 67, 273.
  customs, 86, 89, 254.
  myths, 74, 93, 99, 105, 153, 157, 169, 177, 188, 240, 257, 264, 289, 298, 323.
  prayers, 337, 341.
  See Mexicans, Nahuas.
Aztlan, the white land, 107, 215.

Bacabs, deities, 97, 117.
Bad Spirit, unknown, 77.
Bakairi, tribe, 45, 68.
Baptism, aboriginal, 149, 156.
  of fire, 169.
Bat, the, in symbolism, 293.
Bathing, ceremonial, 147.
Beards among Indians, 214.
Biloxis, tribe, 41.
Bimini, a fabulous land, 104.
Bird, as a symbol, 123 sq., 182 sq., 187, 201, 214, 215, 228 sq., 230, 239, 242, 249, 315.
Bird-Serpent, the, 141, 214, 229
Birth, the house of, 212.
  the second, 148.
Bisexual deities, 177 sq.
Bitch-mother, the, 160.
Bitol, a deity, 74.
Black, as a symbolic color, 97.
  drink, of Creeks, 315.
Blackfeet, the, tribe, 115, 262.
Black Hawk, a chieftain, 310.
Blood, symbolism of, 163, 176, 201.
Blue, symbolism of, 63, 189.
Bochica, a hero-god, 217.
Boiuca, a fabulous land, 104.
Bones, the soul in the, 295 sq., 299, 321.
Books of Aztecs, 23.
Botocudos, tribe, 145, 235, 256.
Botuto, order of the, 328.
Breath, Master of, 67, 263.
  as soul, 67, 126, 273 sq.
Bribri, tribe, 149, 173.
Burial customs, 90, 109, 116, 119, 169, 272, 278–282, 285.
Burning the dead, 169.
Busk, a Creek festival, 89, 115.
Butterfly, as symbol, 128.

Caddoes, tribe, 111, 238, 245.
Cakchiquels, tribe, 96.
Calendar, the native, 91, 98, 205, 238, 252, 343.
Caliban, in Shakespeare's Tempest, 261.
Californian Indians, 58, 161, 235, 272.
Calmecac, a college of priests, 323.
Camaxtli, a deity, 190.
Candelaria, Maria, a heroine, 325.
Cannibalism, ceremonial, 333.
Cantico, derivation of, 162.
Carayas, tribe, 245, 265.
Cardinal points, adoration of, 84 sq., 189, 200 sq.
Caribs, location, 45.
  mythology, 68, 78, 116, 126, 136, 138, 258, 261, 265, 275, 284, 296, 323.
Carriers, tribe, 239.
Casas grandes, the, 265.
Catawbas, tribe, 41.
Catequil, a deity, 185.
Caugh, a deity, 239.
Caves, sacred, 95, 100, 238, 265.
  sepulchral, 297.
Celibate priesthood, 172, 181.
Centeotl, a deity, 35, 157.
Ceremonial circuit, 112–114.
Chac, deities, 98.
Chaco, Gran, the, 46.
Chahta-Muskoki dialects, 40.
Chakekenapok, a deity, 200.
Chalchihuitlicue, a deity, 145.
Chantico, a deity, 161.
Chepewyans. *See* Athapascas.
Cherokees, alphabet of, 28.
  location, 38.
  words, 67, 327.
  mythology, 78, 137, 151, 192, 197, 273, 322.
Chia, a deity, 156.
Chibchas. *See* Muyscas.

Chichimecs, tribe, 190.
Chickasaws, location, 140.
myths, 256, 262, 263.
Chicomoztoc, the seven caves, 228, 264.
Chilan Balam, priests, 222.
Childbirth, deities of, 153, 155, 160.
ceremonies concerning, 90, 112.
beliefs about, 286.
Chimalman, a deity, 172.
Chimu language, 44.
Chinooks, tribe, 277.
Chippewa picture writing, 23, 29.
mythology, 88, 196, 301.
See Algonkins.
Choctaws, location, 40.
terms, 65, 68.
myths, 65, 101, 165, 263, 302, 322, 326.
Cholula, pyramid of, 239, 265.
Chotas, tribe, 190.
Cibola, seven cities of, 265.
Cihuacoatl, a deity, 142.
Cihuapipilti, of Aztecs, 287.
Cipactli, a deity, 236.
Circumcision, 172.
Citatli, a deity, 153.
Circuit, the ceremonial, 112.
Clairvoyance of native priests, 310 sq., 321.
Cloud-Serpent, the, 190, 215.
Coacooche, his dream, 151.
Coatlicue, a deity, 140, 172.
Colhuacan, a sacred hill, 238.
Collahuayas, tribe, 328.
Colors, symbolism of, 63, 97, 163, 180, 189, 203, 207 sq.
Comanches, tribe, 41.
Con *or* Cun, a deity, 187, 210 sq.
Confession of sins, 149, 333.
Cosmogony, beliefs of, 226 sq.
Asian and American compared, 250.
Costa Rica, Indians of, 173, 276.
Couvade, the, 174.
Coxcox, a mythical hero, 236.
Coyote, in myths, 161, 235.
Coyoteros, tribe, 262.
Cozumel, cross of, 114.
island of, 344.
Craniology, American, 41, 49.
Creation, myths of, 167, 226, 235.
Creator, idea of a, 74, 75, 231.
Creeks, location, 40.
words, 65, 67.
myths, 76, 89, 95, 109, 115, 121, 137, 228, 259, 263, 283, 314, 325.
Crees, tribe, 202.
Crescent, the, as a symbol, 142.
Cross, symbol of, 113 sq.
of Palenque, 141, 222.
Cueravaperi, a deity, 179.
Cunas, tribe, 173.
Cundinamarca, 114.
Curupira, a deity, 194,
Cycles, belief in recurrent, 234, 252.
of Aztecs, 254.

Dakotas, location, 40.
words, 62, 67, 305, 326.
mythology, 79, 88, 94, 109, 116, 125, 139, 174, 188, 197, 258, 277, 300, 313.
Dance of the dead, 286.
Dances, sacred, 89, 113, 162, 225.
Dawn, symbolism of the, 109, 166, 186, 190, 198 sq., 212, 214, 264.
lodgings of the, 264.
heroes of the, 220.
Daybreak, Lord of the, 219.
Delawares. *See* Lenni Lenape.
Delirium, the divine, 315.
Deluge, myths of the, 218, 234 sq.
Dénè. *See* Athapascas.
Devil, unknown to primitive religions, 76, 292.
Dew as holy water, 148.
Dialects, esoteric, 326.
Dighton Rock inscription, 23.
Divination, methods of, 113, 319.
Dje Manedo, a late name of deity, 196.
Dobayba, a deity, 145.
Dogi, tribe, 36.
Dogribs, tribe, 173, 267.
Dogs, in symbol and myth, 159 sq., 242, 243, 274, 279, 288–290, 315, 336.
Dove, as a symbol, 129, 239, 294.
"Dreaming of the gods," 321.
Dreams as divine intimations, 156, 305, 312.
Dualism of divinities, 79, 177.
ethical unknown, 81.

Eagle, as a symbol, 127.
Earth, myths concerning, 257 sq.
mother, the, 258.
Earthquakes, god of, 216.
East, symbolism of, 109, 154, 199, 207, 217.
Eclipse, customs at, 154, 160.
Education, native, 31.
Eggs, in symbolism, 228, 249.
Ehecatl, a deity, 214, 273.
El Dorado, location of, 105.
Enigohatgea, a deity, 79.
Enigorio, a deity, 79.
Epochs of nature, myths of, 232 sq., 250 sq.
Eponymous ancestor, the, 298.
Esaugetuh Emissee, a deity, 67.
Eskimos, location, 36.
customs and myths, 90, 93, 124, 160, 180, 207, 226, 267, 277, 282, 286, 289, 302, 319, 321, 324.
words, 67.
Estas, a deity, 239.
Ethno-botany of America, 51.
Evil One, the, 78.

Fear, as origin of religion, 334.
Feathered Serpent, the, 141.
Female deities, 179–183.
Fetiches, personal, 319.
Fetichism, 57, 319.
Fire, gods of, 169, 185.
new, how made, 115, 116, 168.
on graves, 281.
origin of, 239.
perpetual, 168, 224.
worship of, 162 sq., 187.
Fish-god, the, 236, 247.
Five Nations. *See* Iroquois.
Flint-stone, symbolic, 189, 197, 200, 204, 216.
Flood-myths. *See* Deluge.
Floridian tribes, 40, 105, 151, 175, 294, 333.
Foam, as symbol, 145, 213.
Forty, a sacred number, 111.
Fountain of Youth, the, 104, 105, 151.
Four, as the sacred number, 84 sqq., 189, 215, 218, 252, 321, etc.
Frog, symbolism of the, 204.
Fruitfulness, god of, 141.

Games, symbolism of, 113.
Garonhia, a deity, 65.
Generative principle, worship of, 99, 155, 177.
Ghost dances, of Indians, 225.
Giants, stories of, 241.
Gizhigooke, a deity, 202.
Glacial age in America, 49.
Glooscap, a deity, 202.
Great Spirit, the, 69.
Green, symbolism of, 117, 189, 229.
tree, the, 117.
corn dance, 89.
Guachomines, deities, 185 sq.
Guamansuri, the first man, 184.
Guaranis, tribe, 62, 101, 184, 317, 336.
Guardian Spirit, the, 77, 89, 173.
Guatavita, Lake, 146, 344.
Guaycurus, tribe, 154, 173, 176.
Guaymis, tribe, 231, 246, 326.
Gucumatz, a deity, 141.
Gumongo, a deity, 110.

Haidah, tribe, 239.
Haitians, 45, 68, 74, 95, 221, 262.
Hand, the, symbolism of, 214, 216.
Haokah, a deity, 183, 189.
Hare Indians, 173.
the Great, 194 sq., 339.
Hawaneu, a deity, 70.
Head, as seat of the soul, 276.
Heaven of the red man, 284.
Hill of, 343.
Heliolatry, 167, 284.
Hell, unknown in American myths, 291.
Heno, a deity, 188.
Herbs, use of narcotic, 314.
Hermaphrodite deities, 178.
Heyoka, a deity, 110.
Hiawatha, a hero-god, 205, 338.
Hidatsa, the tribe, 62, 153, 209.
Hieroglyphics, Mayan, 26 sq., 343.
Hills, holy, *see* Mountains.
Hiyouyulgee, the mythical ancestors, 95.
Hobbamock, a deity, 77.
Holy Water, 147.
Horn, of the serpent, 138.
as a weapon, 203.
Huaca, meaning of, 62.
Huastecas, 92.
Huecomitl, the cosmic Vase, 152.

Huehueteotl, a deity, 169.
Huehuetlatolli, Aztec formularies, 337.
Huemac, a deity, 214, 216.
Huitzilopochtli, an Aztec deity, 140, 323.
Humanity, the ideal of, 338.
Hunahpu, a Quiche deity, 178, 300.
Huracan, the storm-god, 68, 98, 99, 136, 188, 229.
Hurons, tribe, 38, 64.
  myths, 80, 136, 156, 159, 316.
  prayers of, 339.
Hushtoli, a deity, 68.
Hysteria, epidemic, 317.

Idacanzas, a hero-god, 217.
Ideographic writing, 22, 32.
Idols, found everywhere, 343.
Ikto, a deity, 197.
Ilama, a deity, 259.
Illatici, a deity, 72, 187.
Incas, the, of Peru, 43, 44, 72, 86, 99, 167, 209, 298, 323, 331.
Incineration of corpses, 169.
Incorporation in language, 19.
Indian summer, cause of, 196.
Innuit, *see* Eskimos.
Ioskeha, a deity, 203 sq.
Ipurinas, tribe, 154.
Iroquois, location, 38.
  terms, 65, 70, 76.
  mythology, 79, 100, 118, 130, 202 sq., 231, 264, 274, 338.
Isla de las Mugeres, the, 179.
Isolation of the red race, 33.
Itsikamahidis, a deity, 75.
Itzcuinan, a deity, 160.
Itzamna, a hero-god, 217, 222, 335.
Ixmucane, a deity, 260.
Iztac Mixcoatl, a deity, 190, 215.
Iztat Ix, a deity, 179.

Jaguar, a sacred animal, 121.
Jossakeed, a prophet, 195.
Journey of the Soul, 289.
Jugglery, native, 308.
Juripari, a deity, 77.
Jus primæ noctis, 176, 333.

Kaboi, a deity, 218.
Kab-ul, a deity, 216.
Kamu, a hero-god, 218.
Kaneakeluh, a deity, 239.
Kenai, tribe, 264.
Killistenoes, 311.
Kittanitowit, deity, 77.
Klamath, tribe, 259.
Knisteneaux, tribe, 297.
Kolusch, tribe, 163, 164, 267.
Kootenay, tribe, 161, 166.
Ku, a divine name, 64.
Kukulcan, a hero-god, 141, 222.
Kwakiutl, a tribe, 239.

Lakes, as centres of civilization, 146.
Languages, American, 19, 36, 52.
  sacred, of priests, 325 sq.
Lenni Lenape, tribe, 39, 74, 115, 133, 162, 169, 221, 269.
Life, symbol of, 117, 133.
  the Sustainer of, 180.
  Tree of, 117, 217.
Light, symbolism of, 166, 198 sq., 214.
  god, the, 166, 198, 206, 210.
  derivation, 198.
Lightning, symbol of, 126, 134, 139, 182 sq., 190.
Lingam symbol, the, 176, 258, 261.
Lipans, tribe, 37.
Literature, aboriginal, 25, 343.
Liver, as seat of the soul, 276.
Love, gods of, 153, 160, 188.
Lunar deities, *see* Moon.

Magicians, native, 141, 308.
Maize, cultivation of, 35, 51.
  gods of, 157.
  myths concerning, 35, 290.
Mama Alppa, a deity, 257.
Mama Nono, a deity, 258.
Mama Cocha, a deity, 145.
Mama Quilla, a deity, 155.
Man, origin of, 257 sq.
  word for, 260.
  not developed in America, 48.
  in the moon, 197.
  the first, 237.
Manco Capac, 212.
Mandans, tribe, 88, 102, 112, 172, 218, 240, 266.
Manibozho, a deity, 80, 194 sq., *see* Michabo.
Manito, derivation, 63, 131, 132, 340.
  kitchi, 70, 135.

Manu, a deity, 237, 247.
Marriage rites, 112, 172, 176.
  gods of, 155.
Master of Breath, 67, 263.
Matowelia, deity, 165.
Maues, tribe, 133.
Mayas, graphic system, 25.
  hieroglyphics, 26, 97.
  location, 43.
  words, 116.
  myths, 64, 96, 102, 147, 216, 249, 256, 259, 277.
Mbocobis, tribe, 118, 173, 236, 246.
Meda-society, 88, 142, 195, 324, 328.
Medicine men, 133, 147, 195, 304 sq.
Medicine women, 324.
Medicine stone, the, 128.
Memory, of natives, 31.
Mesmerism, among natives, 310, 313.
Messiah, hoped for, 208, 223.
  craze, the, 225.
Messou, a name of Michabo, 197, 244.
Mesuk kum megokwa, a deity, 142.
Metempsychosis, doctrine of, 294.
Metztli, the Moon, 155, 158.
Mexican writing, 25.
  mythology, 78, 90, 117, 281, 287. *See* Aztecs, Nahuas, Toltecs.
Michabo, 159, 170, 194 sq., 232, 235, 255, 336.
Micmac hieroglyphs, 28.
Mictla, 78.
Mictlan, in Aztec myths, 292, 299.
Mictlanteuctli, a deity, 292, 293.
Midewiwin society. *See* Meda.
Milky way, path of souls, 284.
Millennium, notion of a, 303.
Minnetarees, tribe, 75, 266, 267.
Missibizi, a deity, 197.
Mixcoatl, a deity, 35, 68, 190.
Mixtecas, the tribe, 107, 230.
Mohaves, tribe, 165.
Mohawks, tribe, 204, 273.
Monan, a deity, 245.
Monkeys, origin of, 244, 246.
Monotheism, native American, 56, 69, 71–75.
Monquis, the tribe, 110, 128.
Montezuma, his address, 220.
  myths, concerning, 223.
Moon, symbolism of, 153 sq., 181.
  man in the, 197.
Moquis, tribe, 131.
Mother of the Gods, 169, 178, 198.
  of Wisdom, 179.
Mound-builders, the, 87, 146.
Mounds, emblematic, 116, 263.
  bone, 296, 302.
  sacrificial, 146.
Mountains, holy, 165, 262, 315.
  of paradise, 97, 165.
Mourning customs, 90.
Moxos, the tribe, 122, 146, 267, 322.
Muskokees. *See* Creeks.
Mummification, practice of, 298 sq.
Mundrucus, tribe, 176.
Muskokis. *See* Creeks.
Muskrat, in myths, 231, 239, 244.
Muyscas, location, 43.
  mythology, 91, 101, 115, 156, 217, 337, 344.

Nagualism, doctrine of, 122, 169, 175, 188, 197, 259, 315, 324, 327.
Nahuas, 23, 90, 101, 117, 134, 140, 143, 165, 179, 190, 197, 213 sq., 265, 277. *See* Aztecs, Mexicans, Toltecs.
Nahuatl language, 23, 42.
  mythology, 101.
Names, sacredness of, 86, 277–8.
  bestowing, 150.
Nanahuatl, deity, 158.
Nanibojou, 197, 200.
Narcotics, use of, 314.
Natchez, tribe, 91, 101, 127, 149, 240, 262, 280.
Natose, a deity, 115.
Navajos, tribe, 37.
  customs, 149, 224, 281.
  myths, 96, 102, 125, 240, 262, 276.
Navel string, sacredness of, 103, 174.
  of the earth, 103, 259, 292.
Nemqueteba, a hero-god, 217, 337.
Neo, a deity, 70.
Netelas, tribe, 67.
Nezahualcoyotl, his address, 220.
Nezahuatl, 73.
Nez Perces, tribe, 313, 322.

Nicaraguans, 170, 173, 190, 235, 273, 330.
Night, gods of the, 155, 160, 290.
Nikilstlas, a deity, 239.
Nine, a mystic number, 230, 251, 289 sq.
Nokomis, a deity, 258.
Noncomala, a deity, 231, 248.
Nootka Indians, the, 339.
North, symbolism of, 110.
Nottaways, the, tribe, 38, 64.
Numbers, sacred, origin of, 84, 86. *See* Three, Four, Seven, Nine, Thirteen.
Numock-muckenah, a hero-god, 192.
Nunne Chaha, a hill, 263.
Nubu, a deity, 246.

Occaniches, tribe, 326.
Ocean-stream, the primitive, 158, 227 sq.
Omahas, the, tribe, 119.
Oneidas, tribe, 264.
Onniont, a deity, 136.
Onondagas, tribe, 205.
Oonawleh Unggi, a deity, 68.
Orientation of buildings, 87.
Osages, tribe, 231.
Otomi language, 20, 38.
Ottawas, the, tribe, 110, 173.
Ottoes, the, tribe, 101.
Owl, as symbol, 128, 325.

Pacarina, a Quichua deity, 298.
Pacari-tampu, 100.
Pachacamac, a deity, 72, 73, 210 sq., 341.
Pachayacachic, a deity, 211.
Palæolithic Age in America, 48.
Palenque, cross of, 141.
Pamerys, tribe, 246.
Panes, a deity, 127.
Panos, tribe, 26
Parallels in mythology, 53.
Paradise, the earthly, 103–106.
Passes, tribe, 258.
Patol, a deity, 179.
Patolli, a game, 113.
Pawnees, tribe, 89, 101, 166, 174, 245, 342.
Pemolnick, a Lenape word, 261.
Pend d'Oreilles, tribe, 272.
Peruda, a deity, 188.
Peruvians, records of, 27.
customs and myths, 78, 86, 90, 99, 142, 145, 209 sq., 224, 248, 254, 280, 301, 319, 326, 344.
See Aymaras, Incas, Quichuas.
Phallic worship, 170, 176 sq., 258,
Picture-writing, 22–24, 91.
Pile-dwellings, 146.
Pilgrimages, custom of, 146, 344.
Pimòs, tribe, 218.
Pleiades, the, 78.
Pole, sacred, 118.
of Omahas, 119.
Polysynthesis, 19.
Powhatans, 64, 124, 326.
Prayers, the native, 339.
Pregnancy, fears of, 173.
Priests, the native, 304 sq. See Medicine Men.
Printing, native, 24.
Puberty, customs concerning, 173, 321.
Pueblo Indians, 175, 223, 245, 256.
Puelches, tribe, 318.
Pumarys, tribe, 245.

Qabavil, a deity, 107.
Quahootze, a deity, 339.
Quetzal bird, the, 128, 141.
Quetzalcoatl, a hero-god, 114, 124, 141, 172, 213 sq., 221, 335–338.
Quiateot, a deity, 154.
Quiches, records of, 29.
name, 44.
myths, 68, 74, 81, 86, 96, 102, 107, 117, 189, 229, 242, 291.
Quichuas, location, 43, 47.
words, 111.
myths, 72, 78, 185 sq., 210, 259, 264, 298.
See Incas, Peruvians.
Quipus, of Peruvians, 27, 163.

Rabbit, myths of, 197.
symbolism of, 253.
Racumon, a deity, 138.
Rain, gods of, 93, 114 sq., 184 sq., 249.
Rain-making, 115.
Rattlesnake, as symbol, 130 sq., 142, 201, 214.
Raven, in myths, 166, 229, 230, 239, 244, 249.

Rebus writing, 24.
Reciprocal principle, in myths, 171.
Red, symbolic color, 97, 98, 163, 189.
Reproductive principle, worship of, 99, 177, 261.
Resurrection of the body, 295.
Riches, god of, 141, 142.
Right and left, in mythology, 112.
Rimac, a Quichua deity, 344.
Rivers of Paradise, the, 103, 118.
the nine, 289, 290.
Root-Diggers, tribe, 41, 226, 269.
Rutbe, a deity, 231.

Sacrifices, human, 74, 90, 180, 280 sq., 330, 333.
origin of, 333, 334.
Sacs, the tribe, 101, 132, 156, 310, 318.
Sarama, a Vedic deity, 206.
Sauks, *see* Sacs.
Savacou, a deity, 138.
Scalping, origin of, 276.
Seasons, the four, 91.
Sedna, a deity, 180.
Seminoles, tribe, 152, 262, 294.
Serpent-symbol, the, 129 sq., 201, 230.
King, the, 130, 135, 142.
charming, 140.
woman, the, 142.
as phallus, 177.
hill of, 140.
Setebos, a Patagonian deity, 261.
Seven, a sacred number, 83, 151, 237, 252, 253, 265, 314, 322, 324.
Sexual dualism in myths, 171 sq.
Shadow, the, as soul, 273.
as god of the dead, 291.
Shamans, *see* Medicine-men, Priests.
Shawnees, the, 101, 132, 136, 169, 322.
Shell-heaps, 50.
Shoshonees, tribe location, 41.
myths, 161.
Shuswap, tribe, 161.
Sillam Innua, an Eskimo deity, 67, 93.
Sin, original, sense of, 150.
Siouan, *see* Dakotas.
Skralingar, the, 36.
Sky as a god, 65, 164.
Smoking, ceremonial, 88.
Snake, *see* Serpent.
Snake Indians, *see* Shoshonees.
Snake plant, the, 314.
Sodomy as a rite, 175.
Solar myths, analyzed, 163 sq.
Soul, beliefs in, 124, 271 sq., 318.
Soul as breath, 66.
"Soul of the World," 74.
Soul, path of the, 284.
journey of the, 289.
Soul in the bones, 299.
South, symbolism of the, 111.
Star, the morning, 214.
Stars, as departed souls, 285.
knowledge of the, 343.
Stones, sacred and symbolic, 189, 190, 264, 294, 344.
Sua, a hero-god, 217.
Sun, in myths, 71, 163 sq., 181.
as female, 181.
"Suns" or Ages, of Aztecs, 250, 251.
Supay, a Peruvian deity, 78, 291.
Surites, a hero-god, 222.
Susquehannocks, the, tribe, 38.
Swedenborg, his singular powers, 312.
Syphilis, in myths, 158.

Tacci, tribe, 36.
Takahlis, tribe, 231, 236, 262, 294, 296, 332.
Tamandare, a hero-god, 246.
Tamu, a hero-god, 217, 298, 336.
Tarahumaras, tribe, 176.
Taras, a deity, 190.
Tarascas, tribe, 179, 190, 222.
Taru, a deity, 145.
Tarenyawagon, a deity, 205.
Tawiscara, a deity, 203.
Tecolotl, a deity, 128.
Teeziztecatl, a deity, 155.
Telepathy, among the natives, 310.
Teo-Chichimecs, tribe, 190.
Tezcatlipoca, a deity, 67, 215.
Theg-theg, a holy hill, 239.
Thirteen, a sacred number, 188, 255.
Thlinkit, a tribe, 239, 276.
Three, as a sacred number, 84, 188, 239, 249.

Throwing-stick, as a symbol, 115.
Thunder, symbolism of, 68, 136, 182, 187, 210, 219.
storm, in mythology, 181 sq.
stones, 184, 185.
mountain of, 239.
Thunder-bird, the, 119, 139, 182, 239.
vase, 72, 187, 210.
Time, symbolical, 133.
Timondonar, a deity, 218.
Timucuas, tribe, 40.
Tinné, *see* Athapascas.
Tiri, a deity, 118, 261.
Titicaca, Lake, 44, 146, 264.
Titlacahuan, a deity, 241.
Tlaloc, a sacred hill, 238, 265.
Tlalocs, deities, 93, 105, 136, 188, 189, 215.
Tlalocan, 105, 111, 287, 293.
Tlalocavitl, 109.
Tlapallan, 105, 106, 108, 214.
Tlalxicco, in Aztec myth, 292.
Tloque Nahuaque, a deity, 74.
Tochtli, the rabbit, in myths, 197.
Tohil, a deity, 189, 214.
Tollan, *see* Tulan.
Toltecs, a mythical tribe, 42, 57, 96, 215.
Tombs, cruciform, 116.
Tonacatepec, 100, 106.
Tonacaquahuitl, sacred tree, 114.
Tonacatecutli, a deity, 177, 215.
Tonan, Our Mother, a deity, 259.
Tonantzin, a deity, 179.
Tonapa, a hero-god, 211, 337.
Tonatiuh, a solar deity, 167.
Tonkaways, tribe, 269.
Tornarsuk, a deity, 227.
Tortoise, the great, 204.
Tota, Our Father, 117, 169.
Totems and totemism, 121, 230, 270, 298.
Totonacos, tribe, 180.
Tree-burial, 119.
Tree of Life, 118, 217.
Trees, symbolism of, 114, 117 sq.
Tribal circle, the, 116.
Trinity, native American, 84, 187, 188.
Tshimshians, tribe, 239.
Tulan, in Aztec myth, 105, 106, 214.
Tupa, a deity, 183, 218.
Tupis, location, 46.
mythology, 77, 101, 147, 183, 218, 245, 298, 300, 315.
Tuscaroras, the, tribe, 36, 38.
Tutelary deity, the, 77, 89, 173.
Tuteloes, tribe, 41.
Tutul Xiu, deities, 96.
Tuyra, a deity, 69.
Twins, myths of, 185, 203, 206, 231.
sacrifice of, 333.
Tzentals, tribe, 96, 179, 325.

Uchees, tribe, 40, 232.
Ugalentz, tribe, 163.
Ulé, a deity, 118.
Unity of red race, 52.
Unktahe, deities, 156, 258.
Uto-Aztecan family, 42.

Vase, symbolism of, 72, 152, 187, 210.
in burials, 117.
Viracocha, a hero-god, 72, 210 sq., 264, 335, 241.
Virgin goddesses, 118.
mothers, 172.
Virgins, sacred, 172.
Votan, a hero-god, 57, 107, 324.

Wakan, name of the divine among the Dakotas, 62, 88.
Wakinyan, deities, 125.
Wampum, uses of, 28, 163.
War physic, the, 138, 140.
paint, 163.
Warraus, tribe, 265, 266.
Warrior women, 325.
Wasi, a hero-god, 192.
Water, in myths, 144 sq., 159, 227 sq.
Waters, god of, 139, 159, 180, 204, 231.
Wauhkeon, a deity, 139.
Weather, governed by the moon, 153.
Week of seven days, 252, 324.
West, symbolism of, 109, 199, 218.
White, a symbolic color, 97, 165, 198, 203, 207–209.
man in ancient America, 215, 221.
"White towns" of Cherokees, 208.
Wind, as soul and life, 66–69.

Wind, spirits of the cardinal points, 92-95.
Wind-cross, the, 114-116.
Winds, Old Man of the, 115.
House of the, 93.
the four, as deities, 92 sq.
Winnebagoes, tribe, 41, 255.
Wisakedjak, a deity, 202.
Wisdom, the Mother of, 179.
Witchitas, tribe, 262.
Wolves, in myths, 161, 218, 269.
Women, as priestesses, 324.
World, creators of the, 193, 210.
end of the, 253 sq.
Sustainer of the, 210.
Writing, methods of, 22-26, 343.

Xblanque, a Quiche deity, 300.
Xelhua, a giant, 265.
Xibalba, the underworld, 81, 292, 300.
Ximohuayan, the Aztec Hades, 293.
Xochiquetzal, a deity, 160, 172.
Xolotl, an Aztec deity, 299.

Yahgans, tribe, 61.
Yakamas, tribe, 67.
Yaotl, a deity, 167.
Yellow, symbolism of, 97, 189, 267.
Yetl, a deity, 240.
Yoalli Ehecatl, a deity, 67.
Yohualticitl, a deity, 155.
Yolcuat, a deity, 141, 214.
Yucatan, natives of, 42, 87.
mythology, 97, 114, 173, 249.
See Mayas.
Yuchi, tribe, 232.
Yuncas, tribe, 44.
Yupanqui, Inca, 72.
Yurucares, the, tribe, 118, 336, 261, 301.

Zacs, the, 43.
Zamna, a culture-hero, 110
Zapotecs, tribe, 91.
Zinzendorf, anecdote about, 132.
Zume, a hero-god, 218.
Zuñis, the, tribe, 127, 145, 177, 229, 238, 258, 319, 324, 326.